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#### Abstract

Using the local picture of the degeneration of sequences of minimal surfaces developed by Chodosh, Ketover and Maximo 5] we show that in any closed Riemannian 3-manifold $(M, g)$, the genus of an embedded CMC surface can be bounded only in terms of its index and area, independently of the value of its mean curvature. We also show that if $M$ has finite fundamental group, the genus and area of any non-minimal embedded CMC surface can be bounded in term of its index and a lower bound for its mean curvature.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma$ be a compact immersed two-sided constant mean curvature (CMC) surface in a Riemannian 3-manifold $(M, g)$. The strong Morse index of $\Sigma$ is defined as the index of the stability operator

$$
Q(u, u)=\int_{\Sigma}|\nabla u|^{2}-\left(|A|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}_{M}(N)\right) u^{2} d v o l_{\Sigma}
$$

where $|A|$ is the norm of the second fundamental form of $\Sigma$ and $u \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma) \mathbb{1}$. We will refer to the strong Morse index of $\Sigma$ as the index of $\Sigma$.

The index is a natural variational quantity associated to CMC surfaces, hence it is expected to be controlled in CMC surfaces produced through variational methods. For example, it has been shown that the index of minimal surfaces associated to the volume spectrum are controlled by the order of the associated spectrum element [13, 23], and analogous results are believed to hold for CMC surfaces produced trough the Zhou-Zhu min-max procedure [13, 24.

On the other hand, the geometry and topology of surfaces produced through variational methods tend to be hard to control directly. With the objective of bridging this gap, we are interested in the relation between the index of CMC surfaces and classical geometric and topological quantities. This relation has been well explored for minimal surfaces and hypersurfaces [2, 5, 6, 17, 20, 22], although the following conjecture from Marques and Neves' 2014 ICM lectures is still open:
Conjecture 1 ([16]). If the ambient manifold has positive Ricci curvature, then an index I embedded orientable compact minimal hypersurface has first Betti number bounded by a fixed multiple of $I$.

The relation between the index and the geometry of CMC surfaces and hypersurfaces is well known in some special cases (e.g. [1, 4, 19]). Here we will study the

[^0]area and genus of CMC surfaces of bounded index by describing the degeneration of sequences of such surfaces in a similar way as Chodosh, Ketover and Maximo have done for minimal surfaces [5]. We will show that in any closed 3-manifold, the genus of a CMC surface is controlled by its index and area independently of the value of its mean curvature:

Theorem 2. Let $I, A_{0}<\infty$ and suppose $(M, g)$ is a closed 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold. There is a constant $C<\infty$ depending only on $(M, g), I$ and $A_{0}$ such that any closed, connected, embedded CMC surface in $(M, g)$ with area at most $A_{0}$ and index at most I has genus at most $C$.

If $M$ is spherical, that is, $M$ is closed with finite fundamental group, we will show that every sequence of closed connected CMC surfaces embedded in $M$ with an uniform lower mean curvature bound and an uniform upper index bound has a subsequence that does not accumulate away from a finite set or points. Using this fact we are able to show:
Theorem 3. Let $I<\infty, \eta>0$ and suppose $(M, g)$ is a spherical 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold. There are constants $B, C<\infty$ depending only on $(M, g), I$ and $\eta$ such that any closed connected embedded CMC surface in $(M, g)$ with index at most $I$ and mean curvature at least $\eta$ has area at most $B$ and genus at most $C$.

The compactness assumption in Theorem 3 is necessary [11], as is the lower bound on the mean curvature [7]. However it is not clear if the assumption on the fundamental group of $M$ is essential.

We will always assume the surfaces are connected and two-sided. Note that if $\Sigma$ is a non-minimal CMC surface we can orient its normal bundle by the mean curvature vector. Then the scalar value of the mean curvature $H=\operatorname{tr}(A)$ of $\Sigma$ is positive, we will often refer to $H$ as the mean curvature of $\Sigma$. In the case $\Sigma$ is minimal and one-sided, the proof of Theorem 2 is given in 5. Now we will now present the main ideas in the proof of theorems 2 and 3,
1.1. Outline of the proofs of the main theorems. Theorems 2 and 3 are shown by studying the degeneration of sequences of closed embedded CMC surfaces, possibly with varying mean curvature, $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}$ in $(M, g)$ with index at most $I$. Assume by contradiction that the genus of the $\Sigma_{n}$ form a divergent sequence, and assume for simplicity that, passing to a subsequence, the $\Sigma_{n}$ have uniformly bounded mean curvature (if this is not the case an extra rescaling is needed).

By Theorem 4 we can pass $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}$ to a subsequence with uniformly bounded second fundamental form away from a set of at most $I$ points in $M$, called blow-up points. Near the blow-up points we follow the arguments of Chodosh, Ketover and Maximo [5] to show that a subsequence of rescaled $\Sigma_{n}$ must converge to a minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with index at most $I$. This fact allows us to bound the genus and area of the $\Sigma_{n}$ near the blow-up points.

Away from the blow-up points the $\Sigma_{n}$ must subconverge to a weak CMC lamination of $M 2$. If the leaves of this lamination are proper we can use the limit lamination to bound the genus of the $\Sigma_{n}$ away from the blow-up points. In order to show that the leaves of the limit lamination are proper we bound the number of

[^1]sheets any $\Sigma_{n}$ can have in any small region away from the blow-up points. At this point the proof of theorems 2 and 3 diverge.

In the case of Theorem 2 we have uniform area bound for the $\Sigma_{n}$, so the bound of the number of sheets follows from a standard argument. To prove Theorem 3 we will show that if $M$ is spherical, the direction of the mean curvature vectors of these sheets must alternate in a certain sense. This, together with an uniform lower bound on the mean curvature of the $\Sigma_{n}$ will give uniform bounds on the maximum number of sheets of any $\Sigma_{n}$ that can pass through a small region away from the blow-up points.
1.2. Outline of the paper. In Section 2 we will use blow-up arguments to show curvature bounds for CMC surfaces with bounded index. Section 3 is dedicated to adapting results from Chodosh, Ketover and Maximo [5] to CMC surfaces. In Section 4 we show upper bounds on the number of sheets of a CMC surface that pass through a small region of a spherical 3-manifold. Finally, Section 5 contains the proof of the main theorems. Appendices $A$ and $B$ contain details about the local parametrization of CMC surfaces as graphs.
1.3. Acknowledgments. I would like to thank my advisor Davi Maximo for many insightful comments and conversations. I would also like to thank the reviewer for carefully reading the manuscript, pointing out typos and making observations that led to corrections to Proposition 10 and Lemma 11.

