

SOME TORSION CLASSES IN THE CHOW RING AND COHOMOLOGY OF $BPGL_n$

XING GU

ABSTRACT. In the integral cohomology ring of the classifying space of the projective linear group PGL_n (over \mathbb{C}), we find a collection of p -torsion classes $y_{p,k}$ of degree $2(p^{k+1} + 1)$ for any odd prime divisor p of n , and $k \geq 0$.

If, in addition, $p^2 \nmid n$, there are p -torsion classes $\rho_{p,k}$ of degree $p^{k+1} + 1$ in the Chow ring of the classifying stack of PGL_n , such that the cycle class map takes $\rho_{p,k}$ to $y_{p,k}$.

We present an application of the above classes regarding Chern subrings.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The cohomology of the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$	4
3. A Serre spectral sequence, the proof of Theorem 1.2	7
4. Equivariant intersection theory	12
5. The Steenrod reduced power operations for motivic cohomology	15
6. The Chow ring and cohomology of $BPGL_p$	16
7. The subgroups of PGL_n of diagonal block matrices	22
8. The permutation groups and their double quotients	24
9. The torsion classes $\rho_{p,k}$ in $A_{PGL_n}^*$	26
10. Classes not in the Chern subring of $A_{PGL_n}^*$	33
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be an algebraic (resp. topological) group and $\mathbf{B}G$ be the classifying stack (resp. space) of G . We follow Vistoli's notations [45] and let A_G^* (resp. H_G^*) denote the Chow ring (resp. singular cohomology ring with coefficient ring \mathbb{Z} .) of $\mathbf{B}G$.

The Chow ring of $\mathbf{B}G$ is first introduced by Totaro [41], as an algebraic analog of the integral cohomology of the classifying space of a topological group. Based on this work, Edidin and Graham [11] developed equivariant intersection theory, of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C15, 14L30, 55R35, 55R40, 55T10.

Key words and phrases. Classifying Stacks/Spaces, Projective Linear Groups, Chow Ring, Integral Cohomology, Serre Spectral Sequence, Steenrod Reduced Power Operations, Transfer map.

Part of this paper was written when the author was a research fellow at the University of Melbourne, Australia, supported by the Australian research council, and the rest of this paper was written during a visit to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Germany. The author is grateful to the University of Melbourne, the Australian research council and the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics for their supports.

which the main object of interest is the equivariant Chow ring, an algebraic analog of Borel's equivariant cohomology theory.

When the algebraic group G is over the base field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , it has an underlying topological group which we also denote by G , and there is a cycle class map

$$\text{cl} : A_G^* \rightarrow H_G^*$$

from A_G^i to H_G^{2i} , which plays a crucial role in this paper. The cycle class map factors through the even degree subgroup of $MU^*(\mathbf{BG}) \otimes_{MU^*} \mathbb{Z}$

$$(1.1) \quad \text{cl} : A_G^* \xrightarrow{\tilde{\text{cl}}} MU^*(\mathbf{BG}) \otimes_{MU^*} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_G^*$$

where $\tilde{\text{cl}}$ is called the *refined cycle class map*.

The Chow rings A_G^* have been computed by Totaro [41] for $G = GL_n, SL_n, Sp_n$. (Hereafter, the base field is always \mathbb{C} except for O_n, SO_n and $Spin_n$.) For $G = SO_{2n}$ by Field [12], for $G = O_n$ and $G = SO_{2n+1}$ by Totaro [41] and Pandharipande [33], for $G = Spin_7$ by Guillot [22], for $G = Spin_8$ by Rojas [34], for the semisimple simply connected group of type G_2 by Yagita [48]. The case that G is finite has been considered by Guillot [20] and [21]. In [32], Rojas and Vistoli provided a unified approach to the known computations of A_G^* for the classical groups $G = GL_n, SL_n, Sp_n, O_n, SO_n$.

Let PGL_n be the quotient group of GL_n over \mathbb{C} , modulo scalars. The case $G = PGL_n$ appears considerably more difficult than the cases mentioned above. The mod p cohomology for some special choices of n is considered by Toda [40], Kono and Mimura [27], Vavpetič and Viruel [43]. In [18], the author computed the integral cohomology of PGL_n for all $n > 1$ in degree less than or equal to 10 and found a family of distinguished elements $y_{p,0}$ of dimension $2(p+1)$ for any prime divisor p of n and any $k \geq 0$. (In the papers above, what are actually considered are the projective unitary groups PU_n , of which the classifying spaces are homotopy equivalent to those of PGL_n .) In [44], Vezzosi almost fully determined the ring structure of $A_{PGL_3}^*$. In [45], Vistoli determined the graded abelian group structures of $A_{PGL_p}^*$ and $H_{PGL_p}^*$ for p an odd prime, and partially determined their ring structures. In Kameko and Yagita [25], the additive structure of $A_{PGL_p}^*$ is obtained independently, as a corollary of the main results.

Classes in the rings $A_{PGL_n}^*$ (resp. $H_{PGL_n}^*$) are important invariants for sheaves of Azumaya algebras of degree n (resp. principal PGL_n -bundles.) For instance, the ring $H_{PGL_n}^*$ plays a key role in the topological period-index problem, considered by Antieau and Williams [4], [5], Crowley and Grant [9], and the author in [19], [17]. This suggests that the $A_{PGL_n}^*$ could be of use in the study of the (algebraic) period-index problem due to J.-L. Colliot-Thélène [7]. The cohomology ring $H_{PGL_n}^*$ also appears in the study of anomalies in particle physics such as [8], [10] and [15]. Antieau [3] and Kameko [24] considered the cohomology of \mathbf{BG} for some finite cover G of PGL_{p^2} to construct counterexamples for the integral Tate conjecture.

In this paper we study the torsion elements in $A_{PGL_n}^*$ and $H_{PGL_n}^*$. One may easily find $H_{PGL_n}^i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $H_{PGL_n}^3 \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$. Therefore we have a map

$$(1.2) \quad \chi : \mathbf{BPGL}_n \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$$

representing the “canonical” (in the sense to be explained in Section 3) generator x_1 of $H_{PGL_n}^3$, where $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ denotes the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space with the 3rd homotopy group \mathbb{Z} .

In principle, the cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ and more generally of $K(\pi, n)$ with $n > 0$ and π a finitely generated abelian group are determined by Cartan and Serre [13]. Based on their work, the author gave an explicit description of the cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ in [18], which is outlined as follows. Let p be a prime number. The p -local cohomology ring of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ is generated by the fundamental class x_1 and p -torsion classes of the form $y_{p,I}$, where $I = (i_m, \dots, i_1)$ is an ordered sequence of integers $0 \leq i_m < \dots < i_1$. Here m is called the *length* of I and denoted by $l(I)$. For $I = (k)$, we simply write $y_{p,k}$ for $y_{p,I}$. The degree of $y_{p,I}$ is

$$(1.3) \quad \deg(y_{p,I}) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^m (2p^{i_j+1} + 1).$$

In [18] the author showed that the images of $y_{p,0}$ in $H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}$ via χ are nontrivial p -torsion classes, for all prime divisors p of n . In this paper we generalize this to $y_{p,k}$ for $k > 0$ and under some restrictions, to Chow rings. For simplicity we omit the notation χ^* and let $y_{p,I}$ denote $\chi^*(y_{p,I})$ whenever there is no risk of ambiguity.

Theorem 1.1. (1) In $H_{PGL_n}^{2(p^{k+1}+1)}$, we have p -torsion classes $y_{p,k} \neq 0$ for all odd prime divisors p of n and $k \geq 0$.

(2) If, in addition, we have $p^2 \nmid n$, then there are p -torsion classes $\rho_{p,k} \in A_{PGL_n}^{p^{k+1}+1}$ mapping to $y_{p,k}$ via the cycle class map.

Remark 1.1.1. The sets of elements $\{\rho_{p,k}\}_{k \geq 0}$ and $\{y_{p,k}\}_{k \geq 0}$ are not algebraically independent in general. Indeed, in the case $n = p$ considered by Vistoli [45], they each generate subalgebras isomorphic to a subalgebra of the polynomial algebra (over \mathbb{Z}/p) of 2 generators. (See Corollary 9.6).

