# Potential of standard strains of *Bacillus thuringiensis* against the tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae)

J. Sandeep Kumar<sup>1\*</sup>, J. Jayaraj<sup>1</sup>, M. Shanthi<sup>1</sup>, M. Theradimani<sup>2</sup>, Balasubramani Venkatasamy<sup>3</sup>, S. Irulandi<sup>4</sup> and S. Prabhu<sup>5</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** The tomato pinworm *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is one of the key pests of tomato worldwide, causing an estimated crop loss of 80 to 100%. This pest has developed resistance to several pesticides due to overuse, resulting in control failures in the field. The use of biological insecticides as *Bacillus thuringiensis* that expressed insecticidal proteins can be an alternative tool by insecticides to suppress the pest population.

**Main body:** Laboratory study investigated the efficacy of standard *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) strains (4D1, 4D4, 4G1, 4K5 and 4XX4) against *T. absoluta*. Bioassay was conducted using tomato leaf discs treated with spore crystal lysates prepared from the standard strains, and mortality data was subjected to concentration-mortality probit analysis. The LC<sub>50</sub> values for *Bt* 4D1, *Bt* 4D4 and *Bt* 4G1 were 6.10, 6.62 and 8.18 µg/ml for the 2nd instar; 9.90, 10.20 and 11.12 µg/ml for the 3rd instar; and 19.82, 23.16 and 24.54 µg/ml for the 4th instar, respectively, while the *Bt* 4K5 and *Bt* 4XX4 were not toxic to *T. absoluta*.

**Conclusion:** This study suggests that *Bt* strain 4D1 is effective against different larval instars of the pest and can be used in its management.

Keywords: Tuta absoluta, Bacillus thuringiensis, Potential, LC<sub>50</sub>, Bioassay

# Background

Tomato pinworm *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick, 1917) (Gelechiidae: Lepidoptera) is a tomato pest in South America and recently introduced to India (Shashank *et al.*, 2015). This pest was first reported in 1914 in Peru, and now it is a common pest found in South America (Dilip and Srinivasan, 2019). Since 2006, *T. absoluta* had invaded Europe, Africa and Asian

\* Correspondence: sndpkmr007@gmail.com

**Springer** Open

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Sandeep Kumar *et al. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* (2020) 30:123 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-020-00326-w

© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

countries where it has caused significant economic losses of 80-100% both under greenhouse and field conditions (Urbaneja *et al.*, 2013). *T. absoluta* is one of the most devastating tomato pests because it feeds on foliage, stems, fruits and flowers. Larvae infest all stages of plant growth causing wounds which facilitate the invasion of secondary pathogens (Hatice *et al.*, 2017). The pest species has high reproductive potential with 12 generations in a year and female can lay up to 260 eggs (Ayalew, 2015).

During the last few decades, tomato productivity has been increased worldwide. Heavy reliance on



**Open Access** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai 625104, India

chemical pesticides provide ephemeral benefits, often with adverse side effects and not viable (Hernandez *et al.*, 2011) and, in some instances, actually worsen farmer's overall pest problems, and this pest became resistance to pesticides (Sandeep *et al.*, 2020a). Thus, the major challenge is to increase and sustain crop productivity with less use of pesticides.

Variety of management tactics are used to reduce the pest infestations. The first option is to reduce the pest population through cultural practices, i.e. deep ploughing and trap crops, in order to safeguard the main crop. But chemical management is the most viable method for pest control. Farmers apply huge quantity of insecticides to manage insect pests; consequently, these insects have developed resistance to insecticides (Manivannan *et al.*, 2019). The failure to control this pest may have a strong economic impact, and its recent history of introductions has increased the need for studies to develop strategies for its biological control, by the use of *Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt*) that express insecticidal proteins (Gonzalez *et al.*, 2011).

B. thuringiensis (Berliner), a species of gram-positive sporulating soil bacteria that forms insecticidal crystal (CRY) proteins during sporulation phase of its growth cycle, is the major source for the control of insect pest. The crystals contain one or more endotoxins known as cry proteins, which vary at different Bt strains. Cry and Cyt genes are named by cloning and sequencing from many cry proteins. Each of the Bt strains can carry one or more crystal toxic genes, and therefore, strains of the organism may synthesize one or more crystal proteins, and about 323 holotype crystal proteins are documented as toxic to insects of different orders viz. Lepidoptera, Coleoptera and Diptera (Crickmore, 2017). These crystal proteins are sequestered in bacteria as crystalline inclusions, mediates specific pathogenicity against insects (Schnepf et al., 1998).