## 2. Curvature bounds for CMC surfaces with finite index

The following scale-invariant inequality is a generalization of a classical inequality first discovered by Schoen [21] (c.f. [5, Lemma 2.2; 18, Main Theorem]). We will prove the theorem in full generality since we believe it may be useful in more contexts.

Theorem 4. For all $I<\infty$ there is a constant $C<\infty$ depending only on $I$ such that the following holds: Let $(M, g)$ be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold with absolute sectional curvature bounds $|K| \leq \Lambda<\infty$ for some $\Lambda>0$ and suppose $\Sigma \rightarrow M$ is an immersed CMC surface with (strong) index at most I. Then there is a set $\mathcal{B} \subset \Sigma$ with at most I points such that for all $p \in \Sigma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|(p) \min \left\{d_{\Sigma}(p, \partial \Sigma \cup \mathcal{B}),(\sqrt{\Lambda})^{-1}\right\} \leq C \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This Theorem will be shown in 2.2 after we introduce the language we will use to prove it. Note that since the constant $C$ does not depend on the mean curvature $H$ of $\Sigma$ and $|A| \geq \sqrt{2} H$ we can conclude from (1) that if $\frac{\sqrt{2} H}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \geq C$ we must have $d_{\Sigma}(p, \partial \Sigma \cup \mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{2} H}$ for all $p \in \Sigma$. So it follows:
Corollary 5. Take $M, \Lambda, \Sigma, I$ an $C$ as in Theorem 4 and let $H$ be the mean curvature of $\Sigma$. If $\frac{\sqrt{2} H}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \geq C$ we can conclude that for all $p \in \Sigma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Sigma}(p, \partial \Sigma) \leq\left(I+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{2} C}{H} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\Sigma$ has a boundary, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diam}_{\Sigma}(\Sigma) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} I C}{H} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\Sigma$ is closed.
Proof. We will only show (2) as the proof of (3) is very similar. As observed above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Sigma}(p, \partial \Sigma \cup \mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{2} H} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p \in \Sigma$. So the distance between any point in $\mathcal{B}$ and its complement in $\partial \Sigma \cup \mathcal{B}$ is at most $\frac{\sqrt{2} C}{H}$. Then an induction argument on $I$ shows that any point in $\mathcal{B}$ is at distance at most $I \frac{\sqrt{2} C}{H}$ from $\partial \Sigma$. Now (2) follows from this fact together with (4).
2.1. Harmonic coordinates and sequences of rescaled surfaces. Theorem 4 does not depend on the manifold $M$, only on its sectional curvature bounds. For this reason we will have to make use of harmonic coordinates. More specifically we will use the following result by Rosenberg, Souam and Toubiana:

Theorem 6 ([18, Theorem 2.1]). Let $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $\delta>0$. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian 3-manifold without boundary with absolute sectional curvature bounds $|K| \leq \Lambda<\infty$. Let $\Omega$ be a open subset of $M$ and set

$$
\Omega(\delta)=\left\{x \in M: d_{M}(x, \Omega)<\delta\right\}
$$

where $d_{M}$ is the distance associated to $g$. Suppose there exists $i>0$ such that for all $x \in \Omega(\delta)$ have $\operatorname{inj}_{(M, g)}(x) \geq i$, where $i n j_{(M, g)}(x)$ is the injectivety radius of $M$ at $x$. Then there exists constants $Q_{0}, r_{0}>0$ depending only on $i, \delta, \Lambda$ and $\alpha$, and not on $M$, such that for any $x \in \Omega$, there exists a harmonic coordinate chart $\left(U, \varphi, B_{M}\left(x, r_{0}\right)\right)$, where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is an open set containing the origin, $B_{M}\left(x, r_{0}\right)$ is the geodesic ball in $M$ centered at $x$ with radius $r_{0}$, and $\varphi: U \rightarrow B_{M}\left(x, r_{0}\right)$ is such that $\varphi(0)=x$, and such that the metric tensor $\varphi^{*} g$ is $C^{1, \alpha}$ - controlled. Namely the components $\left(\varphi^{*} g\right)_{i j}, i, j=1,2,3$ of $\varphi^{*} g$ satisfy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}^{-1} \delta_{i j} \leq\left(\varphi^{*} g\right)_{i j} \leq Q_{0} \delta_{i j} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as quadratic forms, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\varphi^{*} g\right)_{i j}\right\|_{C^{1, \alpha}(U)} \leq Q_{0} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proofs of all theorems presented in this paper make use of sequences of rescaled surfaces. What we mean by rescaled surfaces will be slightly different for different proofs. Following [18], we will present here the definition used in the proof of Theorem 4 which is more involved because the surfaces might only be immersed and because the ambient space is not fixed.