On the other hand, the classes $y_{p,I}$ for $l(I) > 1$ seem hard to capture, and so are their counterparts in Chow rings (if any). Nonetheless, we are able to find an interesting property of these classes. By definition, we have $y_{p,I} \in \text{Im } \chi^*$, a subring of $H_{PGL_n}^*$. In a non-negatively graded ring R^* with $R^0 = \mathbb{Z}$, an element x is *decomposable* if $x = \sum_i y_i z_i$ where y_i, z_i are of degree greater than 0.

Theorem 1.2. Let p be a (not necessarily odd) prime divisor of n and $p^2 \nmid n$. If $l(I) > 1$, then the class $y_{p,I}$ is decomposable in $\text{Im } \chi^*$.

Remark 1.2.1. In Corollary 6.13 we show that for some I with $l(I) > 1$, the class $y_{p,I} \in \text{Im } \chi^*$ is nonzero. This suggests that the homomorphism χ^* sends a nontrivial class of the form

$$y_{p,I} + (\text{decomposable classes}) \in H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$$

to 0.

We proceed to discuss an application of Theorem 1.1, for which some background is in order. Let G be a compact topological (resp. algebraic) group. Let $h^*(-)$ be a generalized cohomology such that

$$h^*(\mathbf{BGL}_r) \cong h^*(pt)[c_1, \dots, c_r]$$

where $c_i \in h^{2i}(\mathbf{BGL}_r)$ is the i th universal Chern class. (resp. Let $h^*(-)$ be $A^*(-)$, or $A^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, i.e., Chow ring localized at an odd prime p .) The *Chern subring* of $h_G^* := h^*(\mathbf{BG})$ is the subring generated by Chern classes of all representations

of $G \rightarrow GL_r$ for some r . If the Chern subring is equal to h_G^* , then we say that h_G^* is generated by Chern classes.

Whether A_G^* is generated by Chern classes is related to a conjecture by Totaro [41] on the image of the refined cycle class map, to be discussed in Section 10. Vezzosi [44] showed that $A_{PGL_3}^*$ is not generated by Chern classes. In the appendix of [45] by Targa [39], the same thing was shown for $A_{PGL_p}^*$ for all odd primes p . More results of this nature can be found in Kameko and Yagita [26]. We have the following consequence and generalization of the above results:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer, and p one of its odd prime divisor, such that $p^2 \nmid n$. Then the ring $A_{PGL_n}^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is not generated by Chern classes. More precisely, the class $\rho_{p,0}^i$ is not in the Chern subring for $p-1 \nmid i$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the cohomology of the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ in terms of the Steenrod reduced power operations. In Section 3 we recall a homotopy fiber sequence and the associated Serre spectral sequence converging to $H_{PGL_n}^*$, which is the main object of interest in [18]. We prove Theorem 1.2 in this section. Section 4 is a recollection of elements in equivariant intersection theory related to the topic of this paper. Section 5 is a short note on the Steenrod reduced power operations for motivic cohomology, which play a role in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Here we concern ourselves with some specific facts rather than the general theory. In Section 6 we review and slightly extend works of Vezzosi [45] and Vistoli [44] on the cohomology and Chow ring of $\mathbf{B}PGL_p$ where p is an odd prime. We prove part (1) of Theorem 1.1 in this section. In Section 7, we consider the subgroups of certain diagonal block matrices of GL_n pass to quotients to yield subgroups of PGL_n that act as a bridge between PGL_p and PGL_n . In Section 8 and 9, we construct the torsion classes $\rho_{p,k}$, completing the proof of Theorem 1.1. Finally, in Section 10 we discuss the conjecture by Totaro on the refined cycle class map and its relation to the Chern subrings, followed by the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Professor Christian Haesemeyer for financial support as well as many fruitful conversations. Without his wisdom and encouragement the author would have gone through much trouble completing this manuscript. The author also thanks the anonymous referees for numerous suggestions and corrections.

2. THE COHOMOLOGY OF THE EILENBERG-MAC LANE SPACE $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$

The cohomology of the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space $K(\pi, n)$ for π a finitely generated abelian group can be deduced from the lecture notes [13] by Cartan and Serre. The mod p cohomology of $K(\pi, n)$ is described particularly nicely by Tamanoi [38] in terms of the Milnor basis of the mod p Steenrod algebra. As a special case of the above, the cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ is described by the author in [18] in full details. The author claims no originality of the content of the above or this section. For the sake of simplicity, we present the p -local case for odd primes p . Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ be the ring of integers localized at p .

Proposition 2.1 ([18], Proposition 2.16, 2016). *The graded ring $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is generated by*

- (1) $x_1 \in H^3(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$, a non-torsion element, and

- (2) the elements $y_{p,I}$ where $I = (i_m, \dots, i_1)$ is an ordered sequence of positive integers $i_m < \dots < i_1$, and the degree of $y_{p,I}$ is

$$|y_{p,I}| = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^m (2p^{i_j+1} + 1).$$

In particular, when taking $I = (i)$, we have $y_{p,i} := y_{p,I}$ of degree $2p^{i+1} + 2$.

Here x_1 is the fundamental class, i.e, the class represented by the identity map of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$. Notice that there are nontrivial relations among the elements $y_{p,I}$. See [18] for details. For future references we consider the decomposable elements of degree $|I|$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $I = (i_m, \dots, i_1)$ and $J = (j_n, \dots, j_1)$ satisfying $0 \leq i_m < \dots < i_1$ and $0 \leq j_n < \dots < j_1$. Then we have $I = J$ if and only if $|I| = |J|$.*

Proof. Only one direction requires a proof. For $m = 1$, $|I| = 2p^{i_1+1} + 2$ is the p -adic expansion of $|I|$, for both p odd and 2. The lemma then follows from the uniqueness of p -adic expansions. The general case then follows by induction on m . \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let $I = (i_m, \dots, i_1)$ satisfying $0 \leq i_m < \dots < i_1$, and $Y \in H^{|I|}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ be a monomial in elements $y_{p,J}$ for various J . Then Y is either equal to $y_{p,I}$ or decomposable.*

Proposition 2.1 implies, in particular, that any torsion element in $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})$ is of order p for some prime number p . This means that the torsion elements of $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})$, namely all elements above degree 3, are in the image of some Bockstein homomorphism

$$B : H^*(-; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(-; \mathbb{Z}).$$

So we present the classes $y_{p,k}$ in such a way.

For a fixed odd prime p , let \mathcal{P}^k be the k th Steenrod reduced power operation and let β be the Bockstein homomorphism $H^*(-; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(-; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow 0.$$

For an axiomatic description of the Steenrod reduced power operations, see Steenrod and Epstein [36].

To compute the cohomology ring $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}/p)$, it suffices to consider the mod p cohomological Serre spectral sequence associated to the homotopy fiber sequence

$$K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow PK(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$$

where $PK(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ is the contractible space of paths in $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$.

Now let overhead bars denote the mod p reductions of integral cohomology classes. An inductive argument on the transgressive elements (Section 6.2 of McCleary [30]) yields the following

Proposition 2.4. *For any odd prime p , we have*

$$H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/p}[\bar{x}_1] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/p}[x_{p,0}, x_{p,1}, \dots] \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\bar{y}_{p,0}, \bar{y}_{p,1}, \dots],$$

where $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/p}[\bar{x}_1]$ is the exterior algebra generated by \bar{x}_1 , the mod p reduction of the fundamental class x_1 , $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/p}[x_{p,0}, x_{p,1}, \dots]$ is the exterior algebra over \mathbb{Z}/p over elements

$$x_{p,k} := \mathcal{P}^{p^k} \mathcal{P}^{p^{k-1}} \dots \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{x}_1), \text{ where } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and $\mathbb{Z}/p[\bar{y}_{p,0}, \bar{y}_{p,1}, \dots]$ is the polynomial algebra generated by

$$\bar{y}_{p,i} = \beta x_{p,i}.$$

In $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$y_{p,I} = B(x_{p,i_m} \dots x_{p,i_2} x_{p,i_1})$$

for $I = (i_m, \dots, i_2, i_1)$.

