An exact power series representation of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

J.C. Moodie^{*} and M.W. Long

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom.

Abstract

An exact representation of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula as a power series in just one of the two variables is constructed. Closed form coefficients of this series are found in terms of hyperbolic functions, which contain all of the dependence of the second variable. It is argued that this exact series may then be truncated and expected to give a good approximation to the full expansion if only the perturbative variable is small. This improves upon existing formulae, which require both to be small. As such this may allow access to larger phase spaces in physical problems which employ the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, along with enabling new problems to be tackled.

1 Introduction

In physics and mathematics [1–3] it is often useful to write the product $e^X e^Y$ as e^Z , for some Z. When the objects X and Y do not commute, as is often the case when dealing with matrices, it may not be elementary to find such a Z. Many authors [3–11] attempted to deal with this problem by targeting $Z(X, Y) \equiv \log (e^X e^Y)$. Such attempts resulted in the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula,

$$Z(X, Y) \equiv \log(e^{X}e^{Y}) = X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \frac{1}{12}([X, [X, Y]] + [Y, [Y, X]]) + \dots$$

Dynkin [12] found this formula explicitly in terms of commutators for every order, where order means combined powers of X and Y. Unfortunately, this means that if a truncation of the series is to give a good approximation to the full expansion, both X and Y must be sufficiently close to zero.

There exists an alternative representation to all orders in X but linear in Y. Letting $L_X Y \equiv [X, Y]$ denote commutator operators, it is given by

$$Z(X, Y) = X + \frac{L_X}{2\sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}L_X\right)} e^{\frac{1}{2}L_X} Y + \mathcal{O}(Y^2).$$
(1.1)

The aim of this work will be to extend this representation to all powers of Y. That is, find explicit expressions for \hat{G}_n given from

$$Z = X + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{G}_n \left(e^{\frac{1}{2}L_X} Y \right)^n$$

These operators \hat{G}_n will depend non-trivially on commutator operators L_X . This series may be truncated and give a good approximation to the full expansion if only Y is small, as opposed to both X and Y in the previous.

^{*}jxm276@student.bham.ac.uk

2 Main result

Consider a symmetric version of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula,

$$\mathcal{S}(A, B) \equiv \log\left(e^A e^{2B} e^A\right) \,, \tag{2.1}$$

for two matrices A and B. While this formulation is more natural to work with than (1.1), each may be transformed into the other via the Hadamard formula. Employing the notation for commutators which shall be used throughout this article, $LB \equiv [A, B]$ and $L^n B \equiv [A, [A, \dots, [A, B] \dots]]$, it states that

$$e^A B e^{-A} = e^L B \,. \tag{2.2}$$

This then implies

$$e^A e^B e^{-A} = e^{e^L B} \,,$$

from which it is easily seen that $S(A, B) = Z(2A, 2\exp(-L)B) = Z(2\exp(L)B, 2A)$ and additionally $Z(X, Y) = S(X/2, \exp(L_X/2)Y/2)$. The factors of two have been introduced here in order to simplify the final answer.

The task ahead is to expand equation (2.1). The matrix B will be the focus, with the aim being to write the expansion as a power series in this matrix. Once this is achieved, the coefficients of the power series will be examined in depth and closed form expressions obtained.

The identity

$$\log M = -\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{m=0}^{l} (-1)^m \frac{l!}{m!(l-m)!} M^m, \qquad (2.3)$$

may be employed, setting $M = \exp(A) \exp(2B) \exp(A)$. It will be found that M^m separates into several parts, each of which takes the form $f \exp(2mA)g$ for *m*-independent quantities f and g. Both f and g may then be pulled out of the above sums, and hence the logarithm, leaving $\exp(2mA)$ in place of M^m . The identity then may be used in reverse to obtain $\log(M) = f 2Ag$.