B. thuringiensis strains are very effective against all larval stages of T. absoluta (Joel et al., 2011; Molla et al., 2011 and Azra et al., 2015). Cry proteins are highly specific and very effective against the tomato pinworm (Sandeep et al., 2020b and Dakshina and Gary, 2003) and narrow specific to lepidopterans (Hernandez et al., 2011 and Muhammad et al., 2019). Bt has been characterized as being highly specific against several insect orders including Lepidoptera, Diptera and Coleoptera (Xin Zhang et al., 2018). It has been found to be a very effective, environmentally safe insect-specific biopesticide (Palma et al., 2014). With this background, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the potential of 5 standard Bt strains (4D1, 4D4, 4G1, 4K5 and 4XX4) against T. absoluta under laboratory conditions.

## **Materials and methods**

Five standard *B. thuringiensis* viz. 4D1 (BGSC HD1), 4D4 (BGSC HD73), 4G1 (BGSC HD8), 4K5 (BGSC LM79) and 4XX4 (BGSC YBT-1518) were obtained from *Bt* collection deposits at Department of Plant Biotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. These strains were originally obtained from *Bacillus* Genetic Stock Centre, Ohio University, and Columbus, Ohio, USA. All *Bt* strains were subcultured with four side streaking method on Luria Bertani Agar Media plates and incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. Then a single colony was taken from each culture and inoculated in 15 ml test tube containing 5 ml LB broth individually. The test tubes were incubated at 30 °C for 24 h with 200 rpm in a shaker. The cultures were stored in sterile 50% glycerol at - 20 °C.

# Isolation of spore crystal toxins and cry protein solubilization of *Bt* strains

The spore-crystal mixture of strains were prepared by acetone-lactose co-precipitation method as described by Dulmage et al. (1970). The resulting spore crystal powder was stored at 4 °C for further use. Bt culture from glycerol stock was plated in LB agar and incubated for overnight at 30 °C. From this culture, a loop was inoculated in to 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube containing sterile water (1 ml) and incubated at 70 °C for 1 h to kill other bacteria present in the culture. After 1 h, sterile water with Bt was poured into a test tube containing 5 ml of Plain LB Broth and incubated for 12 h at 30 °C. From this overnight culture, 1.25 ml was used for inoculating 125 ml LB Broth in a 250-ml conical flask and incubated at 30 °C in an incubated shaker with 200 rpm for 72 h. After 72 h, 6 g of sodium chloride was added to each flask and incubated for 3 h at the same conditions to release the cell contents into the broth. The sporulated broth culture was transferred to refrigerated centrifuge at 4 °C and spore crystal mixture was isolated.

The LB broth containing spore-crystal mixture was centrifuged at10,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The pellet was washed once with 20 ml of ice-cold Tris-EDTA buffer [Tris 10 mM, EDTA 1 mM, pH 8.0 with 1 mM phenyl methyl sulphonyl fluoride (PMSF)], once with 20 ml of ice-cold 0.5 M NaCl, followed by 2 more washes with 20 ml of Tris-EDTA buffer with 0.5 mM PMSF by centrifuging at the same speed and time (Ramalakshmi and Udayasuriyan, 2010). The final pellet was solubilized in a solubilizing buffer [50 mM Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, pH 10.5 mM (DTT) dithiothreitol] at 30 °C for 4 h by shaking and then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm, for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant containing solubilized proto-xin was removed and stored at - 20 °C for further use.

This contains pure Cry proteins and their concentrations were estimated as described by (Lowry *et al.*, 1951).

#### In vitro bio-assay of Bt strains against Tuta absoluta

Laboratory experiments were conducted at Horticulture College & Research Institute, Periyakulam, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University. T. absoluta larvae collected, from leaves, stalks and fruits, were packed in plastic bags and brought to the laboratory. Larvae were immediately transferred into a larval rearing cage  $(45 \times 45 \times 45 \text{ cm})$  with mesh on all the 4 sides, glass top and wooden bottom. Adult cages (30  $\times$  30  $\times$ 30 cm) were used for oviposition only, where leaves of tomato were provided daily as substrate. Adults of T. absoluta were fed by 10% sugar solution, while larvae were fed by tomato leaves, cultivated under greenhouse conditions without any insecticide application. The populations were reared in the laboratory at  $25 \pm 0.5$  °C, with a relative humidity of  $75 \pm 5\%$  and a 12:12 L:D photoperiod.