An immediate consequence of Proposition 2.4 is the following

Proposition 2.5. *For $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$y_{p,k} = B \mathcal{P}^{p^k} \mathcal{P}^{p^{k-1}} \dots \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{x}_1).$$

Remark 2.6. The alert reader may argue that Proposition 2.4 merely indicates

$$y_{p,k} = \lambda B \mathcal{P}^{p^k} \mathcal{P}^{p^{k-1}} \dots \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{x}_1)$$

for some $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$. However, notice that Proposition 2.1 determines $y_{p,k}$ only up to a scalar multiplication. Hence we may as well choose $y_{p,k}$ such that Proposition 2.5 holds.

Proposition 2.5 has the following variation.

Proposition 2.7. *For $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\bar{y}_{p,k} = \mathcal{P}^{p^k}(\bar{y}_{p,k-1}).$$

Proof. Recall that for positive integers a, b such that $a \leq pb$, we have the Adem relation (Adem, [2])

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^a \beta \mathcal{P}^b &= \sum_i (-1)^{a+i} \binom{(p-1)(b-i)}{a-pi} \beta \mathcal{P}^{a+b-i} \mathcal{P}^i \\ &+ \sum_i (-1)^{a+i+1} \binom{(p-1)(b-i)-1}{a-pi-1} \mathcal{P}^{a+b-i} \beta \mathcal{P}^i. \end{aligned}$$

For $k > 0$, let $a = p^k$ and $b = p^{k-1}$. Then the only choice of i to offer something nontrivial on the right hand side of (2.1) is $i = p^{k-1}$, and (2.1) becomes

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{P}^{p^k} \beta \mathcal{P}^{p^{k-1}} = \beta \mathcal{P}^{p^k} \mathcal{P}^{p^{k-1}}.$$

Then it follows by induction that we have

$$\mathcal{P}^{p^k} \beta \mathcal{P}^{p^{k-1}} \dots \mathcal{P}^p \mathcal{P}^1 = \beta \mathcal{P}^{p^k} \dots \mathcal{P}^p \mathcal{P}^1.$$

Since all classes $y_{p,k}$ are of order p , as stated in Proposition 2.5, we conclude. \square

Remark 2.8. Alternatively, we may describe $\bar{y}_{p,k}$ in terms of the Milnor's basis $\{Q_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ introduced in Section 6 of [31], defined inductively by

$$Q_0 = \beta, \quad Q_{k+1} = \mathcal{P}^{p^k} Q_k - Q_k \mathcal{P}^{p^k}.$$

Then by Proposition 2.5 we may follow an inductive argument and show

$$\bar{y}_{p,k} = Q_{k+1}(\bar{x}_1).$$

3. A SERRE SPECTRAL SEQUENCE, THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In the introduction we mentioned the map

$$\chi : \mathbf{BPGL}_n \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$$

representing the “canonical” class in $H_{PGL_n}^3$. It is easy to show, for example, in the introduction of Gu [18], that the homotopy fiber is \mathbf{BGL}_n and there is a homotopy fiber sequence

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{BGL}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{BPGL}_n \xrightarrow{\chi} K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$$

where the first arrow is induced by the obvious projection $GL_n \rightarrow PGL_n$. This may be obtained from the more obvious homotopy fiber sequence

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{BC}^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{BGL}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{BPGL}_n$$

by de-looping the first term $\mathbf{BC}^\times \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$. The author used this de-looping as the definition of χ , and the class x_1 is “canonical” in this sense.

For positive integers $r > 1$ and s we have $\Delta : \mathbf{BPGL}_r \rightarrow \mathbf{BPGL}_{rs}$ the obvious diagonal map. The fiber sequences (3.1) and (3.2) then show the following

Lemma 3.1. *The following diagram commutes up to homotopy.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{BPGL}_p & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathbf{BPGL}_n \\ & \searrow \chi & \swarrow \chi \\ & K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) & \end{array}$$

Remark 3.2. As mentioned in the introduction, we omit the notation χ^* and let $y_{p,I}$ denotes their pull-backs in $H_{PGL_n}^*$. If we temporarily denote $y_{p,I}$ by $y_{p,I}(r)$ and $y_{p,I}(rs)$, respectively in the cases $n = r$ and $n = rs$, then Lemma 3.1 indicates $\Delta^*(y_{p,I}(rs)) = y_{p,I}(r)$. In view of this, we do not make the effort of distinguishing $y_{p,I}(r)$ and $y_{p,I}(rs)$, and denote both by $y_{p,I}$.

Consider the homotopy commutative diagram

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{BC}^\times & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) & \longrightarrow & PK(\mathbb{Z}, 3) & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \\ \Phi : & & \downarrow \mathbf{B}\varphi & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ & & \mathbf{BT}(GL_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BT}(PGL_n) & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \\ \Psi : & & \downarrow \mathbf{B}\psi & & \downarrow \mathbf{B}\psi' & & \parallel \\ & & \mathbf{BGL}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{BPGL}_n & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \end{array}$$

where $PK(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ is the pointed path space of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$, which is contractible, and φ is the diagonal map. Moreover, all rows are homotopy fiber sequences and we let Φ and Ψ in the diagram denote morphisms of homotopy fiber sequence.

Remark 3.3. As mentioned in the introduction, the diagram (3.3) is indeed a variation of the one considered in [18], where the groups U_n , PU_n and the unit circle take the places of GL_n , PGL_n and \mathbb{C}^\times , respectively.

We take note of the following notations:

$$(3.4) \quad H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^* = \mathbb{Z}[v]$$

where v is of degree 2, and

$$(3.5) \quad H_{T(GL_n)}^* = \mathbb{Z}[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n]$$

where each v_i is of degree 2, and for all i we have

$$\mathbf{B}\varphi^*(v_i) = v.$$

The quotient map $T(GL_n) \rightarrow T(PGL_n)$ identifies $H_{PGL_n}^*$ as the subring of $H_{T(GL_n)}^*$, which, as an abelian group, is generated by 1 and the kernel of the ring homomorphism given by

$$H_{T(GL_n)}^* = \mathbb{Z}[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad v_i \mapsto 1,$$

i.e., polynomials in v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n of which the coefficient of all term sum up to 0. Moreover, we have

$$(3.6) \quad H_{GL_n}^* = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n]$$

where c_i , the i th universal Chern class, is of degree $2i$, and $\mathbf{B}\psi^*$ takes c_i to the i th elementary symmetric polynomial in v_i 's.

As in [18], we let $({}^K E_*^{*,*}, {}^K d_*^{*,*})$, $({}^T E_*^{*,*}, {}^T d_*^{*,*})$ and $({}^U E_*^{*,*}, {}^U d_*^{*,*})$ denote cohomological Serre spectral sequences with integer coefficients associated to the three homotopy fiber sequences in (3.3). In particular, we have

$${}^U E_2^{s,t} \cong H^s(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); H_{GL_n}^t)$$

converging to $H_{PGL_n}^*$. This spectral sequence is the main object of interest in [18]. In principle, using the homological algebra of differential graded algebras, we are able to determine all the differentials of ${}^K E_*^{*,*}$. The diagram (3.3) then converts the differentials ${}^K d_*^{*,*}$ to ${}^T d_*^{*,*}$. Then we obtain some of the differentials ${}^U d_*^{*,*}$ via the following

Lemma 3.4. *The morphism of spectral sequence ${}^U E_*^{*,*} \rightarrow {}^T E_*^{*,*}$ is induced by*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^U E_2^{*,*} \cong H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[c_1, \dots, c_n] &\rightarrow H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n] \cong {}^T E_2^{*,*} \\ hc_i &\mapsto h\sigma_i(t_1, \dots, t_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $h \in H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})$ and $\sigma_i(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is the i th elementary symmetric polynomial in t_1, \dots, t_n .

Remark 3.5. For the sake of simplicity we drop the symbol \otimes whenever there is no ambiguity.