To that end, the focus will now be on calculating M^m . The Hadamard formula (2.2) may be used to symmetrically move exponentials of A to the edges, obtaining

$$M^{m} = e^{mA} \left[\prod_{n=-\frac{m-1}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \exp\left(2e^{2nL}B\right) \right] e^{mA},$$

where the product must be taken in the correct order, namely increasing n. The exponentials involving B may then be Taylor expanded

$$M^{m} = e^{mA} \left[\prod_{n=-\frac{m-1}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \sum_{k_{n}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_{n}!} \left(2e^{2nL}B \right)^{k_{n}} \right] e^{mA},$$

and terms gathered in orders of B,

$$M^{m} = e^{mA} \left[1 + 2 \left(\sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} \le n_{1} \le \frac{m-1}{2}} e^{2n_{1}L} B \right) + 2^{2} \left(\sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} \le n_{1} < n_{2} \le \frac{m-1}{2}} e^{2n_{1}L} B e^{2n_{2}L} B + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} \le n_{1} \le \frac{m-1}{2}} e^{2n_{1}L} B e^{2n_{1}L} B \right) + \cdots \right] e^{mA}$$

In the above expression, each term $\exp(2n_i L)B$ must be thought of as one object - that particular commutator operator L is acting on that particular matrix B and so the two are intrinsically linked. It is helpful to formalise this link, labelling the pair with an index. Then it is understood that the operator L_i acts on only the matrix B_i , and no other. Each such pair may then be labelled. This allows the commutation of operators and matrices with different labels, enabling all matrices B in the above expression to be pulled out of each sum. Explicitly,

$$M^{m} = e^{mA} \left[1 + 2 \left(\sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} \le n_{1} \le \frac{m-1}{2}} e^{2n_{1}L_{1}} \right) B_{1} + 2^{2} \left(\sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} \le n_{1} \le \frac{m-1}{2}} e^{2n_{1}L_{1}} e^{2n_{2}L_{2}} + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} \le n_{1} \le \frac{m-1}{2}} e^{2n_{1}(L_{1}+L_{2})} \right) B_{1}B_{2} + \cdots \right] e^{mA} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\equiv e^{mA} \left[F_{0} + F_{1}(L_{1}) B_{1} + F_{2}(L_{1}, L_{2}) B_{1}B_{2} + F_{3}(L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}) B_{1}B_{2}B_{3} + \cdots \right] e^{mA} \quad (2.5)$$

The first aim has thus been achieved; the formula (2.1) has been expanded with a power series in the matrix B. The next is to find closed form expressions for the coefficients F_N . First define the sum S_N as

$$S_N(L_1, L_2, \dots, L_N) \equiv 2^N \sum_{\substack{-\frac{m-1}{2} \le n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_N \le \frac{m-1}{2}}} e^{2n_1 L_1} e^{2n_2 L_2} \cdots e^{2n_N L_N},$$
(2.6)

then the first few of the coefficients F_N are given by

$$F_{0} = 1,$$

$$F_{1}(L_{1}) = S_{1}(L_{1}),$$

$$F_{2}(L_{1}, L_{2}) = S_{2}(L_{1}, L_{2}) + \frac{2}{2!}S_{1}(L_{1} + L_{2}),$$

$$F_{3}(L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}) = S_{3}(L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}) + \frac{2}{2!}\left(S_{2}(L_{1} + L_{2}, L_{3}) + S_{2}(L_{1}, L_{2} + L_{3})\right) + \frac{2^{3}}{3!}S_{1}(L_{1} + L_{2} + L_{3}).$$
(2.7)

Writing the coefficients F_N for an arbitrary order N is a problem in partitioning. As seen in the above examples, the string $L_1 + L_2 + \cdots + L_N$ is split in all possible ways. The resultant substrings are then used as arguments for the sums S_n . However, each sum is also divided by factorials. These factorials are determined by the length of the substrings used as arguments. For example, the string $L_1 + L_2 + L_3$ may be split in the following ways giving the following factorials:

$$L_1 + L_2 + L_3 \longrightarrow 3!,$$

$$L_1 + L_2 , L_3 \longrightarrow 2! 1!,$$

$$L_1 , L_2 + L_3 \longrightarrow 1! 2!,$$

$$L_1 , L_2 , L_3 \longrightarrow 1! 1! 1!,$$

$$(2.8)$$

demonstrating how F_3 was constructed in equation (2.7).

There are then two major hurdles to finding closed form expressions for each coefficient of the power series. The first is to calculate the explicit sum S_N . As the sum S_N may be thought of as N finite geometric series, it may be expected to have 2^N terms. However, it may be split into N + 1 parts, each of which is a collection of infinite geometric series. This lifting of the constraint is crucial and will be discussed shortly. The second hurdle is then to perform the partition sum, that is to calculate F_N given the functions S_r .