Potential activity of standard Bt strains was tested on T. absoluta by leaf-dip bioassay method (Dakshina and Gary, 2003). Leaves from 2-month-old pot-cultured tomato plant grown in a greenhouse were used for assay. The healthy tomato (PKM 1) leaves (leaf discs of 1.5 cm diameter) were first washed by distilled water containing 0.02%. Triton X-100 thoroughly, air-dried and dipped in Bt toxin suspension of different strains, whose protein content was previously quantified by Lowry et al. (1951) method. Each leaf disc was dipped for 10 s, allowed to air-dry for a period of 1 h and transferred to clean Petri dishes  $(6 \times 1.5 \text{ cm})$  over a moist filter paper to maintain turgidity of leaves. Single-dose 5-day bioassays with a concentration of  $2.5 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$  were performed by 10 T. absoluta larvae (2nd, 3rd and 4th instars separately). Ten larvae were released per plate on the leaf discs overlaid on filter paper, using a fine camel hair brush. The concentrations of *Bt* strains were prepared separately for 4D1, 4D4 (2.5-15 µg/ml) and 4G1, 4K5 and 4XX4 (2.5-25 µg/ml). Forty larvae per treatment were used and each treatment which replicated with 4 subsets. A treatment without Bt protein (treated with 0.02% Tween 20) served as control.

### Data analysis

Larval mortality was assessed on 3rd, 4th and 5th days of exposure. Larvae were withdrawn carefully from galleries of tomato leaves and disturbed with a fine camel hair brush; they were considered dead if unable to move the length of their body. Bioassay was conducted under completely randomized design in laboratory conditions. Corrected mortality percentages were worked out by using Abbott's formula (Abbott, 1925) and subjected to probit analysis (Finney, 1971) from EPA Probit Analysis Program (version 1.5).

# **Results and discussion**

The results of probit regression of analysis concentration-response mortality data for the bioassays of Bt strains against T. absoluta were recorded. The slope values of different larval instars varied significantly, indicating variability in the susceptibility to Bt strains among the larval stages. T. absoluta showed variable responses to Bt strains as reflected in the LC<sub>50</sub> values for 2nd, 3rd and 4th larval instars. Bt strains showed toxicity to the 3 larval instars of pinworm. Based on the concentration mortality response to Bt strains (4D1, 4D4 and 4G1), LC<sub>50</sub> values were 6.10, 6.62 and 8.18  $\mu$ g/ml for the 2nd instar (Table 1); 9.90, 10.20 and 11.12 µg/ml for the 3rd instar (Table 2); and 19.82, 23.16 and 24.54 µg/ml for the 4th instar (Table 3), respectively. The susceptibilities of different larval instars of tomato pinworm to Bt strains were presented in Figs. 1, 2 and 3. At LC<sub>50</sub> concentration, 50% mortality was observed on the 3rd day of treatment for 4D1 and 4D4 and 5th day for 4G1 in all the instars tested. Bioassay with 4K5 and 4XX4 strains of *Bt* did not show toxicity against the T. absoluta, as there was no difference between the treatment and control. In both control and treatments, larvae fed the same area of leaf tissue (mesophyll) over 5 days. Based on the present study, it is evident that all the 3 larval instars of the pest were susceptible to the Bt strains. The results indicated that susceptibility of larvae decreased with larval developmental stage. Variations in susceptibility of tomato pinworm depend on the age of the insect and susceptibility decreased with increase in the age of the insect.

Table 1 Toxicity of 4D1 to 2nd, 3rd and 4th larval instars of tomato pinworm Tuta absoluta

Larval stage	Slope	SE <sup>a</sup>	χ <sup>2b</sup>	LC <sub>50</sub> (µg/ml)	Confidence limits (95%)		LC <sub>95</sub> <sup>c</sup> (μg/ml)	Confidence Limits (95%)	
					Lower limit	Upper limit		Lower limit	Upper limit
2nd instar	2.61	0.50	3.164	6.10	4.23	7.65	25.93	18.54	50.77
3rd instar	2.36	0.46	1.99	6.62	4.70	8.30	32.74	22.00	74.86
4th instar	2.33	0.52	0.27	8.18	5.74	10.43	41.43	25.76	131.94