We recall the splitting principle, which asserts that the map

$$\mathbf{B}\psi : \mathbf{B}T(GL_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}GL_n$$

associated to the inclusion of a maximal torus, induces the homomorphism

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{B}\psi^* : H_{GL_n}^* \rightarrow H_{T(GL_n)}^*, \quad c_i \mapsto \sigma_i(t_1, \dots, t_n),$$

where $\sigma_i(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is the i th elementary symmetric polynomial in variables v_1, \dots, v_n . The following proposition then follows.

Proposition 3.6 (Proposition 3.8, [18]). *The diagram (3.3) induces a commutative diagram as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 {}^U E_r^{s,t} & \xrightarrow{{}^U d_r^{s,t}} & {}^U E_r^{s+r,t-r+1} \\
 \downarrow \Psi^* & & \downarrow \Psi^* \\
 {}^T E_r^{s,t} & \xrightarrow{{}^T d_r^{s,t}} & {}^T E_r^{s+r,t-r+1} \\
 \downarrow \Phi^* & & \downarrow \Phi^* \\
 {}^K E_r^{s,t} & \xrightarrow{{}^K d_r^{s,t}} & {}^K E_r^{s+r,t-r+1}
 \end{array}$$

where the arrow Ψ^* is given by (3.7), in the sense that the source (resp. target) of Ψ^* is a subquotient of the source (resp. target) of the homomorphism

$${}^U E_2^{s,t} \cong H^s(BGL_n; H^t(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow H^s(BT(GL_n;)H^t(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})) \cong {}^T E_2^{s,t}$$

induced by (3.7). In particular, the differentials ${}^T d_{*,*}^{*,*}$ determine all of the differentials of the form ${}^U d_r^{s-r,t+r-1}$ of ${}^U E_*^{*,*}$ such that for any $r' < r$, ${}^T d_{r'}^{s-r',t-r'+1} = 0$, since in this case the arrow Ψ^* on the right is injective.

In other words, for each bi-degree (s, t) , the first nontrivial differential whose target is ${}^U E_*^{s,t}$ is determined by restricting ${}^T d_{*,*}^{*,*}$ to ${}^U E_*^{*,*}$. In particular, Proposition 3.6 determines the differential ${}^U d_3^{*,*}$ completely: Let

$$\nabla : H^*(\mathbf{BU}_n) \rightarrow H^{*-2}(\mathbf{BU}_n)$$

be a linear operator defined by

$$(3.8) \quad \nabla(c_k) = (n + k - 1)x_1 c_{k-1}$$

and the Leibniz formula

$$(3.9) \quad \nabla(ab) = \nabla(a)b + a\nabla(b).$$

We end this section by several corollaries. Let ∇, ξ be the same as earlier, and let $\vartheta = \vartheta(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in H^*(BU_n; \mathbb{Z})$. Then we have

Corollary 3.7 (Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.10, [18]). *The differential ${}^U d_3$ is determined by*

$${}^U d_3(\xi\vartheta) = {}^U d_3(\xi)\vartheta = \xi x_1 \nabla(\vartheta)$$

for any $\xi \in H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, we have

$${}^U d_3(\xi c_1) = {}^U d_3(c_1)\xi = n x_1 \xi.$$

It is known (Theorem 1.2, [18]) that for a prime p , the class $y_{p,0} \in H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}$ is a nontrivial p -torsion class if $p|n$ and is 0 otherwise. Since $y_{p,0}$ is the p -torsion class in $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})$ of the lowest degree $2(p+1)$, and generates the unique p -primary subgroup of degree $2(p+1)$. We have the following

Proposition 3.8. *If $p|n$, then the class $y_{p,0}$ is the nontrivial p -torsion class in $H_{PGL_n}^*$ of lowest degree, and generates the unique p -primary subgroup of $H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}$. If $p \nmid n$ the class $y_{p,0}$ is trivial in $H_{PGL_n}^*$.*

Proof. The class $y_{p,0}$ being (non)trivial is just Theorem 1.2 of [18]. The uniqueness assertion follows by looking at

$${}^U E_2^{s,t} \cong H^s(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); H_{GL_n}^t).$$

□

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ denote the ring \mathbb{Z} localized at the prime number p , and for any topological group G let

$$H_G^*[p] := H^*(\mathbf{B}G; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \cong H^*(\mathbf{B}G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}.$$

Recall that the “canonical” maximal torus, $T(PGL_n)$, is the subgroup of PGL_n of diagonal matrices passing to the quotient. The Weyl group of $T(PGL_n)$ is the symmetric group S_n acting by permuting the diagonal entries. It is a standard fact that the restriction $H_{PGL_n}^*[p] \rightarrow H_{T(PGL_n)}^*[p]$ factors through $(H_{T(PGL_n)}^*[p])^{S_n}$, the subring of $H_{T(PGL_n)}^*[p]$ of invariants with respect to the S_n -action. Of course this is also true without localization.

Corollary 3.9. *The inclusion of the maximal torus $T(PGL_n) \hookrightarrow PGL_n$ and the map $\chi : \mathbf{B}PGL_n \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ induce a split short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H^{2(p+1)}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \rightarrow H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}[p] \rightarrow (H_{T(PGL_n)}^{2(p+1)}[p])^{S_n} \rightarrow 0,$$

which yields an isomorphism

$$(3.10) \quad H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}[p] \cong (H_{T(PGL_n)}^{2(p+1)}[p])^{S_n} \oplus H^{2(p+1)}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}).$$

Proof. Let ${}^U E_*^{*,*}[p]$ denote the spectral sequence ${}^U E_*^{*,*}$ localized at p . Then the nontrivial entries of its second page of total degree $2(p+1)$ are ${}^U E_2^{0,2(p+1)}[p]$ and, when $p|n$, ${}^U E_2^{2(p+1),0}[p]$. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow {}^U E_\infty^{2(p+1),0}[p] \rightarrow H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}[p] \rightarrow {}^U E_\infty^{0,2(p+1)}[p] \rightarrow 0$$

which is split since ${}^U E_\infty^{0,2(p+1)}[p]$ is a torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module.

The identification of the first term of the short exact sequence follows immediately from Proposition 3.8. A direct computation identifies $(H_{T(PGL_n)}^*)^{S_n}$ with $\text{Ker } \nabla \cong {}^U E_3^{0,*}$. However, for obvious degree reasons there is no nontrivial differential out of ${}^U E_3^{0,*}[p]$, and we have the identification of the last term of the short exact sequence. □

We proceed to consider the classes $y_{p,I}$ for I of length greater than 1, and prove Theorem 1.2. It follows from Corollary 2.18 of [18] that for each $I = (i_m, i_{m-1}, \dots, i_1)$ and an integer k such that $0 \leq i_m < \dots < i_1$ we have

$$(3.11) \quad y_{p,I} = \begin{cases} {}^K d_{2p^{i_m}+1+1}(y_{p,I'} v^{p^{i_m}+1}), & m > 1, \\ {}^K d_{2p^{i_1}+1-1}(x_1 v^{p^{i_1}+1}). \end{cases}$$

with $I' = (i_{m-1}, \dots, i_1)$.