It is useful at this point to deal with a concrete example. Consider the sum

$$S_2(L_1, L_2) \equiv \sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} \le n_1 < n_2 \le \frac{m-1}{2}} 2^2 e^{n_1 L_1} e^{n_2 L_2}$$

The summation variables, n_1 and n_2 , are constrained from above and below. These constraints may be thought of as forming a triangle, as depicted in Figure 2.1. The sum may then be thought of as the combination of three semi-constrained sums, constructed by taking a given vertex of the triangle and extending the constraining lines to form infinite triangles or rectangles. Explicitly,

$$\sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} \le n_1 < n_2 \le \frac{m-1}{2}} 2^2 e^{2n_1 L_1} e^{2n_2 L_2} = 2^2 \left(\sum_{n_1 < n_2 \le \frac{m-1}{2}} e^{2n_1 L_1} e^{2n_2 L_2} \right) - 2^2 \left(\sum_{n_1 < -\frac{m-1}{2}} e^{2n_1 L_1} \sum_{n_2 \le \frac{m-1}{2}} e^{2n_2 L_2} \right) + 2^2 \left(\sum_{n_2 \le n_1 < -\frac{m-1}{2}} e^{2n_1 L_1} e^{2n_2 L_2} \right). \quad (2.9)$$

The sums on the right-hand side may then be evaluated to obtain

$$S_2(L_1, L_2) = \frac{\coth(L_1) - 1}{\sinh(L_1 + L_2)} e^{m(L_1 + L_2)} + \frac{1}{\sinh(-L_1)} \frac{1}{\sinh(L_2)} e^{m(-L_1 + L_2)} + \frac{\coth(-L_2) - 1}{\sinh(-L_1 - L_2)} e^{m(-L_1 - L_$$



Figure 2.1: A depiction of the parameter space of n_1 and n_2 in equation (2.9). Solid lines imply inclusiveness of that line in a given sum, while dashed imply the line of parameters is excluded. The variables of the original sum are constrained to the triangle formed from the vertices marked with a red circle.

Generalising this idea to the sum S_N involves N+1 vertices of an N-dimensional tetrahedron. The constraining lines are extended, creating N+1 sums similar to those in equation (2.9). This is done carefully in appendix A, with the final result

$$S_N(L_1, \dots, L_N) = \sum_{r=0}^N \tilde{S}_r(-L_r, -L_{r-1} - L_r, \dots, -L_1 - L_2 - \dots - L_r) \\ \times \tilde{S}_{N-r}(L_{r+1}, L_{r+1} + L_{r+2}, \dots, L_{r+1} + L_{r+2} + \dots + L_N) e^{m(-L_1 - \dots - L_r + L_{r+1} + \dots + L_N)}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\tilde{S}_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \frac{s_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1})}{\sinh(x_r)} \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad \tilde{S}_0 \equiv 1,$$
(2.11)

and

$$s_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}) = \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \left[\coth(x_j) - 1 \right] \quad \text{for } (r-1) \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad s_0 \equiv 1.$$
 (2.12)

There are several things to note from this result. Firstly, the N + 1 different forms that the exponential above may take clearly correspond to the vertices of the N-dimensional tetrahedron discussed previously. As mentioned earlier, this exponential, containing all the m-dependence, is crucial in reversing the identity (2.3). Next, note the splitting of each term into \tilde{S}_r and \tilde{S}_{N-r} functions. This structure remains for the coefficients F_N , as shall be seen shortly, and appears fundamental to the problem. Furthermore, the representation of the result in hyperbolic functions is perhaps not unexpected; previous results showed that the order B term is best written with a sinh function. Finally, the arguments of these factorised functions at first appear rather cumbersome. However, the constituent hyperbolic functions only ever contain sums of the commutator operators L_i . As such it is mathematically sensible to think of the active variables not as these commutator operators L_1 , L_2 , L_3 etc., but rather as strings of such operators, for example L_1 , $L_1 + L_2$, $L_1 + L_2 + L_3$ etc. More will be said of such strings in later sections 4. The next task is to perform the partition sum, or in other words calculate F_N given the now known S_r . Once again it is useful to turn to an example. Using the above results, it is simple to read off that

