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#### Abstract

Let $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, H^{-}\right)$be a signed complete graph whose negative edges induce a subgraph $H$. The index of $\Gamma$ is the largest eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix. In this paper we study the index of $\Gamma$ when $H$ is a unicyclic graph. We show that among all signed complete graphs of order $n>5$ whose negative edges induce a unicyclic graph of order $k$ and maximizes the index, the negative edges induce a triangle with all remaining vertices being pendant at the same vertex of the triangle.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a simple graph with the vertex set $V(G)$ and the edge set $E(G)$. The order of $G$ is defined as $|V(G)|$. The degree of a vertex $v$ in $G$ is denoted by $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$. A vertex of degree one is called a pendant vertex. We denote the set of all neighbors of $v$ in $G$ by $N_{G}(v)$. Let $K_{n}$ be the complete graph of order $n$ and $K_{1, k}$ denote the star graph of order $k+1$. A tree containing exactly two non-pendant vertices is called a double-star. A double-star with

[^0]degree sequence $(s+1, t+1,1, \ldots, 1)$ is denoted by $D_{s, t}$. By $C_{k}$ we denote a cycle of length $k$. A unicyclic graph is a connected graph containing exactly one cycle. A cactus is a connected graph in which any two cycles have at most one common vertex.

A signed graph $\Gamma$ is an ordered pair $(G, \sigma)$, where $G$ is a simple graph (called the underlying graph), and $\sigma: E(G) \longrightarrow\{-,+\}$ is a mapping defined on the edge set of $G$ (called the signature). If all edges of a signed graph $(G, \sigma)$ are positive (resp. negative), then we denote it by $(G,+$ ) (resp. $(G,-)$ ). For a subset $X \subseteq V(\Gamma)$, the subgraph induced by $X$ is denoted by $\Gamma[X]$. Let $A(G)=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$. The adjacency matrix of a signed graph $\Gamma=(G, \sigma)$ is defined as a square matrix $A(\Gamma)=\left(a_{i j}^{\sigma}\right)$, where $a_{i j}^{\sigma}=\sigma\left(v_{i} v_{j}\right) a_{i j}$. The characteristic polynomial of a matrix $A$ is denoted by $\varphi(A, \lambda)$. The characteristic polynomial of $A(\Gamma)$ is called the characteristic polynomial of the signed graph $\Gamma$ and denoted by $\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)$. Also, the spectrum of $A(\Gamma)$ is called the spectrum of $\Gamma$ and the largest eigenvalue is often called the index. The spectrum of signed graphs has been studied by many authors, for instance see [1, 3, 8, 9 .

Let $\Gamma=(G, \sigma)$ be a signed graph and $v \in V(\Gamma)$. We obtain a new graph $\Gamma^{\prime}$ from $\Gamma$ if we change the signs of all edges incident with $v$. We call $v$ a switching vertex. A switching of a signed graph $\Gamma$ is a graph that can be obtained by applying finitely many switching operations. We call two graphs $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ switching equivalent if $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a switching of $\Gamma$ and we write $\Gamma \sim \Gamma^{\prime}$. The adjacency matrices of two switching equivalent signed graphs $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are similar and hence they have the same spectrum, see [10].

In [7], the connected signed graphs of fixed order, size, and number of negative edges with maximum index have been studied. It was conjectured in [7] that if $\Gamma$ is a signed complete graph of order $n$ with $k$ negative edges, $k<n-1$ and $\Gamma$ has maximum index, then negative edges induce the signed star $K_{1, k}$. In [2], the authors proved that this conjecture holds for signed complete graphs whose negative edges form a tree. Recently, Ghorbani et al. [6] proved the conjecture. Let $\left(K_{n}, H^{-}\right)$denote a signed complete graph of order $n$ whose negative edges induce a subgraph $H$. They introduced a family of graphs $H_{m, n}$ for positive integers $n$ and $m$ with $m \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$ and proved that among the signed complete graphs with $n$ vertices and $m$ negative edges, $\left(K_{n}, H^{-}\right)$has the maximum index if and only if $H$ is isomorphic to a $H_{n, m}$.