Suppose $\left\{\left(M_{n}, g_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of complete 3 -manifolds with uniformly bounded absolute sectional curvature $\left|K_{n}\right| \leq \Lambda<\infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\Sigma_{n}$ be an immersed $H_{n}$-surface in $M_{n}$ (that is, a constant mean curvature surface with mean curvature $H_{n}$ ), possibly with boundary, and take a sequence of points $p_{n} \in \Sigma_{n} \backslash \partial \Sigma_{n}$. For any sequence of positive numbers $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\sigma_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ We will define a sequence of rescaled surfaces

$$
\sigma_{n}\left(\Sigma_{n}-p_{n}\right)
$$

immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
By abuse of notation, denote image of $p_{n}$ under the immersion $\Sigma_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ by $p_{n}$. Since the exponential map of any of the $M_{n}$ at any point is a local differomorphism when restricted to the euclidean ball of radius $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}$ we may endow this ball with the
pull-back metric $\exp _{p_{n}}^{*} g_{n}$, which we will also denote by $g_{n}$. By [18, Lemma 2.2] the closed ball $\overline{B_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{\Lambda}}\right)} \subset\left(B_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(0, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}\right), g_{n}\right)$ has injectivity radius at least $\frac{\pi}{4 \sqrt{\Lambda}}$. Fix an $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and consider $r_{0}, Q_{0}$ given by applying Theorem 6 with $\delta=\frac{\pi}{8 \sqrt{\Lambda}}$ and $i=\frac{\pi}{4 \sqrt{\Lambda}}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take a harmonic chart $\left(U_{n}, \varphi_{n}, B_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(0, r_{0}\right)\right)$ with $0 \in U_{n}$ and $\varphi_{n}(0)=0$. We define

$$
\Sigma_{n}-p_{n} \subset\left(U_{n}, \varphi_{n}^{*} g_{n}\right)
$$

to be the connected component of $\left(\exp _{p_{n}} \circ \varphi_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{n}\right)$ passing trough the origin. Note that this is an immersed $H_{n}$-surface. For all $\sigma>0$ let $\mu_{\sigma}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the dilation $x \mapsto \sigma x$. Define $\tilde{g}_{n}=\left(\mu_{\sigma_{n}} \circ \varphi_{n}\right)^{*} g_{n}$ It is clear that

$$
\sigma_{n}\left(\Sigma_{n}-p_{n}\right) \subset\left(\mu_{\sigma_{n}}\left(U_{n}\right), \tilde{g}_{n}\right)
$$

is an immersed $\frac{H_{n}}{\sigma_{n}}$-surface. We will often use that $\left(\mu_{\sigma_{n}}\left(U_{n}\right), \tilde{g}_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \delta_{i j}\right)$ locally in $C^{1, \alpha}$ [18].
2.2. Proof of Theorem 4. Following the arguments of Chodosh, Ketover and Maximo [5, Lemma 2.2] we will use induction on $I$. The case $I=0$ is done in [18]. Let $I>0$ and assume by contradiction that the theorem does not hold for $I$. Then there is a sequence $\left\{\left(M_{n}, g_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of complete 3-manifolds with absolute sectional curvature bounds $\left|K_{n}\right| \leq \Lambda_{n}<\infty$ and such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an $H_{n} \geq 0$ and an immersed $H_{n}$-surfaces $\Sigma_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ and points $q_{n} \in \Sigma_{n}$ such that

$$
\left|A_{n}\right|\left(q_{n}\right) \min \left\{d_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(q_{n}, \partial \Sigma_{n}\right),\left(\sqrt{\Lambda}_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\}>n
$$

where $\left|A_{n}\right|$ is the norm of the second fundamental form of $\Sigma_{n}$. Since the left hand side of the inequality above is invariant under rescaling $g_{n}$ we can assume that all $\Lambda_{n}$ are equal to a constant $\Lambda$.

Let $R_{n}=\min \left\{d_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(q_{n}, \partial \Sigma_{n}\right),(\sqrt{\Lambda})^{-1}\right\}$ and denote $B_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(q_{n}, R_{n}\right)$ by $D_{n}$. Choose $p_{n} \in \Sigma_{n}$ so that

$$
\left|A_{n}\right|\left(p_{n}\right) d_{D_{n}}\left(p_{n}, \partial D_{n}\right)=\max _{p \in D_{n}}\left|A_{n}\right|(p) d_{D_{n}}\left(p, \partial D_{n}\right)
$$

Let $\tilde{R}_{n}=d_{D_{n}}\left(p_{n}, \partial D_{n}\right)$ and denote $B_{D_{n}}\left(p_{n}, \tilde{R}_{n}\right)$ by $\tilde{D}_{n}$. By construction

$$
\left|A_{n}\right|\left(p_{n}\right) d_{\tilde{D}_{n}}\left(p_{n}, \partial \tilde{D}_{n}\right)=\max _{p \in \tilde{D}_{n}}\left|A_{n}\right|(p) d_{\tilde{D}_{n}}\left(p, \partial \tilde{D}_{n}\right)
$$

It follows that for any $p \in B_{\tilde{D}_{n}}\left(p_{n}, \frac{\tilde{R}_{n}}{2}\right)$ we have that $\left|A_{n}\right|(p) \leq 2\left|A_{n}\right|\left(p_{n}\right)$.
Let $\lambda_{n}=\left|A_{n}\right|\left(p_{n}\right)$ and consider the sequence of rescaled surfaces

$$
\lambda_{n}\left(\tilde{D}_{n}-p_{n}\right)
$$

Note that $\lambda_{n}\left(\tilde{D}_{n}-p_{n}\right)$ is an $\frac{H_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}$-surface and the norm of its second fundamental is bounded above by 2 in $B_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(0, \frac{\lambda_{n} \tilde{R}_{n}}{2}\right)$ with the dilated pull-back metric. The distance between the origin $0 \in \lambda_{n}\left(\tilde{D}_{n}-p_{n}\right)$ and the boundary of $\lambda_{n}\left(\tilde{D}_{n}-p_{n}\right)$ is at least $\lambda_{n} \tilde{R}_{n}$.