Lemma 3.10. *For $I = (i_m, \dots, i_1)$ as above with $m > 1$. Then for $r < 2p^{i_m}+1$, any element in the image of*

$$(3.12) \quad {}^K d_r^{|I|-r, r-1} : {}^K E_r^{|I|-r, r-1} \rightarrow {}^K E_r^{|I|, 0}$$

is congruent to a decomposable element, in the sense that ${}^K E_r^{|I|, 0}$ is a quotient group of $H^{|I|}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. The differentials ${}^K d_r^{s,t}$ landing on the line ${}^K E_r^{*,0}$ are determined by (3.11) along with the product formula

$${}^K d_r(ab) = {}^K d_r(a)b + (-1)^{|a|} a {}^K d_r(b)$$

where a and b are classes in ${}^K E_r^{*,*}$ and $|a|$ is the degree of a . Therefore, any differential as in (3.12) with $r < 2p^{i_m+1} + 1$ is of the form

$${}^K d_{2p^{k+1}+1}^{|I|-2p^{k+1}-1, 2p^{k+1}} : {}^K E_{2p^{k+1}+1}^{|I|-2p^{k+1}-1, 2p^{k+1}} \rightarrow {}^K E_{2p^{k+1}+1}^{|I|,0}$$

with $k < i_m$. The image of ${}^K d_{2p^{k+1}+1}^{|I|-2p^{k+1}-1, 2p^{k+1}}$ is therefore generated by monomials of the form $y_{p,I_1} \cdots y_{p,I_s}$ where at least one of the I_1, \dots, I_s is of the form (k, \dots) . It then follows from Lemma 2.2 that the monomial $y_{p,I_1} \cdots y_{p,I_s}$ is not $y_{p,I}$. By Corollary 2.3, the monomial $y_{p,I_1} \cdots y_{p,I_s}$ is decomposable and we conclude. \square

Now we have all the necessary ingredient for the following

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $I = (i_m, \dots, i_1)$ such that $0 \leq i_m < i_{m-1} < \dots < i_1$. Let W_U , W_T and W_K be the subgroups of $H^{|I|}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})$ characterised by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^U E_{2p^{i_m}}^{|I|,0} &= H_{GL_n}^{2p^{i_m}}/W_U, \quad {}^T E_{2p^{i_m}}^{|I|,0} = H_{T(GL_n)}^{2p^{i_m}}/W_T, \\ {}^K E_{2p^{i_m}}^{|I|,0} &= H^{2p^{i_m}}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})/W_K. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $W_U \subset W_T \subset W_K$. Summarizing the above arguments, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^U E_{2p^{i_m}}^{|J|, 2p^{i_m}} & \xrightarrow{{}^U d_{2p^{i_m}}^{|I|, 2p^{i_m}}} & {}^U E_{2p^{i_m}}^{|I|,0} \cong H^{|I|}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})/W_U \\ \downarrow \Psi^* & & \downarrow \Psi^* \\ {}^T E_{2p^{i_m}}^{|J|, 2p^{i_m}} & \xrightarrow{{}^T d_{2p^{i_m}}^{|I|, 2p^{i_m}}} & {}^T E_{2p^{i_m}}^{|I|,0} \cong H^{|I|}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})/W_T \\ \downarrow \Phi^* & & \downarrow \Phi^* \\ {}^K E_{2p^{i_m}}^{|J|, 2p^{i_m}} & \xrightarrow{{}^K d_{2p^{i_m}}^{|I|, 2p^{i_m}}} & {}^K E_{2p^{i_m}}^{|I|,0} \cong H^{|I|}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})/W_K \end{array}$$

where Ψ^* and Φ^* are induced from Ψ and Φ in the diagram (3.3). The vertical arrows to the right are quotient maps. Now it follows from (3.11) that we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi^* \Psi^* {}^U d_{2p^{i_m}+1}(c_p^{p^{i_m}} y_{p,J}) \\ &= {}^K d_{2p^{i_m}+1}([y_{p,J} \cdot \binom{n}{p} v]^{i_m+1}) \\ &= [\binom{n}{p}^{i_m+1} y_{p,I}] \in H^{|I|}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})/W_K \end{aligned}$$

where $[a]$ denotes the equivalence class of $a \in H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})$ in $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z})/W_K$, and we have

$${}^U d_{2p^{i_m}+1}(c_p^{p^{i_m}} y_{p,J}) \equiv \binom{n}{p}^{i_m+1} y_{p,I} \pmod{W_K}.$$

Since $p^2 \nmid n$, we have $\binom{n}{p} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, the above indicates that in ${}^U E_\infty^{2p^{i_m}, 0}$ we have

$$[y_{p,I}] \equiv 0 \pmod{{}^U E_\infty^{2p^{i_m}, 0} \bigcap W_K}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3.10 the classes in W_K are decomposable, and we conclude. \square

4. EQUIVARIANT INTERSECTION THEORY

We refer to Edidin and Graham [11] and Totaro [41] for definitions and basic facts on equivariant intersection theory. We also reformulate many results in Section 4 of Vistoli's paper [45], since they play key roles in this paper.

The main object of interest is the equivariant Chow ring $A_G^*(X)$ for an algebraic space X over a base field \mathbb{K} with an action of an algebraic group G . The Chow ring of G is identified with the equivariant Chow ring $A_G^*(\text{spec } \mathbb{K})$. In this sense the ring A_G^* is regarded as the ‘‘coefficient ring’’ of the equivariant Chow rings $A_G^*(X)$. One may regard equivariant Chow rings as an analog of Borel's equivariant cohomology theory for topological spaces with continuous group actions. From now on we work with a fixed base field \mathbb{K} and the reader is free to assume $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

For any $k > 0$, Edidin and Graham define the Chow ring $A_G^*(X)$ for a scheme X by defining $A_G^{\leq k}(X)$ as $A^{\leq k}((X \times U)/G)$ where $A^*(-)$ denotes the ordinary Chow ring and U is an open set of a G -representation of large enough dimension and G acts freely on U . It follows that we have

Proposition 4.1. (*Homotopy Invariance*) *Let X be a G -equivariant algebraic space and V be a finite dimensional G -representation. Then the pullback of the projection*

$$A_G^*(X) \rightarrow A_G^*(X \times V)$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, we have $A_G^ \cong A_G^*(V)$.*

In general the quotient X/G is defined as an algebraic space, which is not necessarily a scheme. However, by Lemma 9 of [11], for any $i > 0$, there is a G -representation V and an open subscheme U of V such that $V - U$ is of codimension greater than i , and the quotient $U \rightarrow U/G$ exists as a principal bundle in the category of schemes. Therefore, for any $i > 0$, the Chow groups A_G^i are defined as Chow groups of some schemes.

Many properties of the ordinary Chow rings hold for equivariant Chow rings too. For instance, let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper morphism of G -schemes, then we have the pullback

$$f^* : A_G^*(X) \rightarrow A_G^*(Y)$$

and the push-forward

$$f_* : A_G^{*-r}(Y) \rightarrow A_G^*(X),$$

where r is the codimension of Y in X , and the following proposition generalizes the localization sequences in the non-equivariant intersection theory. (See, for example, Fulton [14].)

Proposition 4.2. (*Localization Sequences*) *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be G -equivariant closed immersion. Then we have an exact sequence as follows:*

$$A_G^{*-r}(Y) \xrightarrow{f_*} A_G^*(X) \xrightarrow{f^*} A_G^*(X \setminus Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

In the particular case where $X = V$ is a G -representation of dimension n , and $Y = \{0\} \subset V$, we have

Proposition 4.3. *The sequence*

$$A_G^{*-n} \xrightarrow{c_n(V)} A_G^* \rightarrow A_G^*(V \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where the first arrow is the multiplication by the Chern class $c_n(V)$. In particular, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that we have

$$A_G^*(V \setminus \{0\}) \cong A_G^*/c_n(V).$$

Now we let the group G vary. Suppose H is a closed subgroup of G . Then we have the restriction map

$$\text{res}_H^G : A_G^*(X) \rightarrow A_H^*(X)$$

which is a ring homomorphism. If, furthermore, H has finite index in G , then we have the transfer map

$$\text{tr}_G^H : A_H^* \rightarrow A_G^*.$$

This is no longer a ring homomorphism, but a homomorphism of A_G^* -modules, in the sense that we have the following projection formula:

$$(4.1) \quad \text{tr}_G^H(a \text{res}_H^G(b)) = \text{tr}_G^H(a)b.$$

For the unit $1 \in A_G^*$ we have

$$\text{tr}_G^H(1) = [G : H],$$

where the righthand side is the index of H in G . Therefore, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \text{tr}_G^H(\text{res}_H^G(b)) = [G : H]b.$$

Similar to the Cartan-Eilenberg double coset formula (Adem and Milgram [1]), we have Mackey's formula concerning the transfer and the restriction described above. Once again we adopt the setup in Vistoli [45]:

Let G be an algebraic group and H, K be algebraic subgroups of G such that H has finite index over G . We will also assume that the quotient G/H is reduced, and a disjoint union of copies of $\text{spec } \mathbb{K}$ (this is automatically verified when \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed of characteristic 0). Furthermore, we assume that every element of $(K \backslash G/H)(\mathbb{K})$ is the image of some element of $G(\mathbb{K})$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a set of representatives of classes of the double quotient $K \backslash G/H(\mathbb{K})$. For each $s \in \mathcal{C}$, let

$$K_s := K \cap sHs^{-1} \subset G.$$

Therefore, K_s is a subgroup of K of finite index, and there is an embedding $K_s \rightarrow H$ defined by $k \mapsto sks^{-1}$.