In this paper we focus on the signed complete graphs whose negative edges induce a unicyclic graph. We show that among all signed complete graphs of order $n>5$ whose negative edges induce a unicyclic graph of order
$k$ and maximizes the index, the negative edges induce a triangle with all remaining vertices being pendant at the same vertex of the triangle. This result with a result of [2] on trees lead to a conjecture on signed complete graphs whose negative edges induce a cactus graph.

## 2 Preliminaries

The spectral theory of signed graphs has more varieties than unsigned graphs. But an important tool works in a similar way for signed graphs, which is a consequence of [5, Theorem 1.3.11].

Theorem 1 (Interlacing Theorem for signed graphs) Let $\Gamma$ be a signed graph with $n$ vertices and eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}$, and let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be an induced subgraph of $\Gamma$ with $m$ vertices. If the eigenvalues of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are $\mu_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{m}$, then $\lambda_{n-m+i} \leq \mu_{i} \leq \lambda_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.

The following result will be useful in the sequel.
Lemma 1 [2, Lemma 3] Let $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, K_{1, k}^{-}\right)$be a signed complete graph. Then
$\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{n-3}\left(\lambda^{3}+(3-n) \lambda^{2}+(3-2 n) \lambda+4 k(n-k-1)+1-n\right)$.
Also, we need to introduce an additional notation. Assume that $A$ is a symmetric real matrix of order $n$ and $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ is a partition of $[n]=$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ partition the rows and columns of $A$, as follows,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1, m} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
A_{m, 1} & \cdots & A_{m, m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $A_{i, j}$ denotes the submatrix of $A$ formed by rows in $X_{i}$ and the columns in $X_{j}$. Then the $m \times m$ matrix $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ is called the quotient matrix related to that partition, where $b_{i j}$ denotes the average row sum of $A_{i, j}$. If the row sum of each $A_{i, j}$ is constant, then the partition is called equitable, see [4].

If $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T}$ is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda$ of a signed graph $\Gamma=(G, \sigma)$, then we assume that the component $x_{v}$
corresponds to the vertex $v$. So the following is the eigenvalue equation for $v$ :

$$
\lambda x_{v}=\sum_{u \in N_{G}(v)} \sigma(u v) x_{u} .
$$

The next lemma is one of the most used tools in the identifications of graphs with maximum index.

Lemma 2 [7, Lemma 5.1(i)] Let $u, v$ and $w$ be distinct vertices of a signed graph $\Gamma$ and let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to the index $\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)$. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be obtained by reversing the sign of the positive edge uv and the negative edge uw. If $x_{u} \geq 0, x_{v} \leq x_{w}$ or $x_{u} \leq 0, x_{v} \geq x_{w}$, then $\lambda_{1}(\Gamma) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$. If at least one inequality is strict, then $\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)<\lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$.

If $u, v$ and $w$ are the vertices given in Lemma 2 then $R(u, v, w)$ refers to the relocation described in the lemma.

## $3\left(K_{n}, U^{-}\right)$with maximum index

One classical problem of graph spectra is to identify the maximal graphs with respect to the index in a given class of graphs. In this section, we determine $\left(K_{n}, U^{-}\right)$with maximum index, where $U$ is a unicyclic subgraph of $K_{n}$ of order $k$. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3 Let $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, Q_{1}^{-}\right)$be a signed complete graph with $k \geq 4$ negative edges, where $Q_{1}$ is the graph depicted in Fig. 11. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{n-5}\left(\lambda^{5}+(5-n) \lambda^{4}+(10-4 n) \lambda^{3}+(12 k-6 n+4 k u-38) \lambda^{2}+\right. \\
(24 k-4 n+8 k u-91) \lambda+127 n-116 k-28 k u-47),
\end{array}
$$