The arguments in 18 show that there is a complete immersed $H$-surface $S$ in the euclidean 3 -space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, g_{\text {eucl }}\right)$ such that:
(1) $0 \in S$;
(2) $\left|A_{S}\right|(0)=1$;
(3) $\left\|A_{S}\right\|_{C^{0}} \leq 5$;
(4) passing to a subsequence, there are pieces $\Delta_{n} \subset \lambda_{n}\left(\tilde{D}_{n}-p_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\Delta_{n} \rightarrow S
$$

in the sense that there is a $\delta^{\prime}>0$ such that for any $x \in S$, and $n$ large enough, the components of $\Delta_{n}$ close to $B_{S}(x, \delta)$ are graphical over $D(\delta) \subset$ $T_{x} S$ and converge to $B_{S}(x, \delta)$ in $C^{2}$-norm.
For $R^{\prime}$ large enough, the index of

$$
B_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(p_{n}, R^{\prime} / \lambda_{n}\right)
$$

is at least one for $n$ sufficiently large, else $S$ must be stable, contradicting the fact that $S$ is complete and non-flat [18]. By induction there is a set

$$
\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n} \subset \hat{\Sigma}_{n}=\Sigma_{n} \backslash B_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(p_{n}, R^{\prime} / \lambda_{n}\right)
$$

with at most $I-1$ points such that

$$
\left|A_{n}\right|(x) \min \left\{d_{\hat{\Sigma}_{n}}\left(x, \partial \hat{\Sigma}_{n} \cup \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}\right),(\sqrt{\Lambda})^{-1}\right\} \leq C
$$

We claim that we can take $\mathcal{B}_{n}=\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n} \cup\left\{p_{n}\right\}$. Assume there is $z_{n} \in \Sigma_{n}$ such that

$$
\left|A_{n}\right|\left(z_{n}\right) \min \left\{d_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(z_{n}, \partial \Sigma_{n} \cup \mathcal{B}_{n}\right),(\sqrt{\Lambda})^{-1}\right\} \rightarrow \infty
$$

We will consider two cases. First assume

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n} d_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(z_{n}, p_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

Passing to a subsequence we get that

$$
\frac{d_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(z_{n}, p_{n}\right)}{\tilde{R}_{n}}=\frac{\lambda_{n} d_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(z_{n}, p_{n}\right)}{\lambda_{n} \tilde{R}_{n}} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

So, for $n$ large enough $z_{n} \in B_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(p_{n}, \tilde{R}_{n} / 2\right)$, and hence

$$
\left|A_{n}\right|\left(z_{n}\right) \leq 2 \lambda_{n}
$$

We conclude that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|A_{n}\right|\left(z_{n}\right) d_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(z_{n}, p_{n}\right) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2 \lambda_{n} d_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(z_{n}, p_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

Contradicting the choice of $z_{n}$. Now assume that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n} d_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(z_{n}, p_{n}\right)=\infty
$$

It follows that for $n$ large enough $d_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(z_{n}, p_{n}\right)>R^{\prime} / \lambda_{n}$, hence $z_{n} \in \hat{\Sigma}_{n}$, contradicting the inductive hypothesis.
Remark 7. Note that this same proof works in the case where $M$ has a boundary as long as $\Sigma$ is away from this boundary.

## 3. Picture near blow-up points

In this section we will consider sequences embedded CMC surfaces $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}$ in a fixed closed 3-manifold $(M, g)$. Since the $\Sigma_{n}$ are embedded and the ambient manifold is fixed we can make sense of a sequences of rescaled surfaces

$$
\sigma_{n}\left(\Sigma_{n}-p_{n}\right)
$$

in a slightly different way to that presented in Subsection 2.1 Namely, we can take harmonic charts $\left(U_{n}, \varphi_{n}, B_{M}\left(x, p_{n}\right)\right)$ and define

$$
\Sigma_{n}-p_{n} \subset\left(U_{n}, \varphi_{n}^{*} g_{n}\right)
$$

to be the pre-image of the component of $\Sigma_{n} \cap B_{M}\left(x, p_{n}\right)$ passing by $p_{n}$. Then $\sigma_{n}\left(\Sigma_{n}-p_{n}\right)$ has as the properties listed in Subsection 2.1 in addition to being embedded.

Following [5] we say that a sequence of finite sets of points $\mathcal{B}_{n} \subset \Sigma_{n}$ is a sequence of blow-up sets if:
(1) The curvature blows up at the $\mathcal{B}_{n}$, that is, taking $\lambda_{n}(p)=\left|A_{n}\right|(p)$ we have

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \min _{p \in \mathcal{B}_{n}} \lambda_{n}(p) \rightarrow \infty
$$

(2) Taking any sequence of points $p_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ we can pass to a subsequence so

$$
\lambda_{n}\left(p_{n}\right)\left(\Sigma_{n}-p_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\infty}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ is a weak CMC lamination of euclidean 3 -space with $\left\|A_{\mathcal{L}_{\infty}}\right\|_{C^{0}} \leq$ 5 and $\left|A_{\mathcal{L}_{\infty}}\right|(0)=1$.
(3) The blow-up points do not appear in the blow-up limit of the other points, that is

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \min _{p, q \in \mathcal{B}_{n}, p \neq q} \lambda_{n}(p) d_{M}(p, q)=\infty
$$

Proposition 8. Suppose that the index of the $\Sigma_{n}$ is uniformly bounded above by a constant $I<\infty$. Then there is a sequence of blow-up sets $\mathcal{B}_{n} \subset \Sigma_{n}$ with cardinality at most $I$ and a constant $C<\infty$ depending only on I such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{n}\right|(x) \min \left\{d_{M}\left(x, \partial \Sigma_{n} \cup \mathcal{B}_{n}\right),(\sqrt{\Lambda})^{-1}\right\} \leq C \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n$ and all $x \in \Sigma_{n}$.
Proof. We will show that one can take the sets $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4 by changing the distance function from the intrinsic distance to the extrinsic distance. It is clear that these sets have cardinality at most $I$ and that the $\lambda_{n}\left(p_{n}\right)\left(\Sigma_{n}-p_{n}\right)$ are embedded with bounded second fundamental form and the distance from the origin to boundary of $\lambda_{n}\left(p_{n}\right)\left(\Sigma_{n}-p_{n}\right)$ diverges. So it follows that they must subconverge to a weak CMC lamination of euclidean 3 -space. (see [9, Appendix B])

To show the third item in the definition holds, let $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}$ be as constructed in the proof of Theorem 4 and assume by contradiction that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \min _{q \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}} \lambda_{n} d_{M}\left(p_{n}, q\right)<\infty
$$