$$F_3(L_1, L_2, L_3) \equiv S_3(L_1, L_2, L_3) + \frac{2}{2!} \Big[S_2(L_1, L_2 + L_3) + S_2(L_1 + L_2, L_3) \Big] + \frac{2^2}{3!} S_1(L_1 + L_2 + L_3) \\ = C_0 e^{m(L_1 + L_2 + L_3)} + C_1 e^{m(-L_1 + L_2 + L_3)} + C_2 e^{m(-L_1 - L_2 + L_3)} + C_3 e^{m(-L_1 - L_2 - L_3)}$$

where

$$\begin{split} C_{0} &= \frac{\left(\coth(L_{1})-1\right)\left(\coth(L_{1}+L_{2})-1\right)+\frac{2}{2!}\left[\left(\coth(L_{1})-1\right)+\left(\coth(L_{1}+L_{2})-1\right)\right]+\frac{2^{2}}{3!}}{\sinh(L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3})},\\ C_{1} &= \left[\frac{1}{\sinh\left(-L_{1}\right)}\right]\left[\frac{\left(\coth(L_{2})-1\right)+\frac{2}{2!}}{\sinh(L_{2}+L_{3})}\right],\\ C_{2} &= \left[\frac{\left(\coth(-L_{2})-1\right)+\frac{2}{2!}}{\sinh\left(-L_{1}-L_{2}\right)}\right]\left[\frac{1}{\sinh(L_{3})}\right],\\ C_{3} &= \frac{\left(\coth(-L_{3})-1\right)\left(\coth(-L_{2}-L_{3})-1\right)+\frac{2}{2!}\left[\left(\coth(-L_{3})-1\right)+\left(\coth(-L_{2}-L_{3})-1\right)\right]+\frac{2^{2}}{3!}}{\sinh\left(-L_{1}-L_{2}-L_{3}\right)}. \end{split}$$

Within this example many of the previous themes are exposed. Recall that the structure of the sum S_N had three major components: the result split into N+1 terms; each term separated into an *m*-dependent exponential and an *m*-independent function; and each function then could be factorised. It is clear that the first two of these must remain true for the partition sum F_N , as there are N+1 different forms the exponential may take. Next, the sums which contribute to the coefficient of $\exp(m(-L_1 - \cdots - L_r + L_{r+1} + \cdots + L_N))$ must contain a partition between L_r and L_{r+1} . Any other partitioning which occurs to the left of the split affects a given sums contribution to the term independently of any partitioning to the right. As such, the factorisation must also remain true. Combining these observations,

$$F_N(L_1, L_2, \dots, L_N) = \sum_{r=0}^N \tilde{F}_r(-L_r, -L_r - L_{r-1}, \dots, -L_r - L_{r-1} - \dots - L_1) \\ \times \tilde{F}_{N-r}(L_{r+1}, L_{r+1} + L_{r+2}, \dots, L_{r+1} + L_{r+2} + \dots + L_N) e^{m(-L_1 - \dots - L_r + L_{r+1} + \dots + L_N)}, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\tilde{F}_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \frac{f_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1})}{\sinh(x_r)} \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad \tilde{F}_0 \equiv 1.$$
(2.14)

The function $f_{r-1}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{r-1})$ will be a partition sum of the functions s_n given in (2.12). For example, the function

$$f_2(x_1, x_2) = s_2(x_1, x_2) + \frac{2}{2!} \left[s_1(x_1) + s_1(x_2) \right] + \frac{2^2}{3!}$$

= $\left(\coth(x_1) - 1 \right) \left(\coth(x_2) - 1 \right) + \frac{2}{2!} \left[\left(\coth(x_1) - 1 \right) + \left(\coth(x_2) - 1 \right) \right] + \frac{2^2}{3!},$

is found in both C_0 and C_3 above. In general, $f_{r-1}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{r-1})$ is a sum of terms, each involving a product of coth functions. As shown for f_2 , in some of these terms there will be coth functions missed out, In a term where n such functions in a row have been missed out, the coefficient will be $a_{n+1} \equiv 2^n/(n+1)!$. This then implies

$$f_{r-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} a_{p_0} a_{p_1} \cdots a_{p_n} \big(\coth(x_{p_0}) - 1 \big) \big(\coth(x_{p_0+p_1}) - 1 \big) \cdots \big(\coth(x_{p_0+\dots+p_{n-1}}) - 1 \big) , \qquad (2.15)$$

where the sum over $\mathbf{p} \equiv (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is such that $p_0 + \dots + p_n = r$ and each $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. While superficially complicated, this sum is actually very simple. In a given term of the sum there are *n* coth functions, which

means (r-1) - n are missing. However, it is important how they were missed out - if *i* in a row are missed out then from the reasoning above they must be replaced with the number a_i .