where $u=n-k$.
Proof. First assume that $k<n$. By switching $\Gamma$ at vertex $v_{1}$, one can deduce that $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, Q_{1}^{-}\right)$is switching equivalent to $\left(K_{n}, D_{n-k, 2}^{-}\right)$. Thus the characteristic polynomials of $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, Q_{1}^{-}\right)$and $\left(K_{n}, D_{n-k, 2}^{-}\right)$are the same. Hence by [2, Theorem 4] and [2, Remark 5], the result holds. Now,
assume that $k=n$. Again by switching $\Gamma$ at vertex $v_{1}$, we conclude that $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, Q_{1}^{-}\right)$is switching equivalent to $\left(K_{n}, K_{1,3}^{-}\right)$. Therefore, by Lemma 1 ,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{n-3}\left(\lambda^{3}+(3-n) \lambda^{2}+(3-2 n) \lambda+11 n-47\right)= \\
(\lambda+1)^{n-5}\left(\lambda^{5}+(5-n) \lambda^{4}+(10-4 n) \lambda^{3}+(6 n-38) \lambda^{2}+(20 n-91) \lambda+11 n-47\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence the proof is complete.


Figure 1: The unicyclic graphs $Q_{1}, Q(s, t)$.
Let $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, \sigma\right)$ be a signed complete graph. Let $\sqcap=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p}, X_{p+1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.X_{p+q}\right\}$ be a partition of $V(\Gamma)$ such that all edges between $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ have the same sign for each $i, j, \Gamma\left[X_{i}\right]=\left(K_{n_{i}},+\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$, and $\Gamma\left[X_{i}\right]=\left(K_{n_{i}},-\right)$ for $i=p+1, \ldots, p+q$, where $\left|X_{i}\right|=n_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, p+q$. Obviously, $\sqcap$ is an equitable partition of $V(\Gamma)$. Moreover, we have the next theorem.

Theorem 2 Let $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, \sigma\right)$ be a signed complete graph. Let $\Pi=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p}\right.$, $\left.X_{p+1}, \ldots, X_{p+q}\right\}$ be a partition of $V(\Gamma)$ with the above properties and $B$ be the quotient matrix of $A(\Gamma)$ related to $\sqcap$. If $m_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} n_{i}$ and $m_{2}=\sum_{i=p+1}^{p+q} n_{i}$, then

$$
\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{m_{1}-p}(\lambda-1)^{m_{2}-q} \varphi(B, \lambda) .
$$

Proof. Suppose that $X_{1}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n_{1}}\right\}$ and $X_{i}=\left\{v_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{i-1}+1}, \ldots, v_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{i}}\right\}$, for $i=2, \ldots, p+q$. Then we have,

$$
\lambda I-A\left(K_{n}, \sigma\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
\lambda I-A\left(K_{n_{1}},+\right) & * \\
\hline * & *
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We apply finitely many elementary row and column operations on the matrix $\lambda I-A\left(K_{n}, \sigma\right)$. First, subtract the $n_{1}$ th row from all the upper rows. This leads to the following matrix,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c}
\lambda+1 & & & -\lambda-1 & \\
\mathbf{0} & \ddots & \mathbf{0} & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\
& & \lambda+1 & -\lambda-1 & \\
& -\mathbf{1} & & \lambda & * \\
\hline & & * & & *
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now, adding the first $n_{1}-1$ columns to $n_{1}$ th column, we obtain the following matrix,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c}
\lambda+1 & & & 0 & \\
\mathbf{0} & \ddots & \mathbf{0} & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\
& & \lambda+1 & 0 & \\
& -\mathbf{1} & & \lambda+1-n_{1} & * \\
\hline & & * & & *
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Thus the following holds:

$$
\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{n_{1}-1} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
\lambda+1-n_{1} & * \\
\hline * & *
\end{array}\right] .
$$

By repeating the same procedure, one can deduce that

$$
\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{m_{1}-p}(\lambda-1)^{m_{2}-q} \varphi(B, \lambda)
$$

as desired.