Take $q_{n}$ to be a point in $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}$ closest to $p_{n}$ and let $\eta_{n}=\left|A_{n}\right|\left(q_{n}\right)$, repeating the arguments from the proof of Theorem 4 we have that, passing to a subsequence, for $n$ large enough

$$
\eta_{n} \leq \frac{\lambda_{n}}{2}
$$

So $\liminf \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{n} d_{M}\left(q_{n}, p_{n}\right)<\infty$. This clearly implies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|A_{n}\right|\left(q_{n}\right) d_{M}\left(q_{n}, \partial \hat{\Sigma}_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

contradicting the choice of $q_{n}$.
In the case where the mean curvature of the $\Sigma_{n}$ are uniformly bounded, repeating the arguments in [5, Section 4] we can conclude:

Lemma 9. Suppose $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of CMC surfaces embedded in a complete Riemannian 3-manifold $(M, g)$ with mean curvature uniformly bounded above by a constant $H$. Suppose the blow-up sets $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ accumulate on a set $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}$. Then there is a $\delta>0$ such that the set

$$
B_{M}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\infty}, \delta\right)=\bigcup_{p_{\infty} \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}} B_{M}\left(p_{\infty}, \delta\right)
$$

is a union of disjoint balls where the following holds: Write $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ for the connected components of $B_{M}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\infty}, \delta\right) \cap \Sigma_{n}$ containing points in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ and $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ for the other connected components of $B_{M}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\infty}, \delta\right) \cap \Sigma_{n}$. Then there are functions $m=m(I, H)$ and $r=r(I, H)$ such that for $n$ large:
(1) The surfaces $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ are CMC disks with second fundamental form uniformly bounded above.
(2) The surfaces $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ intersects $\partial B_{M}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\infty}, \delta\right)$ transversely in at most $m$ simple closed curves.
(3) The surfaces $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ have genus at most $r$;
(4) The surfaces $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ have uniformly bounded area.

## 4. Picture away from blow-up points

In this section we will show that a sequence of CMC surfaces embedded in a space with finite fundamental group and with mean curvature bounded away from zero cannot accumulate in a region where they have uniformly bounded second fundamental form (Lemma 11). The results presented here will be used to prove Theorem 3 and will also be needed to remove any upper mean curvature bound assumption on Theorem [2, We will state and prove some of our results for hypersurfaces in manifolds of arbitrary dimension, but for our applications we only need to consider surfaces in 3-manifolds.
4.1. Two-sided hypersurfaces in spaces with finite fundamental group. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold (possibly with boundary) with finite fundamental group. Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a complete properly embedded two-sided hypersurface and let $N$ be a unit normal vector field of $\Sigma$. Suppose $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ is a differentiable curve between points in $M \backslash \Sigma$ which is transversal to $\Sigma$. Write $\gamma^{-1}(\Sigma)=\left\{t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right\}$ where $t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}$. We say that $\Sigma$ is $\ell$-alternating if for any such path $\gamma$ one of the following holds:

- Either $\ell>n-1$;
- or there is a $j \leq \ell+1$ such that

$$
g\left(\gamma^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right), N\left(\gamma\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right) \text { and } g\left(\gamma^{\prime}\left(t_{j}\right), N\left(\gamma\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right)
$$

have opposite signs.
Proposition 10. Suppose $\left|\pi_{1}(M)\right|=\ell<\infty$. Then any complete properly embedded two-sided hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$ is $\ell$-alternating.

Proof. Let $\tilde{M}$ be the universal cover of $M$ and let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be the lift of $\Sigma$ in the sense that, for any evenly covered open set $U \subset M$ and any sheet $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{M}$ over $U, \tilde{\Sigma} \cap \tilde{U}$ is mapped isometrically to $\Sigma \cap U$ by the covering map. Note that we can lift a unit normal vector field $N$ of $\Sigma$ to a unit normal vector field $\tilde{N}$ of $\tilde{\Sigma}$.

Let $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be a differentiable path with ends away from $\Sigma$ which is transversal to $\Sigma$ and intersects $\Sigma$ it in at least $\ell+1$ points. Choose any lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $\gamma$. Note that $\gamma^{-1}(\Sigma)=\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}(\tilde{\Sigma})$ and for each $t_{j}$ in this set

$$
g\left(\gamma^{\prime}\left(t_{j}\right), N\left(\gamma\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right)=\tilde{g}\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}\left(t_{j}\right), \tilde{N}\left(\tilde{\gamma}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right)
$$

So we only need to show that there are $j, j^{\prime} \leq \ell+1$ such that

$$
g\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}\left(t_{j}\right), \tilde{N}\left(\gamma\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right) \text { and } \tilde{g}\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}\left(t_{j^{\prime}}\right), \tilde{N}\left(\tilde{\gamma}\left(t_{j^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right)
$$

have opposite sings.
Since the order of the cover $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is $\ell, \tilde{\Sigma}$ has at most $\ell$ connected components. So there must be a connected component $\tilde{\Sigma}_{0}$ of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ intersecting $\tilde{\gamma}$ at least twice. Let $\tilde{\gamma}\left(s_{1}\right)=\tilde{x}, \tilde{\gamma}\left(s_{2}\right)=\tilde{y} \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{0}$ be the first and second points of intersection of $\tilde{\gamma}$ with $\tilde{\Sigma}_{0}$ respectively. Assume by contradiction that the product of the velocity of $\tilde{\gamma}$ with the normal of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{0}$ has the same sign at both these points. By changing the direction of the normal of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{0}$ we can assume that these are both negative. Choose a path $\eta:[0,1] \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}_{0}$ between $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$ and for $r \geq 0$ set

$$
\eta_{r}(t)=\exp _{\tilde{M}}(r \tilde{N}(\eta(t)))
$$

Fix $\rho>0$ small enough so that $\eta_{\rho}$ does not intersect $\tilde{\Sigma}_{0}$. Taking $\epsilon>0$ small $\left.{\underset{\tilde{\Sigma}}{ }}^{\text {enough, we can join }} \gamma\right|_{\left[s_{1}-\epsilon, s_{2}-\epsilon\right]}$ to $\eta_{\rho}$ by two smooth curves that do not intersect $\tilde{\Sigma}_{0}$. Let $\beta$ be the concatenation of these 4 curves. Then $\beta$ is a smooth by parts and intersects $\tilde{\Sigma}_{0}$ exactly at $\tilde{x}$. On the other hand, since $\tilde{M}$ is simply-connected and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{0}$ is complete and proper, the intersection number of $\beta$ and $\Sigma_{0}$ has to be even.
4.2. CMC surfaces in manifolds with finite fundamental group. We finish this section with the proof of the lemma below.