Examining the above equation, it is clear that if $p_0 > 1$ then there have been $p_0 - 1$ missed out prior to the first coth and so a coefficient of a_{p_0} is picked up. Similarly if $p_1 > 1$ then $p_1 - 1$ have been missed out between the first and the second and a_{p_1} is required. Continuing this logic gives all terms in the above sum.

A simpler form of this function may be obtained. The brackets in the sum may be expanded, putting the function into the form

$$f_{r-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} t_{p_0} t_{p_1} \cdots t_{p_n} \operatorname{coth}(x_{p_1}) \operatorname{coth}(x_{p_1+p_2}) \cdots \operatorname{coth}(x_{p_1+\dots+p_n}).$$
(2.16)

Comparing the constant term of (2.15) with that of the above gives

$$t_r = \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} (-1)^{r-n+1} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} a_{p_0} a_{p_1} \cdots a_{p_n} \,.$$

If this sum can be determined then this will of course give all numbers t_i which appear in equation (2.16) by letting r = i. Generating functions may be employed to perform this calculation. First multiply both sides by x^r , and sum over r:

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} t_r x^r = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} a_{p_0} a_{p_1} \cdots a_{p_n} (-x)^r$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=n+1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} a_{p_0} a_{p_1} \cdots a_{p_n} (-x)^r.$$

Next note

$$\sum_{r=n+1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} a_{p_0} a_{p_1} \cdots a_{p_n} (-x)^r = \left[-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k (-x)^k \right]^{n+1} = \left[\frac{1-e^{-2x}}{2} \right]^{n+1}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} t_r x^r = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1 - e^{-2x}}{2} \right]^{n+1} = \tanh(x) \,,$$

demonstrating the numbers t_r are generated by tanh. When combined with equation (2.16), this then gives a clean formula for f_{r-1} and thus F_N . That is, f_{r-1} is a sum of products of coth functions. In each term of this sum, some number of these functions in a row will be missed out an replaced with the numbers t_r which come from the Taylor expansion of tanh(x). Finite examples of this concept will be given for clarity in section 3.

Focus will now turned to the exponential in equation (2.13). It is here that the identity (2.3) will be reversed. Equation (2.5) may now be rewritten as

$$M^{m} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} e^{mA} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{N} \tilde{F}_{r} \tilde{F}_{N-r} e^{m(-L_{1}-\dots-L_{r}+L_{r+1}+\dots+L_{N})} \right) B_{1} \cdots B_{N} e^{mA},$$

where the arguments of the functions have been suppressed for brevity. Upon repeated application of the Hadamard formula (2.2) this can be seen as

$$M^{m} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{N} \tilde{F}_{r} \tilde{F}_{N-r} B_{1} \cdots B_{r} e^{2mA} B_{r+1} \cdots B_{N}.$$
(2.17)

The identity (2.3) may then be employed in reverse, obtaining

$$\log M = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{N} \tilde{F}_r \tilde{F}_{N-r} B_1 \cdots B_r 2A B_{r+1} \cdots B_N$$

Using the commutator operators L_i , the matrix A in the above expression may be moved to either side of the matrices B, via

$$B_1 \cdots B_r A B_{r+1} \cdots B_N = (-L_1 - L_2 - \dots - L_r) B_1 \cdots B_N + A B_1 \cdots B_N$$

= $(L_r + L_{r+1} + \dots + L_N) B_1 \cdots B_N + B_1 \cdots B_N A$.

The case m = 0 in equation (2.17) implies that for all N > 0,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{N} \tilde{F}_r \tilde{F}_{N-r} = 0 \,,$$

which then enables $\log M$ to be written in the form

$$\log M = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{r=0}^{N} \tilde{F}_{r} \tilde{F}_{N-r} \left(-L_{1} - \dots - L_{r} + L_{r+1} + \dots + L_{N} \right) \right] B_{1} \cdots B_{N}.$$