Lemma 4 Let $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, Q(s, t)^{-}\right), s, t \geq 1$, be a signed complete graph with $k$ negative edges, where $Q(s, t)$ is the graph depicted in Fig. 1. Then
$\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{n-7}\left(\lambda^{7}+(7-n) \lambda^{6}+(21-6 n) \lambda^{5}+(12 k-15 n+4 k u+8 s t-13) \lambda^{4}+\right.$
$(48 k-20 n+16 k u+32 s t-157) \lambda^{3}+(113 n-56 k-8 k u-16 s t(u-1)-267) \lambda^{2}+$
$(250 n-208 k-48 k u-32 s t(u+1)-185) \lambda+127 n-116 k-28 k u+24 s t(2 u-1)-47)$, where $u=n-k$.

Proof. Assume that $k<n$. Suppose that $X_{1}=\left\{v_{1}\right\}, X_{2}=\left\{v_{2}\right\}, X_{3}=\left\{v_{3}\right\}$, $X_{4}=\left\{v_{4}\right\}, X_{5}=N_{Q(s, t)}\left(v_{1}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{2}, v_{4}\right\}, X_{6}=N_{Q(s, t)}\left(v_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$, and $X_{7}=$ $V\left(K_{n}\right) \backslash V(Q(s, t))$, see Fig. [1. Let $B$ be the quotient matrix of $A(\Gamma)$ related to $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{7}\right\}$. It is not hard to see that the characteristic polynomial of $B$ is
$\varphi(B, \lambda)=\lambda^{7}+(7-n) \lambda^{6}+(21-6 n) \lambda^{5}+(12 k-15 n+4 k u+8 s t-13) \lambda^{4}+$ $(48 k-20 n+16 k u+32 s t-157) \lambda^{3}+(113 n-56 k-8 k u-16 s t(u-1)-267) \lambda^{2}+$ $(250 n-208 k-48 k u-32 s t(u+1)-185) \lambda+127 n-116 k-28 k u+24 s t(2 u-1)-47$, where $u=n-k$. By Theorem 2, we have $\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{n-7} \varphi(B, \lambda)$. If $k=n$, let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{6}$ be as above and $B^{\prime}$ be the quotient matrix of $A(\Gamma)$ related to $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{6}\right\}$. Then $\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{n-6} \varphi\left(B^{\prime}, \lambda\right)$. So one can deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)= & (\lambda+1)^{n-6}\left(\lambda^{6}+(6-n) \lambda^{5}+(15-5 n) \lambda^{4}+(2 n+8 s t-28) \lambda^{3}+\right. \\
& \left.(26 n+24 s t-129) \lambda^{2}+(31 n-8 s t-138) \lambda+11 n-24 s t-47\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{n-7}\left(\lambda^{7}+(7-n) \lambda^{6}+(21-6 n) \lambda^{5}+(-3 n+8 s t-13) \lambda^{4}+\right. \\
& \left.(28 n+32 s t-157) \lambda^{3}+(57 n+16 s t-267) \lambda^{2}+(42 n-32 s t-185) \lambda+11 n-24 s t-47\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 1 Let $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, Q(s, t)^{-}\right)$, $s, t \geq 1$, and $\Gamma^{\prime}=\left(K_{n}, Q_{1}^{-}\right)$be two signed complete graphs with $k$ negative edges, where $Q(s, t)$ and $Q_{1}$ are the graphs depicted in Fig. 1. Then $\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)<\lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)$. By Lemmas 3 and 4, we deduce that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \lambda\right)-\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=-8 s t(\lambda+1)^{n-7}\left(\lambda^{4}+4 \lambda^{3}-2(n-k-1) \lambda^{2}-4(n-k+1) \lambda+\right. \\
3(2 n-2 k-1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Setting $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$, we have
$\varphi\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \lambda_{1}\right)=-8 s t\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)^{n-7}\left(\lambda_{1}^{4}+4 \lambda_{1}^{3}-2(n-k-1) \lambda_{1}^{2}-4(n-k+1) \lambda_{1}+3(2 n-2 k-1)\right)$.


Figure 2: The unicyclic graph $U_{1}$.
Since $\Gamma$ has $\left(K_{n-3},+\right)$ as an induced subgraph, by Theorem 1 , we conclude that $n-k+1<n-4 \leq \lambda_{1}$. Therefore, $\varphi\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \lambda_{1}(\Gamma)\right)<0$ which implies that $\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)<\lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$.