Lemma 11. Let $(M, g)$ be a 3-manifold (possibly with boundary) with absolute sectional curvature bounds $|K| \leq \Lambda<\infty$ and let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a properly embedded $C M C$ surface. Fix any $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and let $\Omega \subset M$ be an open set such that there are $\delta, i>0$ with the property that $\Omega(\delta)$ as defined in Theorem [6 does not intersect $\partial M \cup \partial \Sigma$ and $\operatorname{inj}_{(M, g)}(p) \geq i$ for all $p \in \Omega(\delta)$. Suppose the second fundamental form of $\Sigma$ in $\Omega$ is bounded above by a constant $C<\infty$. Then for all $p \in \Omega$ there is $a \epsilon>0$ depending only on $\alpha, \delta, i, C$ and $\Lambda$ such that $\Sigma \cap B_{M}(p, \epsilon)$ is parametrized by graphs of functions with $C^{2, \alpha}$ bounds depending only on $\alpha, \delta, i, C$ and $\Lambda$.

If we assume additionally that $\pi_{1}(M)$ is finite and that $\Sigma$ is complete and has mean curvature mean curvature $H \geq \eta>0$, then there is a $N<\infty$ depending only on $\alpha, \delta, i, C, \Lambda, \eta$ and $\left|\pi_{1}(M)\right|$ such that $\Sigma \cap B_{M}(p, \epsilon)$ is parametrized by no more than $N$ graphs.

Proof. The first part of the statement is equivalent to the Uniform Graph Lemma presented in Appendix A. Now for the second part of the statement, note that by Proposition 10 the graphs that parametrize $\Sigma$ must alternate. Since these graphs must have alternating mean curvature (in the sense of Appendix B) it is possible to bound the number $N$ of graphs uniformly using the mean curvature equation, as shown in Proposition 14.

## 5. Proof of the main theorems

Now we are are ready to prove theorems 2 and 3. Since the proofs are very similar we present the proof of Theorem 2 in more detail and reference it in the proof of Theorem 3 .
5.1. Proof of Theorem 2, Let $(M, g)$ be a closed Riemannian 3-manifold and let $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}$ be sequence of closed embedded CMC surfaces in $M$ with index at most $I$ and area at most $A_{0}$. Suppose by contradiction that the genus of the $\Sigma_{n}$ form a divergent sequence. Let $H_{n}$ be the mean curvature of $\Sigma_{n}$. We will consider two cases depending on the behavior of the sequence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$.

Case 1: $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{n}<\infty$.
Pass to a subsequence so the $H_{n}$ are uniformly bounded in $n$ and divide the $\Sigma_{n}$ into three parts: $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ as in Lemma 9 and

$$
\Sigma_{n}^{b}=\Sigma_{n} \backslash B_{M}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\infty}, \delta / 2\right)
$$

By Theorem 4, the norm of the second fundamental form of the $\Sigma_{n}$ is uniformly bounded away from $B_{M}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\infty}, \delta / 3\right)$. Since the area of the $\Sigma_{n}$ is uniformly bounded above the number of graphs in the local parametrization of Lemma 11 is uniformly bounded. So we can pass to a subsequence such that the $\Sigma_{n}^{b}$ converge with finite multiplicity to a CMC surface $\Sigma_{\infty}^{b}$ (see [14, Theorem 4.37]). Hence for $n$ large enough $\Sigma_{n}^{b}$ is a cover of $\Sigma_{\infty}^{b}$ with uniformly bounded degree, so there are uniform bounds on the Betti numbers of the $\Sigma_{n}^{b}$.

Lemma 9 together with the area bound limits the Betti numbers of $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime \prime}$. By [5, Lemma 3.1] the $\Sigma_{n}^{b}$ intersects $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ on annuli, so we can use a MayerVietoris sequence to bound on the Betti numbers of the $\Sigma_{n} 3$. This contradicts the assumption that the sequence of the genus of the $\Sigma_{n}$ diverges.

Case 2: $H_{n} \rightarrow \infty$.
By Corollary 5 we can conclude that a subsequence of the $\Sigma_{n}$ converges to a point $p_{\infty} \in M$ in the Hausdorff sense. So for $n$ large enough

$$
\Sigma_{n} \subset B_{M}\left(p_{\infty}, r\right)
$$

where $r=\operatorname{inj}_{(M, g)}\left(p_{\infty}\right) / 2$. Using the exponential map of $M$ at $p_{\infty}$ we can pull $\Sigma_{n}$ back to a properly embedded $H_{n}$-surface

$$
\tilde{\Sigma}_{n} \subset\left(B_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(p_{\infty}, r\right), \exp _{p_{\infty}}^{*} g\right)
$$

Scaling the $\tilde{\Sigma}_{n}$ by $H_{n}$ we obtain a sequence of 1 -surfaces

$$
\bar{\Sigma}_{n}=H_{n} \tilde{\Sigma}_{n}
$$

with uniformly bounded diameter in an increasing sequence of balls with metrics converging smoothly to the euclidean metric in compact sets.