<sup>a</sup>Standard error

<sup>b</sup>Chi-square

Larval stage	Slope	SE <sup>a</sup>	χ <sup>2b</sup>	LC <sub>50</sub> (µg/ml)	Confidence limits (95%)		LC <sub>95</sub> (µg/ml)	Confidence limits (95%)	
					Lower limit	Upper limit		Lower limit	Upper limit
2nd instar	3.60	0.94	0.70	9.90	7.40	11.87	28.34	20.18	75.64
3rd instar	3.04	0.78	0.62	10.20	7.74	12.51	35.38	23.40	114.97
4th instar	2.98	0.76	0.32	11.12	8.79	13.84	39.58	25.30	144.73

Table 2 Toxicity of 4D4 to 2nd, 3rd and 4th larval instars of tomato pinworm Tuta absoluta

<sup>a</sup>Standard error

<sup>b</sup>Chi-square

The use of *Bt* became a vital component in the integrated pest management (IPM), and it has been accepted throughout the world. Already, *Bt* proved to be the best alternative to the pesticides (Roh *et al.*, 2007 and Gonzalez *et al.*, 2011). Different types of agricultural pests were subtle to *Bt* toxins, and they are essential to notice novel *Bt* strains to control *T. absoluta*. The results of the present study exposed a high mortality of the 3 larval instars of *T. absoluta* that were fed on *Bt* treated leaves, having value in developing IPM to control tomato pinworm.

Cry proteins (Cry1, Cry2, and Cry9) were highly toxic and specific for lepidopteran insect pests. Cry toxins active against coleopteran insects were Cry3, Cry7, Cry8 and Cry1Ia (Crickmore, 2017), and Cry5, Cry6, Cry12, Cry13, Cry14 and Cry21 were highly specific to the Nematodes (Guo et al., 2008). HD1 was known to produce 7 different proteins viz. Crv1Aa, Crv1Ab, Crv1Ac, Cry1D, Cry2Aa, Cry2Ab and Cry9D that were toxic to lepidopteran insects. HD73 and HD8 produce Cry1Ac and Cry9 proteins, respectively, which were also lepidopteran toxic (Nayan et al., 2018). Bt strain 4K5 did not show any mortality on tomato pinworm as it produces Cry3A, which is highly toxic and specific to coleopteran (De Souza et al., 1993). No reports were available on toxicity of Bt 4XX4 against lepidopteran insects, which produce Cry6Aa2, Cry55Aa1 and Cry5Ba2 proteins, and highly specific to nematodes and not toxic to T. absoluta (Manivannan *et al.*, 2019).

Earlier reports by Hernandez et al. (2011) reported that *Bt* strains ZCUJTL11 and ZCUJTL39 showed 3 times higher in biological activity against *T. absoluta* 2nd instar larvae when compared to strain *Bt var. kurstaki* HD1, with LC<sub>50</sub> values of 2.40, 5.53 and 6.4 µg/ml, respectively. Alejandro et al. (2004)

reported that INTA Mo9-5, INTA 7-3 and HD1 were highly effective against *T. absoluta* with mean  $LC_{50}$  of 8 ppm. Among all the tested *Bt* isolates (strains), only KGS2, KGS5 and KGS8 showed 100% mortality rate in the 2nd instar of *T. absoluta* on the 7th day after treatment compared to standard reference strain HD1 (95%) (Gowtham *et al.*, 2018).

Theoduloz et al. (1997) reported Scrobipalpuloides absoluta (currently T. absoluta) was highly susceptible to native Bt strains (121e, 66b, 72a, 104a) and Kurstaki of Chile with LC50 values of 6.1, 18.5, 39.6, 16.4 and 19.2 µg larva<sup>-1</sup>. Narmen and Hassan (2013) recorded 80 to 93.3% mortality rate of 4th instar larvae produced by Bt strains (B1, B2, B3 and B4), as against 13.3% mortality by B12 isolate and Protecto; a commercial formulation of Bt at 2g/l concentration showed the highest mortality from 96.7 to 100%. The present finding agrees with the findings of Higuchi et al. (2000), who evaluated the potential of *Bt* strains (HD1, 84-F-51-46, 93-Y-18-1, 84-F26-3 and 94-F(M)633-2) against Plutella xylostella, (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) where the  $LC_{50}$  values recorded 0.21, 2.81, 13.1, 9.85 and 6.52 µg/ml, respectively.

