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Gerbner and Palmer [5], generalizing the definition of hypergraph cycles due to Berge, introduced the following notion. A hypergraph $H$ contains a Berge copy of a graph $G$, if there are injections $\Psi_{1}: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ and $\Psi_{2}: E(G) \rightarrow E(H)$ such that for every edge $u v \in E(G)$ the containment $\Psi_{1}(u), \Psi_{1}(v) \in \Psi_{2}(u v)$ holds, i.e., each graph edge can be mapped into a distinct hyperedge containing it to create a copy of $G$. If $|E(H)|=|E(G)|$, then we say that $H$ is a Berge- $G$, and we denote such hypergraphs by $\mathcal{B} G$.

The study of Ramsey problems for such hypergraphs started independently in 2018 by three groups of authors [1, 4, 6]. Denote by $R_{r}(\mathcal{B} G ; c)$ the size of the smallest $N$ such that no matter how we $c$-color the $r$-edges of $K_{N}^{r}$, the complete $r$-uniform hypergraph, we can always find a monochromatic $\mathcal{B} G$. In [1] $R_{r}\left(\mathcal{B} K_{n} ; c\right)$ was studied for $n=3$, 4. In [4] it was conjectured that $R_{r}\left(\mathcal{B} K_{n} ; c\right)$ is bounded by a polynomial of $n$ (depending on $r$ and $c$ ), and they showed that $R_{r}\left(\mathcal{B} K_{n} ; c\right)=n$ if $r>2 c$ and $R_{r}\left(\mathcal{B} K_{n} ; c\right)=n+1$ if $r=2 c$, while $R_{3}\left(\mathcal{B} K_{n} ; 2\right)<2 n$ (also proved in [6). In [6] a superlinear lower bound was shown for $r=c=3$ and for every other $r$ for large enough $c$. This was improved in [3] to $R_{r}\left(\mathcal{B} K_{n} ; c\right)=\Omega\left(n^{d}\right)$ if $c>(d-1)\binom{r}{2}$ and $R_{r}\left(\mathcal{B} K_{n} ; c\right)=\Omega\left(n^{1+1 /(r-2)} / \log n\right)$. We further improve these to disprove the conjecture of [4].
Theorem. $R_{r}\left(\mathcal{B} K_{n} ; c\right)>\left(1+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)^{n-1}$ if $c>\binom{r}{2}$.
Proof. It is enough to prove the statement for $c=\binom{r}{2}+1$. For $r=2$ this reduces to the classical Ramsey's theorem, so we can assume $r \geq 3$. We can also suppose $n \geq\binom{ r}{2}+1=c$, or the lower bound becomes trivial. Suppose $N \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)^{n-1}$. Assign randomly (uniformly and independently) a forbidden color to every pair of vertices in $K_{N}^{r}$. Color the $r$-edges of $K_{N}^{r}$ arbitrarily, respecting the following rule: if $\{u, v\} \subset E$, then the color of $E$ cannot be the forbidden color of $\{u, v\}$. Since $c>\binom{r}{2}$, this leaves at least one choice for each edge. Following the classic proof of the lower bound of the Ramsey's theorem, now we calculate the probability of having a monochromatic $\mathcal{B} K_{n}$. The chance of a monochromatic $\mathcal{B} K_{n}$ on a fixed set of $n$ vertices for a fixed color is at most $\left(\frac{c-1}{c}\right)^{\binom{n}{2}}$, as the fixed color cannot be the forbidden one on any of the pairs of vertices. Thus the expected number of monochromatic $\mathcal{B} K_{n}$ 's is at most $c\binom{N}{n}\left(\frac{c-1}{c}\right)^{\binom{n}{2} \text {. If this quantity is less than } 1 \text {, then we }}$ know that a suitable coloring exists. Since $c \leq n \leq n$ !, it is enough to show that $N<\left(\frac{c}{c-1}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, but this is true using $c=\binom{r}{2}+1$ and $r \geq 3$.

## Remarks and acknowledgment

As was brought to my attention by an anonymous referee, my construction for $r=3$ and $c=4$ is essentially the same as the one used in the proof of Theorem 1(ii) in [2] for a different problem, the

[^0]4-color Ramsey number of the so-called hedgehog. A hedgehog with body of order $n$ is a 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n+\binom{n}{2}$ vertices such that $n$ vertices form its body, and any pair of vertices from its body are contained in exactly one hyperedge, whose third vertex is one of the other $\binom{n}{2}$ vertices, a different one for each hypderedge. It is easy to see that such a hypergraph is a Berge copy of $K_{n}$, and while their result, an exponential lower bound for the 4 -color Ramsey number of the hedgehog, does not directly imply mine, their construction is such that it also avoids a monochromatic $\mathcal{B} K_{n}$.

It is an interesting problem to determine how $R_{r}\left(\mathcal{B} K_{n} ; c\right)$ behaves if $c \leq\binom{ r}{2}$. The first open case is $r=c=3$, just like for hedgehogs.
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