By a proof similar to the proof of Lemma 4, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 5 Let $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, U_{1}^{-}\right)$be a signed complete graph with $k \geq 3$ negative edges, where $U_{1}$ is the graph depicted in Fig. 园. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)=(\lambda+1)^{n-5}(\lambda-1)\left(\lambda^{4}+(6-n) \lambda^{3}+(16-5 n) \lambda^{2}+(4 k-11 n+4 k u+18) \lambda+\right. \\
28 k-31 n+12 k u+7)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $u=n-k$.
Now, we can state the main result of this paper.
Theorem 3 Among all signed complete graphs of order $n>5$ whose negative edges induce a unicyclic graph of order $k$ and maximizes the index, the negative edges induce a triangle with all remaining vertices being pendant at the same vertex of the triangle.

Proof. Suppose that $k$ negative edges induce a unicyclic graph $U$ and maximizes the index, where $k \leq n$. Let $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, U^{-}\right)$and $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)$. Clearly, $\left(K_{n-k+1},+\right)$ is an induced subgraph of $\Gamma$. Hence by Theorem 1 , we deduce that $n-k \leq \lambda_{1}$. Suppose $U$ consists of the cycle, say $C$, of length $g$ and a certain number of trees attached at vertices of $C$. Let $V(U)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ and
$V(C)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{g}\right\}$. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$.

We claim that there is an integer $i, 1 \leq i \leq g$, such that $x_{i} \neq 0$. To see this, by contrary assume that $x_{i}=0$, for $i=1, \ldots, g$. Let $v_{p}$ and $v_{q}$ be two consecutive vertices of $C$, that is $v_{p} v_{q} \in E(C)$, and suppose that two vertices $v_{j}(g<j \leq k)$ and $v_{p}$ are adjacent in $U$. If $x_{j} \neq 0$, then by Lemma 2, the relocation $R\left(v_{j}, v_{q}, v_{p}\right)$ would contradict the maximality of $\lambda_{1}$. By the same argument, one can prove that $x_{i}=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Thus $k<n$. Let $x_{t}$ be an entry of $X$ having the largest absolute value. We may assume that $x_{t}>0$ (otherwise, consider $-X$ instead of $X$ ). By the eigenvalue equation for $v_{t}$, we have $\sum_{i=k+1, i \neq t}^{n} x_{i}=\lambda_{1} x_{t}$ which implies that $\lambda_{1} \leq n-k-1$, a contradiction. The claim is proved.

Suppose that $g>3$. Without loss of generality, assume that $x_{1}>0$. If $x_{g-1} \leq x_{2}$, then the possibility of $R\left(v_{1}, v_{g-1}, v_{2}\right)$ contradicts the maximality of $\lambda_{1}$. So $x_{2}<x_{g-1}$. Also, $x_{g}<x_{3}$ since otherwise, the relocation $R\left(v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{g}\right)$ leads to a contradiction. Now, if $x_{2} \geq 0$ (resp. $x_{g} \geq 0$ ), then the relocation $R\left(v_{2}, v_{g}, v_{3}\right)$ (resp. $\left.R\left(v_{g}, v_{2}, v_{g-1}\right)\right)$ contradicts the maximality of $\lambda_{1}$. Hence, $x_{2}, x_{g}<0$. Thus for $g \geq 5$, if $x_{g-1} \geq x_{3}$ (resp. $x_{3} \geq x_{g-1}$ ), then by $R\left(v_{2}, v_{g-1}, v_{3}\right)$ (resp. $R\left(v_{g}, v_{3}, v_{g-1}\right)$ ), we get a contradiction. Therefore, $g=$ 4.