This then gives the promised expansion in powers of the matrix B. To summarise

$$\log\left(e^A e^{2B} e^A\right) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \hat{G}_N B_1 \cdots B_N,$$

where

$$\hat{G}_N = \sum_{r=0}^N \tilde{F}_r(-L_r, -L_r - L_{r-1}, \dots, -L_r - L_{r-1} - \dots - L_1) \\ \times \tilde{F}_{N-r}(L_{r+1}, L_{r+1} + L_{r+2}, \dots, L_{r+1} + L_{r+2} + \dots + L_N) \\ \times (-L_1 - \dots - L_r + L_{r+1} + \dots + L_N)$$
(2.18)

$$\times (-L_1 - \dots - L_r + L_{r+1} + \dots + L_N), \quad (2.18)$$

$$\tilde{F}_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \frac{f_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1})}{\sinh(x_r)} \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \qquad \tilde{F}_0 \equiv 1,$$
(2.19)

and

$$f_{r-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} t_{p_0} t_{p_1} \cdots t_{p_n} \operatorname{coth}(x_{p_1}) \operatorname{coth}(x_{p_1+p_2}) \cdots \operatorname{coth}(x_{p_1+\dots+p_n}).$$
(2.20)

Here the numbers t_k are given from the Taylor expansion of tanh(x), and $\mathbf{p} \equiv (p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ is such that $p_0 + \cdots + p_n = r$ and each $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

3 Finite examples

While the general formula has been derived in the preceding section, it may be helpful to examine several loworder terms explicitly. This section will begin with the functions f_r , for r = 0...5, highlighting the patterns previously discussed. From these the operators \hat{G}_N , the targets of this work, may be immediately written down and indeed will be for N = 1...3.

To begin, consider the functions f_r . The first few of these functions are given by

$$\begin{split} f_0 &\equiv 1, \\ f_1 &= c_1, \\ f_2 &= c_1 c_2 - \frac{1}{3}, \\ f_3 &= c_1 c_2 c_3 - \frac{1}{3} \left(c_1 + c_3 \right), \\ f_4 &= c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 - \frac{1}{3} \left(c_1 c_2 + c_1 c_4 + c_3 c_4 \right) + \frac{2}{15}, \\ f_5 &= c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 c_5 - \frac{1}{3} \left(c_1 c_2 c_3 + c_1 c_2 c_5 + c_1 c_4 c_5 + c_3 c_4 c_5 \right) + \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right)^2 c_3 + \frac{2}{15} \left(c_1 + c_5 \right). \end{split}$$

Here the structure previously discussed becomes apparent. In equation (2.20) the term in the sum where n = r - 1 forces each p_i to be equal to one, giving the full product of coth functions with none missing. This is the leading term in each of the examples above. To generate the rest of the terms, neighbouring pairs of coth functions in this term are replaced with -1/3, neighbouring quadruplets are replaced with 2/15, and so on. All possible such replacements appear in the above functions.

The targets of this work, the operators \hat{G}_N , will now be examined. It was previously mentioned that the leading term \hat{G}_1 is already well known and while this was calculated for the regular Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula Z(X, Y), it is of course trivial to map it to the symmetric version $\mathcal{S}(A, B)$ considered here. Using the general formulae of the preceding section, it would be natural to write

$$\hat{G}_1 = \left[\frac{1}{\sinh(L_1)}\right]L_1 + \left[\frac{1}{\sinh(-L_1)}\right](-L_1).$$

Of course, as both x and $\sinh(x)$ are odd functions, the minus signs are irrelevant and there is only really one term.

Next, at second order and third order it is found that

$$\hat{G}_2 = \left[\frac{\coth(L_1)}{\sinh(L_1 + L_2)}\right] (L_1 + L_2) + \left[\frac{1}{\sinh(-L_1)}\right] \left[\frac{1}{\sinh(L_2)}\right] (-L_1 + L_2) + \left[\frac{\coth(-L_1)}{\sinh(-L_1 - L_2)}\right] (-L_1 - L_2),$$

and

$$\begin{split} \hat{G}_3 &= \left[\frac{\coth(L_1)\coth(L_1+L_2)-\frac{1}{3}}{\sinh(L_1+L_2+L_3)}\right] (L_1+L_2+L_3) + \left[\frac{1}{\sinh(-L_1)}\right] \left[\frac{\coth(L_2)}{\sinh(L_2+L_3)}\right] (-L_1+L_2+L_3) \\ &+ \left[\frac{\coth(-L_2)}{\sinh(-L_1-L_2)}\right] \left[\frac{1}{\sinh(L_3)}\right] (-L_1-L_2+L_3) + \left[\frac{\coth(-L_3)\coth(-L_2-L_3)-\frac{1}{3}}{\sinh(-L_1-L_2-L_3)}\right] (-L_1-L_2-L_3) \,. \end{split}$$

With these, some general themes begin to emerge. It is immediately seen that each term factorises into two parts, written above with square brackets. In a given term, all commutator operators with a plus sign gather into one of these parts while those with a minus sign gather into the other. The only question that remains is how the arguments to each coth function are determined.