Proposition 4.4 (Vistoli, Proposition 4.4, [45], 2007). *(Mackey's formula)*

$$\text{res}_K^G \cdot \text{tr}_G^H = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{C}} \text{tr}_{K_s}^{K_s} \cdot \text{res}_{K_s}^{sHs^{-1}} \cdot \gamma_s : A_H^* \rightarrow A_K^*,$$

where γ_s is the restriction associated to the conjugation $sHs^{-1} \rightarrow H$.

There is another way to relate equivariant Chow rings over different algebraic groups. Suppose we have a monomorphism of algebraic groups $H \rightarrow G$. Let H act on a scheme X . Then we have the G equivariant algebraic space $G \times^H X$, which is the quotient $G \times X / \sim$ where the equivalence relation “ \sim ” is defined by $(g, hx) \sim (gh, x)$ for all $h \in H$.

Proposition 4.5 (Vistoli, [45], 2007). *The composite of the restriction $A_G^*(G \times^H X) \rightarrow A_H^*(G \times^H X)$ and the pullback $A_H^*(G \times^H X) \rightarrow A_H^*(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Let N_G be the normalizer of the maximal torus $T(G)$. It is well known (Gottlieb [16]) that the restriction homomorphism $H_G^* \rightarrow H_{N_G}^*$ is injective. The analog conclusion for Chow rings, according to Vezzosi [44], is shown in an unpublished work by Totaro. A sketch of the proof is presented in [44].

Theorem 4.6 (Gottlieb, Totaro, Theorem 2.1, [44]). *Let G be an algebraic group over \mathbb{C} , T a maximal torus of G and N_G its normalizer in G . The restriction maps*

$$A_G^* \rightarrow A_{N_G}^*$$

and

$$H_G^* \rightarrow H_{N_G}^*$$

are injective.

In general, Chow rings are much more complicated than singular cohomology. However, in many cases the Chow rings A_G^* behave in very similar ways to H_G^* , their topological counterparts. We end this section with such examples, which will be of use later on.

Proposition 4.7 (Totaro, [41], 1999). *For $G = GL_n$, SL_n or a torus, the cycle class map $\text{cl} : A_G^* \rightarrow H_G^*$ is an isomorphism of rings.*

Furthermore, for $T(G)$ a fixed maximal torus of G , the cycle class map cl preserves the actions of the Weyl group. In particular, we have

Corollary 4.8. *Let G be an algebraic group, $T(G)$ a maximal torus, and W its Weyl group. Then*

$$\text{cl} : (A_{T(G)}^*)^W \rightarrow (H_{T(G)}^*)^W$$

is a well-defined ring isomorphism.

On the other hand, we have the following

Theorem 4.9 (Totaro, Theorem 2.14, [42]). *For any affine algebraic group G over \mathbb{C} , the natural map*

$$A_G^* \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H_G^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is an isomorphism.

The following is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.8 and Theorem 4.9.

Proposition 4.10. *Let G be an affine algebraic group over \mathbb{C} . The restriction homomorphism induced from $T(G) \rightarrow G$ gives an isomorphism*

$$A_G^* \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow (A_{T(G)}^*)^W \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_G^* \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & (A_{T(G)}^*)^W \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow \text{cl} & & \downarrow \text{cl} \\ H_G^* \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & (H_{T(G)}^*)^W \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \end{array}$$

It follows from Corollary 4.8 and Theorem 4.9 that the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. The bottom horizontal arrow being an isomorphism is a well-known fact, for which one may refer to, for example, Chapter 3 of [23]. It follows that the top horizontal arrow is an isomorphism as well. \square

In particular, we have

Corollary 4.11. *The inclusion of a maximal torus $\lambda : T(PGL_n) \rightarrow PGL_n$ induces the following isomorphism:*

$$\lambda^* : A_{PGL_n}^* \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} (A_{T(PGL_n)}^*)^{S_n} \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

5. THE STEENROD REDUCED POWER OPERATIONS FOR MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY

One of the key roles in the proof of Theorem 1.1, (2) is played by the Steenrod reduced power operations for motivic cohomology theory [29].

Motivic cohomology is a functor from the category of smooth schemes over a base field (which we fix as \mathbb{C}) to that of bigraded abelian groups. For a smooth variety X and an abelian group R , let $H^{*,*}(X; R)$ denote the motivic cohomology of X .

For an algebraic group G and an integer $N \geq 0$, the group A_G^k for $k < N$ is by definition $A^k(U/G)$ where U is an open subscheme of a representation such that G acts freely on U . According to the discussion following Proposition 4.1, we may choose U such that the quotient U/G exists as a scheme. The motivic cohomology of $\mathbf{B}G$ is defined in a similar way as A_G^* . We write $H_G^{*,*}$ for $H^{*,*}(\mathbf{B}G; \mathbb{Z})$, $H_G^{*,*}[p]$ for $H^{*,*}(\mathbf{B}G; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$, and $H_G^{*,*}(p)$ for $H^{*,*}(\mathbf{B}G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. All the assertions in this section hold for $X = \mathbf{B}G$.

Motivic cohomology theory is a generalization of the theory of Chow groups in the sense of the following natural isomorphism:

$$(5.1) \quad H^{2t,t}(X; R) \cong A^t(X) \otimes R.$$

Over the field \mathbb{C} , the cycle class map also generalize to a natural map, usually called the realization map (3.11, [46]). For consistency we denote it by cl :

$$\text{cl} : H^{s,t}(X; R) \rightarrow H^s(X(\mathbb{C}); R).$$

Motivic cohomology shares many nice properties with singular cohomology. For instance, a homomorphism of abelian groups $R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ induces a natural transformation $H^{*,*}(-; R_1) \rightarrow H^{*,*}(-; R_2)$. Moreover, for a fixed t , the functor $H^{s,t}(X; -)$ is a δ -functor. More precisely, let $0 \rightarrow R_0 \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow 0$ be a long exact sequence of abelian groups, then we have a long exact sequence

$$(5.2) \quad \cdots \rightarrow H^{s,t}(X; R_0) \rightarrow H^{s,t}(X; R_1) \rightarrow H^{s,t}(X; R_2) \xrightarrow{B} H^{s+1,t}(X; R_0) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where B is called the connecting (or the Bockstein) homomorphism, as in the case of singular cohomology.

In [47], Voevodsky defines cohomology operations for motivic cohomology theories with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}/p , for p a prime number, similar to the Steenrod reduced power operations. When p is odd, we have the operations

$$\mathcal{S}^i : H^{s,t}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{s+2i(p-1), t+i(p-1)}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p), \quad i \geq 0$$

where \mathcal{S}^0 is the identity, and

$$\beta : H^{s,t}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{s+1,t}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

which is the Bockstein homomorphism associated to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \xrightarrow{\times p} \mathbb{Z}/p^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow 0$, or equivalently, the Bockstein homomorphism associated to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times p} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow 0$, composed with the mod p reduction.

The operations \mathcal{S}^i and β satisfy the Adem relations which are formally the same as in the case of singular cohomology. In particular, we have the following analog of (2.2):

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{S}^{p^k} \beta \mathcal{S}^{p^{k-1}} = \beta \mathcal{S}^{p^k} \mathcal{S}^{p^{k-1}}.$$

The motivic Steenrod operations and the Bockstein homomorphisms are compatible with their topological counterparts, in the sense of the following

Proposition 5.1 (Voevodsky, [46]). *Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and X be an algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} , we have the following commutative diagrams:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{s,t}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}^i} & H^{s+2i(p-1), t+i(p-1)}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \\ \downarrow \text{cl} & & \downarrow \text{cl} \\ H^s(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}^i} & H^{s+2i(p-1)}(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{s,t}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) & \xrightarrow{B} & H^{s+1,t}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow \text{cl} & & \downarrow \text{cl} \\ H^s(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p) & \xrightarrow{B} & H^{s+1}(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

The operations \mathcal{S}^i restrict to Chow rings in the sense of (5.1):

$$\mathcal{S}^i : A^t(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow A^{t+i(p-1)}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p, \quad i \geq 0.$$

Brosnan in [6] independently constructed cohomology operations for Chow rings, satisfying the axiomatic properties of the Steenrod power operations, including the Adem relations. It is unclear to the author whether they agree with Voevodsky's definition.