From the previous statement, we have $x_{1}>0$ and $x_{2}, x_{4}<0$. Also, $x_{3}>0$ since otherwise, the relocation $R\left(v_{3}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ concludes a contradiction. Suppose that $v_{1} v_{p}, v_{3} v_{q} \in E(U)$, where $p, q>4$. If $x_{p} \geq 0$ (resp. $x_{q} \geq 0$ ), then the relocation $R\left(v_{p}, v_{2}, v_{1}\right)$ (resp. $\left.R\left(v_{q}, v_{4}, v_{3}\right)\right)$ contradicts the maximality of $\lambda_{1}$. Thus $x_{p}, x_{q}<0$. So if $x_{3} \geq x_{1}$ (resp. $x_{1} \geq x_{3}$ ), then by $R\left(v_{p}, v_{3}, v_{1}\right)$ (resp. $R\left(v_{q}, v_{1}, v_{3}\right)$ ), we find a contradiction. It follows that $\operatorname{deg}_{U}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$ or $\operatorname{deg}_{U}\left(v_{3}\right)=2$. Similarly, if $v_{2} v_{p}, v_{4} v_{q} \in E(U)$ and $p, q>4$, then we have a contradiction and hence $\operatorname{deg}_{U}\left(v_{2}\right)=2$ or $\operatorname{deg}_{U}\left(v_{4}\right)=2$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\operatorname{deg}_{U}\left(v_{3}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{U}\left(v_{4}\right)=2$.

Now, we prove that the trees attached to vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}$ in $U$, if any, are stars. For proving this, first assume that $v_{1} v_{p}, v_{p} v_{q} \in E(U)$, where $p, q>4$. If $x_{p} \geq 0$, then the relocation $R\left(v_{p}, v_{2}, v_{1}\right)$ gives a contradiction and hence $x_{p}<0$. If $x_{q} \leq 0$, then the relocation $R\left(v_{q}, v_{1}, v_{p}\right)$ leads to a contradiction. Thus $x_{q}>0$. Therefore, if $x_{2} \leq x_{p}$ (resp. $x_{p} \leq x_{2}$ ), then by $R\left(v_{q}, v_{2}, v_{p}\right)$ (resp. $R\left(v_{3}, v_{p}, v_{2}\right)$ ), we get a contradiction. This implies that $v_{p}$ is a pendant vertex of $U$. By repeating the same procedure one can easily prove that the tree which is attached to the vertex $v_{2}$ in $U$, if any, is a star. So we conclude that $U$ may be equal to the graphs $Q_{1}$ or $Q(s, t)$, depicted in Fig. 1. By

Corollary 1 , $\lambda_{1}\left(K_{n}, Q(s, t)^{-}\right)<\lambda_{1}\left(K_{n}, Q_{1}^{-}\right)$and hence $U \neq Q(s, t)$.
Next, suppose that $g=3$. We first prove that at least two vertices of the cycle $C$ have degree 2 in $U$. By contrary assume that $v_{1} v_{p}, v_{2} v_{q} \in E(U)$, where $p, q>3$. If $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$, then $R\left(v_{p}, v_{2}, v_{1}\right)$ implies that $x_{p}=0$. Hence by $R\left(v_{3}, v_{p}, v_{1}\right)$, we deduce that $x_{3}=0$, a contradiction. Without loss of generality, assume that $x_{1}>0$. If $x_{q} \leq x_{2}$ (resp. $x_{q} \leq x_{3}$ ), then the relocation $R\left(v_{1}, v_{q}, v_{2}\right)$ (resp. $\left.R\left(v_{1}, v_{q}, v_{3}\right)\right)$ contradicts the maximality of $\lambda_{1}$. Thus $x_{q}>x_{2}, x_{3}$. Therefore, $x_{3}>0$ (by $R\left(v_{3}, v_{q}, v_{2}\right)$ ). If $x_{1} \leq x_{2}$, then $R\left(v_{q}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ yields a contradiction. So $x_{2}<x_{1}$ and hence $x_{p}<0$ (by $\left.R\left(v_{p}, v_{2}, v_{1}\right)\right)$. Now, $R\left(v_{3}, v_{p}, v_{1}\right)$ gives the final contradiction. This completes the assertion.