The present findings agree with Mohan et al. (2008), who reported the toxicity of *Bt* strains (*Bt kurstaki* HD-1, *Bt kurstaki* HD-73, *Bt aizawai* HD-137, *Bt tolworthi* HD-125, *Bt galleriae* HD-8 and *Bt japonensis* T23 001) to the populations of *Plutella xylostella* (collected from Hawalbagh, Darim and Gwaldam). They concluded that *Bt* HD-1 was highly toxic to *P. xylostella* for all 3 populations, followed by *Bt* HD-8 and *Bt* HD-73. *Bt* HD-137 and *Bt* T23 001 were moderately toxic to populations from all the 3 locations. However, *Bt* HD-125 was nontoxic against diamond back moth. They revealed that the LC<sub>50</sub> values for *Bt* strains (*Bt kurstaki* HD-1, *Bt* 

Table 3 Toxicity of 4G1 to 2nd, 3rd and 4th larval instars of tomato pinworm Tuta absoluta

Larval stage	Slope	SEª	χ <sup>2b</sup>	LC <sub>50</sub> (µg/ml)	Confidence limits (95%)		LC <sub>95</sub> (µg/ml)	Confidence limits (95%)	
					Lower limit	Upper limit		Lower limit	Upper limit
2nd instar	2.40	0.75	0.57	19.82	15.64	34.83	95.52	46.26	1811.28
3rd instar	3.47	1.42	0.50	23.16	18.42	75.15	68.98	36.91	1831.69
4th instar	2.81	0.77	1.07	24.54	19.99	39.08	94.14	51.49	669.80

<sup>a</sup>Standard error

<sup>b</sup>Chi-square



*kurstaki* HD-73, *Bt aizawai* HD-137, *Bt tolworthi* HD-125, *Bt galleriae* HD-8 and *Bt japonensis* T23-001) were 0.04, 0.33, 13.30, -, 0.27 and 4.25 mg AI/L; 0.50, 1.13, 7.60, -, 1.17 and 7.91 mg AI/l; and 0.34, 1.71, 4.62, -, 0.43 and 5.11 mg AI/l, respectively, against *P. xylostella*.

Sabbour and Soliman (2014) evaluated the efficacy of dipel (2×), *Bt kurstaki* HD-73 and *Bt kurstaki* HD-234 against *T. absoluta* larvae under laboratory, greenhouse and field trials.  $LC_{50}$  values recorded were 140, 109 and 90 µg/ml for dipel, *Bt kurstaki* HD 73 and *Bt kurstaki* 234, respectively, under laboratory conditions. They recorded  $LC_{50}$  of 166, 122 and 102 µg/ml under greenhouse condition for dipel, *Bt kurstaki* HD 73 and *Bt kurstaki* 234, respectively. Under field trials, the lowest infestation was recorded in HD 73, followed by HD 234 and dipel, respectively.

Similarly, obtained findings are not in accordance with Azra et al. (2015), who tested the  $LC_{25}$  and  $LC_{50}$ values of Bt and Spinosad separately and in combination against 1st, 2nd and 3rd larval instars of T. absoluta. The  $LC_{50}$  values for Bt treatments were recorded as 2386.75, 2109.97 and 2757.65 µg/ml. For Spinosad, the results were recorded as 1283.91, 1339.86 and 2253.18 ppm, respectively. LC<sub>25</sub> values for Bt and spinosad against 3 larval instars of T. absoluta were 985.44, 1368.20 and 1914.57 ppm and 436.26, 643.78 and 1526.94 ppm, respectively. They concluded that spinosad was more toxic against T. absoluta than Bt. Their results showed that the combination of both spinosad and Bt was very effective against T. absoluta than their individual treatments.





In Egypt, Sabbour (2014), recorded  $LC_{50}$  values of 243.9 µg/ml and 211 µg/ml against *T. absoluta* under laboratory and greenhouse conditions, respectively, for *Bt* var. *kurstaki.* Earlier studies have shown that *Bt* 4XX4 was toxic only to nematodes (De Souza *et al.*, 1993 and Guo *et al.*, 2008 and Manivannan *et al.*, 2019), as that of Cry5, Cry6, Cry12, Cry13, Cry14 and Cry21 (Yu *et al.*, 2015).

## Conclusion

The present study confirms that *Bt* proteins are host specific. Specificity makes *Bt* proteins safer to non-target organisms including predators and parasitoids, which provide pesticide-free tomato yield with fruit quality and safety.