6. THE CHOW RING AND COHOMOLOGY OF \mathbf{BPGL}_p

This section is a recollection of works of Vezzosi and Vistoli ([44], [45]) on the Chow ring and integral cohomology of \mathbf{BPGL}_p for p an odd prime, together with a few further observations.

Recall that the Weyl group of $T(PGL_p)$, the maximal torus of PGL_p , is the permutation group S_p , which acts on $T(PGL_p)$ by permuting the diagonal entries. Elements in $A_{PGL_p}^*$ therefore restrict to $(A_{T(PGL_p)}^*)^{S_p}$, the subgroup of classes fixed

by S_p . In Vistoli's paper [45], the Chow ring $A_{PGL_p}^*$ is given an $(A_{T(PGL_p)}^*)^{S_p}$ -algebra structure via a splitting injection

$$(A_{T(PGL_p)}^*)^{S_p} \rightarrow A_{PGL_p}^*.$$

Vistoli determined $A_{PGL_p}^*$ in terms of the above $(A_{T(PGL_p)}^*)^{S_p}$ -algebra structure. We partially state his result as follows:

Theorem 6.1 (Vistoli, [45], 2007). *The $(A_{T(PGL_p)}^*)^{S_p}$ -algebra $A_{PGL_p}^*$ is generated by an element $\rho_p \in A_{PGL_p}^{p+1}$ of additive order p .*

Remark 6.2. See Section 3 of [45] for the complete version of the theorem.

The following result is Proposition 9.4 of [45].

Proposition 6.3 (Vistoli, [45], 2007). *The homomorphisms*

$$A_{PGL_p}^* \rightarrow A_{T(PGL_p)}^* \times A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*$$

and

$$H_{PGL_p}^* \rightarrow H_{T(PGL_p)}^* \times H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*$$

obtained from the embeddings $T(PGL_p) \hookrightarrow PGL_p$ and $C_p \times \mu_p \hookrightarrow PGL_p$ are injective.

To prove Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 6.3, Vistoli considered two elements of PGL_p , represented respectively by the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} \omega & & & \\ & \omega^2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \omega^{p-1} \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where ω is a p th root of unity. They generate two subgroups of PGL_p , both cyclic of order p , which we denote by C_p and μ_p , respectively. Furthermore, the two matrices commute up to a scalar ω , which means they commute in PGL_p . Therefore we obtain an inclusion of algebraic groups $C_p \times \mu_p \hookrightarrow PGL_p$, which factors as

$$(6.1) \quad C_p \times \mu_p \hookrightarrow C_p \ltimes T(PGL_p) \hookrightarrow S_p \ltimes T(PGL_p) \hookrightarrow PGL_p,$$

where the two terms in the middle are the obvious semi-direct products.

Remark 6.4. As pointed out by Vistoli (Remark 11.4, [45]), the element ρ_p depends on the choice of the p th root of unity ω . Indeed, one readily verifies that, for a given choice of ω and the corresponding ρ_p , other choices of ω , say ω' , corresponds to $\lambda\rho_p$ for λ running over $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$.

One readily verifies

Proposition 6.5. *We have*

$$A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^* \cong \mathbb{Z}[\xi, \eta]/(p\xi, p\eta),$$

where ξ and η , both of degree 1, are respectively the restrictions of the canonical generators of $A_{C_p}^$ and $A_{\mu_p}^*$ via the projections.*

Let $N_{C_p \times \mu_p} PGL_p$ be the normalizer of $C_p \times \mu_p$ in PGL_p . The quotient group $N_{C_p \times \mu_p} PGL_p / C_p \times \mu_p$ then acts on $A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*$, and the image of the restriction $A_{PGL_p}^* \rightarrow A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*$ is contained (and in fact equal to) the subgroup of invariance of this action. Vistoli showed the following

Proposition 6.6 (Vistoli, Proposition 5.4, [45], 2007). *The quotient group*

$$N_{C_p \times \mu_p} PGL_p / C_p \times \mu_p$$

is isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p)$. An element of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ acts on $A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^$ by extending its action on $A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$ to a ring homomorphism. Furthermore, the ring of invariants $(A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*)^{SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p)}$ is generated by*

$$q := \xi^{p^2-p} + \eta^{p-1}(\xi^{p-1} - \eta^{p-1})^{p-1}$$

and

$$r := \xi\eta(\xi^{p-1} - \eta^{p-1}).$$

Vistoli constructed the class ρ_p by lifting r successively via the restrictions associated to the chain of inclusions (6.1). In other words, we have

$$(6.2) \quad A_{PGL_p}^{p+1} \rightarrow A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^{p+1}, \quad \rho_p \mapsto r,$$

where the homomorphism is the obvious restriction. This is stated in Proposition 11.1 of Vistoli's paper [45].

Here we present two steps in the lifting process:

Proposition 6.7 (Vistoli, Proposition 7.1 (d), [45], 2007). *The ring homomorphisms*

$$A_{C_p \times T(PGL_p)}^* \rightarrow A_{T(PGL_p)}^* \times A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*$$

and

$$H_{C_p \times T(PGL_p)}^* \rightarrow H_{T(PGL_p)}^* \times H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*$$

induced by the obvious restrictions are injective.

Proposition 6.8 (Vistoli, Proposition 8.1, [45], 2007). *The localized restriction homomorphism*

$$(A_{S_p \times T(PGL_p)}^*)^W \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/(p-1)!] \cong A_{C_p \times T(PGL_p)}^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/(p-1)!]$$

is an isomorphism. Here W is the Weyl group of $C_p \times T(PGL_p)$ in $S_p \times T(PGL_p)$.

The singular cohomology of $C_p \times \mu_p$ also plays an important role.

Proposition 6.9. *We have*

$$H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^* \cong \mathbb{Z}[\xi, \eta, \zeta] / (p\xi, p\eta, p\zeta, \zeta^2)$$

where ξ and η are of degree 2, and are the images of elements in $A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^$ denoted by the same letters, via the cycle class map, whereas x_1 is of degree 3.*

Proof. It is standard homological algebra that we have

$$H^*(\mathbf{B}C_p; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(a) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\bar{\xi}]$$

and

$$H^*(\mathbf{B}\mu_p; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(b) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\bar{\eta}]$$

where a and b are of degree 1, and $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/p}$ means exterior algebra over \mathbb{Z}/p , such that the Bockstein homomorphism satisfies

$$B(a) = \xi \text{ and } B(b) = \eta.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{P}^1(a) = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{P}^1(b) = 0$$

following from the degree axiom of the Steenrod reduced power operations (Steenrod and Epstein, [36]). Since $\bar{\xi}$ and $\bar{\eta}$ are of degree 2, another axiom asserts

$$\mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\xi}) = \bar{\xi}^p \text{ and } \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\eta}) = \bar{\eta}^p.$$

The isomorphism

$$H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^* \cong \mathbb{Z}[\xi, \eta, \zeta]/(p\xi, p\eta, p\zeta, \zeta^2)$$

follows from the Künneth formula. Indeed, we may define ζ as the integral lift of $\bar{\xi}b - a\bar{\eta}$. \square

Let an integral cohomology class with an overhead bar denote the mod p reduction of this class. Using Cartan's formula for the Steenrod reduced power operations, we define

$$\begin{aligned} r &= B\mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\zeta}) = B\mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\xi}b - a\bar{\eta}) = B[\mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\xi})b - a\mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\eta})] \\ &= B(\bar{\xi}^p b - a\bar{\eta}^p) = \xi^p \eta - \xi \eta^p, \end{aligned}$$

which is the image of $r \in A_{PGL_n}^{p+1}$ via the cycle class map. More generally for $k \geq 0$ we have

$$r_k := \xi \eta (\xi^{p^{k+1}-1} - \eta^{p^{k+1}-1}) = B\mathcal{P}^k \mathcal{P}^{k-1} \dots \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\zeta}),$$

where B is the connecting homomorphism $H^*(-; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(-; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\bar{\zeta}$ is the mod p reduction of ζ . By definition we have $r_0 = r$. By Corollary 2.7 and Proposition 6.9, we obtain the following

Corollary 6.10. *For $k > 0$, we have*

$$\bar{r}_k := \mathcal{P}^{p^k}(\bar{r}_{k-1}),$$

in $H^*(\mathbf{B}(C_p \times \mu_p); \mathbb{Z}/p)$, and similarly we have

$$\bar{r}_k := \mathcal{P}^{p^k}(\bar{r}_{k-1})$$

in $A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p$.