Consider, for example, the term involving $-L_1 - L_2 - L_3 + L_4 + L_5 + L_6 + L_7$ in \hat{G}_7 . Pictorially, the arguments for each function can be found from the diagram

$$-L_1 - L_2 - L_3 + L_4 + L_5 + L_6 + L_7$$
.

Here, the top red lines highlight the arguments of each sinh function, while the blue lines show the arguments to the coth functions. Combined with the previous discussion on how to write down these coth functions to form the numerators, this says how to write \hat{G}_N for any order N. Of course equation (2.18) already provides such a formula, but perhaps observing these patterns for finite results may provide a more intuitive understanding.

4 Choice of basis

In this section the sums of commutator operators, that is strings like $L_1 + L_2 + \cdots + L_r$, will be discussed. It was previously suggested that these were mathematically natural to use as arguments to various functions. It turns out that in the basis where the matrix A is diagonal, if such a basis exists, these sums result in the difference between two eigenvalues of A. As shall be seen, this drastically reduces the complexity of using the new representation.

First consider the matrix elements of $LB \equiv [A, B]$:

$$[LB]_{n_1n_2} = A_{n_1n'}B_{n'n_2} - B_{n_1n'}A_{n'n_2},$$

where summation over repeated indices is assumed. If A is a diagonal matrix then its matrix elements are given in terms of its eigenvalues as $A_{nm} = a_n \delta_{nm}$, where δ_{nm} is the Kronecker delta. Hence in the basis A is diagonal the above is given by

$$[LB]_{n_1n_2} = (a_{n_1} - a_{n_2})B_{n_1n_2}$$

More generally, for any Taylor expandable function g, it can be seen that

$$\left[g(L_i + L_{i+1} + \dots + L_{i+r})B_1B_2 \cdots B_N\right]_{n_1n_{N+1}} = g(a_{n_i} - a_{n_{i+r+1}})B_{n_1n_2}B_{n_2n_3} \cdots B_{n_Nn_{N+1}}.$$

This is a simple yet powerful result. If the function g is replaced with sinh or coth functions, then \hat{G}_N may be determined without difficulty. This allows calculations to be done numerically with relative ease.

5 Conclusion

A new representation for the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula has been found. This representation is a perturbative expansion in just one of two objects, as opposed to both in the original representation. The series may then be truncated and give a good approximation to the full expansion for situations where only this second object is small. For physical problems this then would give access to a much larger parameter space than is currently available. Additionally, new problems for which the original representation was unusable may now be tackled. Transfer matrices in statistical mechanics is an example of one such problem, which is under active consideration.

A Calculation of the sums

Presented here is a direct method of calculating the sum (2.6). As described in the main text, the key is to split the starting constrained sum into N + 1 semi-constrained sums (that is, one of the limits of the sum may be made infinite). To that end, note

$$\sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} \le n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_N \le \frac{m-1}{2}} = \sum_{n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_N \le \frac{m-1}{2}} - \sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} > n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_N \le \frac{m-1}{2}},$$

which is demonstrated by the diagram below. In this, circles represent the variables of the sum and their position along the line indicates the value said variables take, while rectangles represent the bounds of the sums. Open rectangles and circles allow equality, while filled do not.



This identity has transformed the constrained sum on the left into two sums. One of these is semi-constrained, as was targeted, while the other has one semi-constrained and N-1 constrained variables. Applying this idea again gives

$$\sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} > n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_N \le \frac{m-1}{2}} = \sum_{\substack{n_1 < -\frac{m-1}{2} \\ n_2 < \dots < n_N \le \frac{m-1}{2}}} - \sum_{-\frac{m-1}{2} > n_1 \ge n_2 < \dots < n_N \le \frac{m-1}{2}},$$



or pictorially,

The number of constrained variables on the right hand side is now reduced to N-2. This can then be continued until there are no such variables remaining, resulting in an identity relating a sum with N constrained variables to N + 1 sums with only semi-constrained variables.