#### Abbreviations

T. absoluta: Tuta absoluta; Bt: Bacillus thuringiensis

#### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology (CPMB& B), Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU), Coimbatore, India

#### Authors' contributions

BV performed the idea of this article. SKJ and BV wrote the manuscript. JJ and MS participated in writing the manuscript and statistical analysis. MT, SI and SP contributed the material and helped in the maintenance of *Tuta absoluta*, while all authors equally did the bioassay experiments. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

This work was not supported by any funding body.

Availability of data and materials Not applicable

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable

# Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai 625104, India. <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai 625104, India. <sup>3</sup>CPMB&B, Agriculture College and Research Institute, Coimbatore 641003, India. <sup>4</sup>Department of Fruit Crops, Horticulture College and Research Institute, Periyakulam 625604, India. <sup>5</sup>Department of Plant Protection, Horticulture College and Research Institute, Periyakulam 625604, India.

### Received: 11 July 2020 Accepted: 24 September 2020 Published online: 06 October 2020

#### References

- Abbott WS (1925) A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J Econom Entom 18:265–267
- Alejandro FR, Graciela B, Cozzi J, Victor MBA, Juan JVA, Joel ELM (2004) Molecular characterization of *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains from Argentina. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 86:87–92
- Ayalew G (2015) Efficacy of selected insecticides against the South American tomato moth, *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on tomato in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia. Afric Ent 23:410–417
- Azra H, Mohammad HS, Shahram A, Zahra H (2015) Effect of *Bacillus thuringiensis kurstaki* and Spinosad on three larval stages 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> of tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in laboratory conditions. Arch Phytopath Plant Prot. https://doi.org/10.1080/032355408.2014.893630
- Crickmore N, Baum J, Bravo A, Lereclus D, Narva K, Sampson K, Schnepf E, Sun M, Zeigler DR (2017) *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin nomenclature. http://www. btnomenclature.info/
- Dakshina RS, Gary LL (2003) Toxicity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* CRY1-Type insecticidal toxin to geographically distant populations of tomato pinworm. Flor Entomol 86(2):222–224
- De Souza MT, Lecadet MM, Lereclus D (1993) Full expression of the cryIIIA toxin gene of *Bacillus thuringiensis* requires a distant upstream DNA sequence affecting transcription. J Bacteriol 175(10):2952–2960
- Dilip S, Srinivasan G (2019) Bioefficacy of insecticides against invasive pest of tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick, 1917). Ann of Plant Prot Sci 27(2):185–189
- Dulmage HT, Correa JA, Martinez AJ (1970) Coprecipitation with lactose as a means of recovering the spore-crystal complex of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. J Invertebr Pathol 15:15–20
- Finney DJ (1971) Probit analysis. Third edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Gonzalez-Cabrera J, Molla O, Monton H, Urbaneja A (2011) Efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Berliner) in controlling the tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Biol Control 56:71–80