Consider a decomposition of the $\bar{\Sigma}_{n}$ into $\bar{\Sigma}_{n}^{\prime}, \bar{\Sigma}_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\bar{\Sigma}_{n}^{b}$ analogous to the decomposition of the $\Sigma_{n}$ in Case 1. By Lemma 11 there are uniform bounds on the number of sheets of the $\bar{\Sigma}_{n}^{b}$ on any small open set. Hence we can conclude that, passing to a subsequence, the $\bar{\Sigma}_{n}^{b}$ converge to a 1 -surface $\Sigma_{\infty}^{b}$. These same arguments can be used to bound the number of connected components of the $\bar{\Sigma}_{n}^{\prime \prime}$. Finally we can conclude using the same arguments from Case 1.

[^2]5.2. Proof of Theorem 3. First note that by Theorem 2 we only have to show that there is an uniform area bound. Let $(M, g)$ be a spherical Riemannian 3manifold and let $\left\{\Sigma_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of closed embedded CMC surfaces in $M$ with index at most $I<\infty$ and mean curvature at least $\eta>0$. Assume by contradiction that the area of the $\Sigma_{n}$ form a divergent sequence.

Let $H_{n}$ be the mean curvature of $\Sigma_{n}$. In the case where $\limsup _{n} H_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ we can pass to a subsequence so $H_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Following the arguments used in Case 2 of the proof of Theorem 2 we can conclude that the area of the mean-curvature rescaled $\Sigma_{n}$ is uniformly bounded, hence the area of the $\Sigma_{n}$ must converge to 0 , a contradiction.

So we may assume $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{n}<\infty$. In this case we can apply the same decomposition used in Case 1 on the proof of Theorem 2 and use Lemma 11 to bound the number of sheets of any $\Sigma_{n}^{b}$ that can pass through small regions. A similar argument can be used to bound the number of connected components the $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ can have, and hence we can conclude that there are uniform area bounds for these two parts. Finally, Lemma 9 allows us to conclude that there are uniform area bounds for the $\Sigma_{n}^{\prime}$.

## Appendix A. Uniform Graph Lemma

The following result is a version of the Uniform Graph Lemma (cf [14, Lemma $4.35]$ ) which allows for $C^{2, \alpha}$ bounds for functions parametrizing CMC surfaces depending only on the second fundamental form of the surface and the ambient space sectional curvature. This lemma is slightly stronger than what we need in order to show our main theorems, however it is included here for the sake of completion.

Lemma 12. Suppose $(M, g)$ is a 3-manifold with absolute sectional curvature bounds $|K| \leq \Lambda<\infty$ and let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a properly embedded CMC surface. Let $\Omega \subset M$ be an open set lying away from the boundary of $M$ and suppose the second fundamental form of $\Sigma$ in $\Omega$ is bounded above by a constant $C<\infty$. Fix any $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and suppose $\delta, i, r_{0}$ and $Q_{0}$ are as in Theorem 6. Fix an $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$ and let $x \in \Omega$ be such that $d_{M}(x, \partial \Sigma)>r$.

Choose a harmonic chart $\left(U, \varphi, B_{M}(x, r)\right)$ as in Theorem 6. For any $\epsilon \in(0, r)$ let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be the set of connected components of $\varphi^{-1}\left(\Sigma \cap B_{M}(x, r)\right)$ intersecting the euclidean ball $B_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(0, \epsilon)$. Then there are $\epsilon>0, \rho \in(\epsilon, r)$ and $C^{\prime}<\infty$ depending only on $\Lambda, C, i$ and $\alpha$, and a rotation $R \in O\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that:
(1) Every connected component of $R\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \cap B(\rho) \times \mathbb{R}$ is the graph of a function $u$ over $B(\rho)$.
(2) For all such functions $u$ we have

$$
\|u\|_{C^{2, \alpha}(B(\rho))} \leq C^{\prime}
$$

Proof. From (5) and (6) we can conclude that there is a $K<\infty$ depending only on $Q_{0}$ and $C$ such that the norm of the euclidean second fundamental form of $\varphi^{-1}\left(\Sigma \cap B_{M}(x, r)\right)$ is at most $K$. For any $\epsilon>0$ let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be as above and let $G^{\prime}$ : $\Sigma^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}^{2}$ be the euclidean unoriented Gauss map of $\Sigma^{\prime}$.

We first claim that there is an $\epsilon>0$ depending only on $K$ and $r$ such that

$$
\sup _{p, q \in \Sigma^{\prime} \cap B_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(0, \epsilon)} d_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(G^{\prime}(p), G^{\prime}(q)\right)<\frac{\pi}{4} .
$$

For $p$ and $q$ in the same connected component, the existence of $\epsilon$ follows immediately from the bounds on the second fundamental form. For $p, q$ in distinct connected components we can use the bound on the second form to show that if $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small and $G^{\prime}(p)$ and $G^{\prime}(q)$ are too far apart then the connect components on $\Sigma^{\prime}$ containing $p$ and $q$ must cross.

Choose a rotation $R$ so that the tangent planes to $R\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \cap B_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(0, \epsilon)$ are bounded away from any vertical plane by at least $\pi / 6$. It follows that there is a constant $\tilde{\rho}$ depending only on $K$ such that, for all $p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) \in R(\Sigma) \cap B_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(0, \epsilon)$ the portion of $R\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ in the cylinder $B\left(\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right), \tilde{\rho}\right) \times \mathbb{R}$ is the graph of a function over $B(p, \tilde{\rho})$. The bounds on the euclidean second form and on the distance from the tangent planes to vertical planes give bounds on the $C^{2}$ norms for these functions.

By possibly making $\epsilon$ smaller, we can assume that $\epsilon<\tilde{\rho} / 2$. So taking $\rho=\tilde{\rho} / 2$, we have that every connected component of $R\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \cap B(\rho) \times \mathbb{R}$ is a graph over $B(\rho)$ of a function with $C^{2}$-control. Making $\rho$ smaller and applying interior Schauder estimates [12, Theorem 6.2] using the mean curvature equation we can obtain $C^{2, \alpha}$ bounds for these functions.

## Appendix B. Alternating CMC graphs

Here we will use a local version of the alternating property defined in 4.1 to bound the number of sheets any CMC hypersurface can have in a small region.