We now prove that the tree attached to a vertex of $C$ in $U$, if any, is a star. By contrary assume that $v_{1} v_{p}, v_{p} v_{q} \in E(U)$, where $p, q>3$. Without loss of generality, assume that $x_{q} \geq 0$ (otherwise, consider $-X$ instead of $X)$. If $x_{1}<x_{p}$, then the relocation $R\left(v_{q}, v_{1}, v_{p}\right)$ contradicts the maximality of $\lambda_{1}$. Hence $x_{p} \leq x_{1}$. Thus the relocations $R\left(v_{2}, v_{p}, v_{1}\right)$ and $R\left(v_{3}, v_{p}, v_{1}\right)$, respectively, imply that $x_{2} \leq 0$ and $x_{3} \leq 0$. Therefore, by $R\left(v_{2}, v_{q}, v_{3}\right)$, we deduce that $x_{2}=x_{3}=x_{q}=0$. Finally, the relocation $R\left(v_{1}, v_{q}, v_{2}\right)$ yields $x_{1}=0$ which is impossible, and we are done.

So the candidates for maximizers are: $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, U_{1}^{-}\right)$, and $\Gamma^{\prime}=\left(K_{n}, Q_{1}^{-}\right)$, see Figs. 1 and 2. We just have to compare the indices. By Lemmas 3 and 5. we find that
$\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)-\varphi\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \lambda\right)=-8(\lambda+1)^{n-5}\left((k-5) \lambda^{2}+2(n-5) \lambda+12 n-11 k-2 k(n-k)-5\right)$.
Let $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime}$ has $\left(K_{n-2},+\right)$ as an induced subgraph, by Theorem 1. we conclude that $\lambda_{1} \geq n-3$. Setting $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$, we have
$\varphi\left(\Gamma, \lambda_{1}\right)=-8\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)^{n-5}\left((k-5) \lambda_{1}^{2}+2(n-5) \lambda_{1}+12 n-11 k-2 k(n-k)-5\right)$.
First assume that $k \geq 5$. Thus $(k-5) \lambda_{1}^{2}+2(n-5) \lambda_{1}+12 n \geq(k-5)(n-$ $3)^{2}+2(n-5)(n-3)+12 n=k n^{2}+26 n+9 k-3 n^{2}-6 k n-15$. It is not hard to see that $k n^{2}+2 k^{2}+26 n>3 n^{2}+8 k n+2 k+20$ which implies that $k n^{2}+26 n+9 k-3 n^{2}-6 k n-15>11 k+2 k(n-k)+5$. Hence $\varphi\left(\Gamma, \lambda_{1}\right)<0$ and so $\lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)$. This is exactly what we need here. Note that if $n=k=5$, by switching $\Gamma^{\prime}$ at vertex $v_{3}$, we have $\Gamma^{\prime} \sim \Gamma$ and hence $\lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)$. Finally, assume that $k=4$. Thus

$$
\varphi\left(\Gamma, \lambda_{1}\right)=8\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)^{n-5}\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}-2(n-5) \lambda_{1}-4 n+17\right)
$$

The roots of $\lambda^{2}-2(n-5) \lambda-4 n+17$ are $n-5 \pm \sqrt{n^{2}-6 n+8}$. If $n>7$, then $n-5-\sqrt{n^{2}-6 n+8}<n-3 \leq \lambda_{1} \leq n-1<n-5+\sqrt{n^{2}-6 n+8}$, so $\varphi\left(\Gamma, \lambda_{1}\right)<0$ which yields that $\lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)$. By a computer search, one can see that the result holds for $n=6,7$, but $\lambda_{1}\left(K_{4}, U_{1}^{-}\right)<\lambda_{1}\left(K_{4}, C_{4}^{-}\right)$and $\lambda_{1}\left(K_{5}, U_{1}^{-}\right)<\lambda_{1}\left(K_{5}, C_{4}^{-}\right)$. The proof is complete.

The following is a direct consequence of Theorem 3 which confirms the Koledin-Stanić conjecture for signed complete graphs whose negative edges induce a unicyclic graph.