- Gowtham V, Kannan M, Senthi Kumar M, Soundararajan RP (2018) Isolation and characterization of indigenous *Bacillus thuringiensis*, Berliner from animal odure effective against South American Tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick). Pest Manag in Horti Eco 24(1):1–7
- Guo S, Liu M, Peng D, Ji S, Wang P, Yu Z, Sun M (2008) New strategy for isolating novel nematicidal crystal protein genes from *Bacillus thuringiensis* strain YBT-1518. Appl Environ Microbiol 74(22):6997–7001
- Hatice S, Fathih G, Nedim M, Sami D, Anne F (2017) Cry1Ac-mediated resistance to tomato leaf miner (*Tuta absoluta*) in tomato. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 131(1):65–73
- Hernandez-Fernandez J, Ramrez L, Ramrez N, Fuentes LS, Jimenez J (2011) Molecular and biological characterization of native *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains for controlling tomato leafminer (*Tuta absoluta*) (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Colombia. Wor J of Micribiol and Biotech 27: 579–590
- Higuchi K, Saitoh H, Mizuki E, Ichimatsu T, Ohba M (2000) Larval susceptibility of the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), to *Bacillus thuringiensis* H serovars isolated in Japan. Microbiol Res 155:23–29
- Joel GC, Molla O, Helga M, Alberto U (2011) Efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Berliner) in controlling the tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Biol Control 56:71–80
- Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ (1951) Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 193:265–275
- Manivannan A, Kumar KK, Arul L, Varanavasiappan S, Kalayarasan P, Manimegalai S, Poornima K, Devrajan C, Sudhakar D, Balasubramani V (2019) Toxicity of *Bt* crystal protein Cry55Aa against pest of tomato and model nematode, *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J Ento and Zoo Stu 7(6):67–70
- Mohan M, Sushil SN, Selvakumar G, Bhatt JC, Gujar GT, Gupta HS (2008) Differential toxicity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains and their crystal toxins against high altitude Himalayan populations of diamond back moth, *Plutella xylostella* L. Pest Manag Sci 65:27–33
- Molla O, Gonzalez-Cabrera J, Urbaneja A (2011) The combined use of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Nesidiocoris tenuis* against the tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta*. Biol Control 56:883–891
- Muhammad IS, Muhammad A, Mansoor UH, Muhammad AK (2019) Efficacy of Cry1Ac toxin from *Bacillus thuringiensis* against the beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Egypt J Biol Pest Cont 29:55
- Narmen AY, Hassan GM (2013) Bioinsecticide activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolates on tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) and their molecular identification. Afric Jour of Biotech 12(23):3699–3709
- Nayan Ganesh KV, Reyaz AL, Balakrishnan N (2018) Molecular characterization of an indigenous lepidopteran toxic *Bacillus thuringiensis* strain T532. J Biol Control 32(4):246–251
- Palma L, Munoz D, Berry C, Murillo J, Caballero P (2014) *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins: an overview of their biocidal activity. Toxins (Basel) 6(12):3296–3325. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins6123296
- Ramalakshmi A, Udayasuriyan V (2010) Diversity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolated from Western Ghats of Tamil Nadu State, India. Curr Microbiol 61:13–18
- Roh JY, Jae YC, Ming SL, Byung RJ, Yeon HE (2007) *Bacillus thuringiensis* as a specific, safe and effective tool for insect pest control. J Microbiol Biotechnol 17:547–559
- Sabbaour MM, Soliman N (2014) Evaluations of three *Bacillus thuringiensis* against *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Egypt. Int Jour Sci Res 3(8):2067–2073
- Sabbour MM (2014) Biocontrol of the tomato pinworm *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Egypt. Mid-East J of Agric Res 3(3):499–503
- Sandeep Kumar J, Jayaraj J, Shanthi M, Theradimani M, Balasubramani V, Irulandi S, Prabhu S (2020a) Toxicity of insecticides to tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) populations from Tamil Nadu. Ind J of Agri Res. DOI: 10.18805/ IJARe.A-5443.
- Sandeep Kumar J, Jayaraj J, Shanthi M, Theradimani M, Balasubramani V, Irulandi S, Prabhu S (2020b) Potential of Cry1Ac from *Bacillus thuringiensis* against the tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick). Egy J Bio Pest Cont 30:81
- Schnepf E, Crickmore N, van Rie J, Lerecurs D, Baum J, Feitelson J, Zeigler JDR, Dean DH (1998) *B. thuringiensis* and its pesticidal crystal proteins. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 62:775–806
- Shashank PR, Chandrasekhar K, Meshram SK (2015) Occurrence of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) an invasive pest in India. Ind J Entomol 77(4):323–329
- Theoduloz C, Roman P, Bravo J, Padilla C, Vasquez C, Meza-Zepeda L, Meza-Basso L (1997) Relative toxicity of native Chilean *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains against

Scrobipalpuloides absoluta (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). J Appl Microbiol 82: 462–468

- Urbaneja A, Desneux N, Gabarra R, Arno J, Gonzalez Cabrera J, Mafra-Neto A, Stoltman L, Pinto ADA, Parra JRP (2013) Biology, ecology and management of the South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*, pp. 98–125. In: Pena J (Ed.). Potential invasive pests of agricultural crops. CAB International, Oxford shire, UK, 464 pp.
- Yu Z, Xiong J, Zhou Q, Luo H, Hu S, Xia L (2015) The diverse nematicidal properties and biocontrol efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry6A against the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne hapla*. J Invertebr Pathol 125:73–80
- Zhang X, Gao T, Peng Q, Song L, Zhang J, Chai Y, Sun D, Song F (2018) A strong promotor of a non-cry gene directs expression of the Cry1Ac gene in *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-8836-5

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com