A generic term in this identity for the particular sum in the main text is given by

$$2^{N} \sum_{\substack{n_{r} \leq \dots \leq n_{1} < -\frac{m-1}{2} \\ n_{r+1} < \dots < n_{N} \leq \frac{m-1}{2}}} e^{2n_{1}L_{1}} e^{2n_{2}L_{2}} \dots e^{2n_{N}L_{N}}$$

$$= \left[(-1)^{r} 2^{r} \sum_{\substack{n_{r} \leq \dots \leq n_{1} < -\frac{m-1}{2}}} e^{2n_{1}L_{1}} \dots e^{2n_{r}L_{r}} \right] \left[2^{N-r} \sum_{\substack{n_{r+1} < \dots < n_{N} \leq \frac{m-1}{2}}} e^{2n_{r+1}L_{r+1}} \dots e^{2n_{N}L_{N}} \right] ,$$

that is,



A simple change of variables, indicated on the picture above, gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{r} 2^{r} \sum_{p_{1}=-\infty}^{-\frac{m-1}{2}-1} e^{2p_{1}(L_{1}+\dots+L_{r})} \sum_{p_{2}=-\infty}^{0} e^{2p_{2}(L_{2}+\dots+L_{r})} \dots \sum_{p_{r}=-\infty}^{0} e^{2p_{2}L_{r}} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 2^{N-r} \sum_{p_{r+1}=-\infty}^{-1} e^{2p_{r+1}L_{r+1}} \dots \sum_{p_{N-1}=-\infty}^{-1} e^{2p_{N}-1(L_{r+1}+\dots+L_{N-1})} \sum_{p_{N}=-\infty}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} e^{2p_{N}(L_{r+1}+\dots+L_{N})} \end{bmatrix},$$

which may be trivially calculated. Using the identities

$$-2\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-\frac{m-1}{2}-1}e^{2nx} = \frac{-2e^{-(m+1)x}}{1-e^{-2x}} = \frac{e^{-mx}}{\sinh(-x)}, \qquad -2\sum_{n=-\infty}^{0}e^{2nx} = \frac{-2}{1-e^{-2x}} = \coth(-x) - 1,$$

and

$$2\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\frac{m-1}{2}}e^{2nx} = \frac{2e^{(m-1)x}}{1-e^{-2x}} = \frac{e^{mx}}{\sinh(x)}, \qquad 2\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1}e^{2nx} = \frac{2e^{-2x}}{1-e^{-2x}} = \coth(x) - 1,$$

provides the result required in the main text.

References

- S. Vajna, K. Klobas, T. Prosen, and A. Polkovnikov. Replica resummation of the baker-campbell-hausdorff series. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 120:200607, 2018.
- [2] A. VanBrunt and M. Visser. Simplifying the Reinsch algorithm for the BakerCampbellHausdorff series. J. Math. Phys., 57(2):023507, 2016.
- [3] R. Achilles and A. Bonfiglioli. The early proofs of the theorem of Campbell, Baker, Hausdorff, and Dynkin. Archive for History of Exact Sciences, 66(3):295–358, 2012.
- [4] J. E. Campbell. On a law of combination of operators bearing on the theory of continuous transformation groups. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, s1-28(1):381–390, 11 1896.
- [5] J. E. Campbell. On a law of combination of operators (second paper)*. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, s1-29(1):14-32, 11 1897.
- [6] H. Poincaré. On continuous groups. Weekly Reports of the Paris Academy of Sciences, 128:1065–1069, 1899.
- [7] H. Poincaré. On continuous groups. Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 18:220–255, 1899.
- [8] H. F. Baker. On the exponential theorem for a simply transitive continuous group, and the calculation of the finite equations from the constants of structure. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-34(1):91-129, 1901.
- Baker H. F. Further applications of metrix notation to integration problems. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, s1-34(1):347-360, 1902.
- [10] Baker H. F. Alternants and continuous groups. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, s2-3(1):24-47, 1905.
- [11] F. Hausdorff. Die symbolische Exponentialformel in der Gruppentheorie. Berichte der KniglichSchsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig, 58:19–48, 1906.
- [12] E.B. Dynkin. Calculation of the coefficients in the CampbellHausdorff formula. Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, 57:323–326, 1947.