Corollary 2 Let $\left(K_{n}, U^{-}\right)$be a signed complete graph whose negative edges induce a unicyclic graph $U$ of order $k<n$. Then $\lambda_{1}\left(K_{n}, U^{-}\right)<\lambda_{1}\left(K_{n}, K_{1, k}^{-}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\Gamma=\left(K_{n}, K_{1, k}^{-}\right)$and $\Gamma^{\prime}=\left(K_{n}, U_{1}^{-}\right)$, where $U_{1}$ is the graph depicted in Fig. 2. Let $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime}$ has $\left(K_{n-2},+\right)$ as an induced subgraph, by Theorem 1, we conclude that $\lambda_{1} \geq n-3$. By Lemmas 1 and 5, we deduce that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varphi(\Gamma, \lambda)-\varphi\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \lambda\right)=-8(\lambda+1)^{n-5}\left((k-1) \lambda^{2}-2(n-2 k+1) \lambda+\right. \\
4 n-3 k-2 k(n-k)-1) .
\end{array}
$$

Setting $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi\left(\Gamma, \lambda_{1}\right)=-8\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)^{n-5}\left((k-1) \lambda_{1}^{2}-\right. 2(n-2 k+1) \lambda_{1}+ \\
&4 n-3 k-2 k(n-k)-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $k=3$, then $\varphi\left(\Gamma, \lambda_{1}\right)=-16\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)^{n-4}\left(\lambda_{1}-n+4\right)$. Thus $\varphi\left(\Gamma, \lambda_{1}\right)<0$ and hence $\lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)$. Note that $n \geq 4$.

Now, assume that $k>3$. By a computer search, one can see that $\lambda_{1}\left(K_{5}, U_{1}^{-}\right)<\lambda_{1}\left(K_{5}, C_{4}^{-}\right)<\lambda_{1}\left(K_{5}, K_{1,4}^{-}\right)$, so the result holds for $n=5$. In what follows, we consider two cases.

Case 1: $n>2 k-1$. We claim that $\varphi\left(\Gamma, \lambda_{1}\right)<0$. We have $(k-1) \lambda_{1}^{2}+4 n \geq$ $(k-1)(n-3)^{2}+4 n=k n^{2}+10 n+9 k-n^{2}-6 k n-9$. Since $\lambda_{1} \leq n-1$, hence $2(n-2 k+1) \lambda_{1}+3 k+2 k(n-k)+1 \leq 2(n-2 k+1)(n-1)+3 k+2 k(n-k)+1=$ $2 n^{2}+7 k-2 k^{2}-2 k n-1$. It is easy to see that $k n^{2}+2 k^{2}+10 n+2 k>3 n^{2}+4 k n+8$ which yields that $k n^{2}+10 n+9 k-n^{2}-6 k n-9>2 n^{2}+7 k-2 k^{2}-2 k n-1$. The claim is proved. Therefore, $\lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)$.

Case 2: $n \leq 2 k-1$. Then $(k-1) \lambda_{1}^{2}-2(n-2 k+1) \lambda_{1}+4 n \geq(k-1)(n-$ $3)^{2}-2(n-2 k+1)(n-3)+4 n$. It is not hard to check that $k n^{2}+2 k^{2}+14 n>$
$3 n^{2}+6 k+4 k n+4$ which implies that $(k-1)(n-3)^{2}-2(n-2 k+1)(n-3)+4 n>$ $3 k+2 k(n-k)+1$. Thus $\varphi\left(\Gamma, \lambda_{1}\right)<0$ and hence $\lambda_{1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)<\lambda_{1}(\Gamma)$.

Therefore, by Theorem 3, the proof is complete.


Figure 3: The cactus graph $G_{t}$.
Let $G_{t}$ be the cactus graph with $k$ edges and $t$ cycles, depicted in Fig. 3 ( $G_{0}$ is the star graph $K_{1, k}$ ). We close this paper with the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 Among all signed complete graphs of order $n>5$ whose negative edges induce a cactus graph with $k$ edges and $t$ cycles $(k-t<n)$, and maximizes the index, negative edges induce the graph $G_{t}$.

We note that Conjecture 1 is true for $t=0,1$, according to Theorem 3 and a result of [2].
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