Let $B(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an open ball of radius $r$ and suppose $g$ is a metric in $B(r) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that the $g_{i j}$ have $C^{1, \alpha}$-norm bounded by a constant $Q_{0}$ for some fixed $\alpha \in$ $(0,1)$. Assume further that, as quadratic forms

$$
Q_{0}^{-1} \delta_{i j} \leq g_{i j} \leq Q_{0} \delta_{i j}
$$

For any $u \in C^{2}(B(r))$ we will choose the normal $N_{u}$ to the graph of $u$ pointing upwards, that is $g\left(N_{u}, \partial_{d}\right)>0$. For $x \in B(r)$ let $h_{u}(x)$ be the scalar mean curvature of the graph of $u$ at $(x, u(x))$ with respect to the metric $g$ and the normal $N_{u}$. We will refer to $h_{u}$ as the mean curvature of $u$, and if $h_{u}$ is constant we will say that $u$ has constant mean curvature.

Proposition 13. Suppose $u, v \in C^{2, \alpha}(B(r))$ have constant mean curvature with opposite signs and
(1) $u \leq v$ point-wise;
(2) $\left|h_{u}\right|=\left|h_{v}\right| \geq \eta$ for some $\eta>0$;
(3) $\|u\|_{C^{2, \alpha}(B(r))},\|v\|_{C^{2, \alpha}(B(r))} \leq C$ for some $C>0$.

Then there is a $c>0$ depending only on $Q_{0}, \alpha, r, \eta$ and $C$ such that

$$
\|u-v\|_{C^{0}(B(r))}>c
$$

Proof. Since $u$ and $v$ have $C^{2, \alpha}$ norm bounded above by $C$ we can conclude that for all $\epsilon>0$ there is a $\delta>0$ depending only on $C, \alpha, \epsilon$ and $r$ such that

$$
\|u-v\|_{C^{2}(B(r / 2))}<\epsilon
$$

whenever $\|u-v\|_{C^{0}(B(r))}<\delta$. So if $u$ and $v$ can be made arbitrarily close in $B(r)$, their mean curvatures can also be made to be arbitrarily close in $B(r / 2)$.

On the other hand from the mean curvature equation (see [8, Chapter $5 ; 10$, Chapter 7]) we can conclude that there is a $C^{\prime}<\infty$ depending only on $Q_{0}, \alpha, r$ and $C$ such that

$$
2 \eta \leq\left\|h_{u}-h_{v}\right\|_{C^{0}(B(r / 2))} \leq C^{\prime}\|u-v\|_{C^{2}(B(r / 2))}
$$

whenever $u$ and $v$ are $C^{2}$-close enough. Contradicting the fact that $u$ and $v$ can be made arbitrarily close in the $C^{2}$ norm.

Assume $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n} \in C^{2, \alpha}(B(r))$ have non-zero constant mean curvature with the same magnitude and $u_{1} \leq u_{2} \leq \cdots \leq u_{n}$ point-wise. We say that such a collection is $\ell$-alternating for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ if for all $j \leq n-\ell$ there is a $j^{\prime} \leq j+\ell$ such that the mean curvature of $u_{j}$ and $u_{j^{\prime}}$ have opposite signs. Note that by Proposition 10 this property always holds for graphs parametrizing a complete properly embedded two-sided CMC hypersurface in a manifold with fundamental group of order at most $\ell$.

Proposition 14. Let $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n} \in C^{2, \alpha}(B(r))$ be an $\ell$-alternating collection and let $\eta>0, C<\infty$ and $\epsilon \in(0, r)$ be such that for all $k=1, \cdots, n$ :
(1) $\left|h_{u_{k}}\right| \geq \eta$;
(2) $\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{C^{2, \alpha}(B(r))} \leq C$;
(3) the graph of every $u_{k}$ intersects an euclidean ball $B(\epsilon)$.

Then there is an $N<\infty$ depending only on $Q_{0}, \alpha, \eta, r, \ell, C$ and $\epsilon$ such that $n \leq N$.
Proof. By passing to a subset of $\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right\}$ with at least $\lfloor n / \ell\rfloor$ elements we can assume that the mean curvature of the $u_{k}$ alternate (i.e. $\ell=1$ ). The difference $w_{k, k+2}=u_{k+2}-u_{k}$ follows an elliptic equation with ellipticity and coefficients controlled by the $C^{1}$ norm of the metric and the $C^{1}$ norm of $u_{k+2}$ and $u_{k}$ (see 8, Chapter 5 ; 10, Chapter 7]). It follows that these functions obey a Harnack inequality with a constant $C^{\prime}$ that only depends on $Q_{0}$ and $C$ [12, Theorem 8.20].

It follows from the $C^{1}$ bound on the $u_{k}$ that $\left|u_{k}\right|<3 C \epsilon$ in $B(\epsilon)$. Hence for at least one of the $w_{k, k+2}$ must have

$$
\inf _{x \in B(\epsilon)} w_{k, k+2}(x) \leq \frac{6 C \epsilon}{n}
$$

So by the Harnack inequality $w_{k, k+2} \leq 6 C^{\prime} \frac{C \epsilon}{n}$ in $B(\epsilon / 2)$, this implies that

$$
\left\|u_{k}-u_{k+1}\right\|_{C^{0}(B(\epsilon / 2))} \leq 6 C^{\prime} \frac{C \epsilon}{n}
$$

So we must have $n<6 \epsilon C^{\prime} C c^{-1}$ where $c$ is as in Proposition 13 with $r=\epsilon / 2$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the case where the surface is not minimal, it is natural to consider only variations of $\Sigma$ that preserve volume to first degree. That means adding the restriction $\int_{\Sigma} u=0$ to the domain of $Q$. The index of $Q$ in this domain is called the weak Morse index of $\Sigma$. Note that the difference between the weak and strong Morse indices is at most one 3].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Even though we will not use this fact, it is interesting to note that by Theorem 4 and the Local Removable Singularity Theorem for CMC laminations [15] Theorem 1.2] we can choose a subsequence so this limit lamination extends to the blow-up points.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ We can also use a surgery argument [5, Proposition 5.1]