This leads to part (1) of Theorem 1.1, which we record as follows.

Proposition 6.11 ((1) of Theorem 1.1). *For p an odd prime and $p|n$, we have $0 \neq y_{p,k} \in H_{PGL_n}^{2(p^{k+1}+1)}$ for all $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. Consider the composition of inclusions of algebraic groups

$$(6.3) \quad \theta : C_p \times \mu_p \subset PGL_p \xrightarrow{\Delta} PGL_n,$$

which induces the restriction $H_{PGL_n}^* \rightarrow H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*$. Here Δ is the diagonal inclusion. It follows from Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 6.9 that the restriction takes $y_{p,k}$ to $r_k \neq 0$, and we conclude. \square

The following corollary plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1. As in Section 3, $H_G^*[p]$ denotes H_G^* localized at p .

Corollary 6.12. *For p an odd prime and $p|n$, the inclusion of the maximal torus $\lambda : T(PGL_n) \rightarrow PGL_n$ and the map $\theta : C_p \times \mu_p \rightarrow PGL_n$ give an isomorphism*

$$H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}[p] \cong (H_{T(PGL_n)}^{2(p+1)}[p])^{S_n} \oplus (H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^{2(p+1)})^{SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p)},$$

or equivalently

$$H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}[p] \cong (H_{T(PGL_n)}^{2(p+1)}[p])^{S_n} \oplus (r).$$

The map $\lambda^{2(p+1)} : H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)} \rightarrow H_{T(PGL_n)}^{2(p+1)}$ is a split epimorphism with right inverse ϕ satisfying $\theta^{2(p+1)}\phi = 0$.

Proof. It is verified in the proof of Proposition 6.11 that we have $\theta^*(y_{p,0}) = r_0$, giving an monomorphism

$$H^{2(p+1)}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \hookrightarrow H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^{2(p+1)}[p].$$

The rest follows Corollary 3.9. \square

The map θ detects the non-vanishing of p -torsion classes $y_{p,I} \in H_{PGL_n}^*$ for some I satisfying $l(I) > 1$. The following serves as a complement of Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 6.13. *Let $I = (0, 1)$. Then for an odd prime number p and n satisfying $p|n$, the class $y_{p,I} \in H_{PGL_n}^*$ is nontrivial.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.4 we have

$$y_{p,I} = B(x_{p,1}x_{p,0}) = B(\mathcal{P}^p \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{x}_1) \cdot \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{x}_1)),$$

and by Proposition 6.9 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^*(y_{p,I}) &= \theta^*(B(\mathcal{P}^p \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{x}_1) \cdot \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{x}_1))) \\ &= B(\mathcal{P}^p \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\zeta}) \cdot \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\zeta})) \\ &= B(\mathcal{P}^p \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\xi}b - a\bar{\eta}) \cdot \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\xi}b - a\bar{\eta})) \\ &= -\zeta(\xi^p \eta^{p^2} + \xi^{p^2} \eta^p) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

\square

The essential ingredient of Vistoli [45] and Vezzosi [44] is the stratification method. We adopt the following notation of a stratification from [45]: given an algebraic group G and a (complex) G -representation V , a stratification of V is a series of Zariski locally closed G -equivariant sub-varieties of V , say V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t such that each $V_{\leq i} := \bigcap_{j \leq i} V_j$ is Zariski open in V , each V_i is closed in $V_{\leq i}$, and $V_t = V \setminus \{0\}$. If we can obtain generators for some $A_G^*(V_{\leq i})$, then by induction on i and using the localization sequence

$$A_G^*(V_{i+1}) \rightarrow A_G^*(V_{\leq i+1}) \rightarrow A_G^*(V_{\leq i}) \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain generators of $A_G^*(V) = A_G^*$.

One of the advantages of working with stratifications is that it may enable us to simplify the group G . For example, for any integer $n > 1$, consider the PGL_n -representation sl_n of trace-zero $n \times n$ matrices on which PGL_n acts by conjugation. Similarly, we have a representation D_n of the group $\Gamma_n := S_n \ltimes T(PGL_n)$, defined as the $n \times n$ diagonal trace-zero matrices, on which Γ_n acts by conjugation. Let sl_n^* (resp. D_n^*) be the open subvariety of sl_n (resp. D_n) of matrices with n distinct eigenvalues. Then we have the following

Proposition 6.14 (Vezzosi, Proposition 3.1, [44], 2000). *The composite of natural maps*

$$A_{PGL_n}^*(sl_n^*) \rightarrow A_{\Gamma_n}^*(sl_n^*) \rightarrow A_{\Gamma_n}^*(D_n^*)$$

is a ring isomorphism.

Remark 6.15. Vezzosi stated this proposition only for $n = 3$, but his proof works for any $n > 1$. Indeed, his proof is essentially an application of Proposition 4.5, taking $G = PGL_n$, $H = \Gamma_n$ and $X = D_n^*$.

Based on Proposition 6.14, Vistoli proved the following more refined result when n is an odd prime p .

Proposition 6.16 (Vistoli, Proposition 10.1, [45], 2007). *The restriction $A_{PGL_p}^* \rightarrow A_{\Gamma_p}^*$ sends the Chern class $c_{p^2-1}(sl_p)$ into the ideal generated by the Chern class $c_{p-1}(D_p)$ and the induced map*

$$A_{PGL_p}^*/c_{p^2-1}(sl_p) \rightarrow A_{\Gamma_p}^*/c_{p-1}(D_p)$$

is a ring isomorphism after reverting $(p-1)!$.

Indeed, via the localization sequences

$$A_{PGL_p}^* \xrightarrow{c_{p^2-1}(sl_p)} A_{PGL_p}^* \rightarrow A_{PGL_p}^*(sl_p \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$A_{\Gamma_p}^* \xrightarrow{c_{p-1}(D_p)} A_{\Gamma_p}^* \rightarrow A_{\Gamma_p}^*(D_p \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow 0$$

we make the following identifications:

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{PGL_p}^*/c_{p^2-1}(sl_p) &\cong A_{PGL_p}^*(sl_p \setminus \{0\}), \\ A_{\Gamma_p}^*/c_{p-1}(D_p) &\cong A_{\Gamma_p}^*(D_p \setminus \{0\}). \end{aligned}$$

Vistoli then showed the following

Lemma 6.17 (Vistoli, within the proof of Proposition 10.1, [45], 2007). *All arrows (obvious restriction maps) in the following diagram are ring isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{PGL_p}^*(sl_p \setminus \{0\}) & \longrightarrow & A_{PGL_p}^*(sl_p^*) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_{\Gamma_p}^*(D_p \setminus \{0\}) & \longrightarrow & A_{\Gamma_p}^*(D_p^*). \end{array}$$

The following lemma is also due to Vistoli, though not explicitly stated.

Lemma 6.18 (Vistoli, within the proof of Lemma 10.2, [45], 2007). *Suppose that W is a representation of $C_p \ltimes T(PGL_p)$, and U an open subset of $W \setminus \{0\}$. Assume that*

- (a) *the restriction of W to $C_p \times \mu_p$ splits as a direct sum of 1-dimensional representations $W = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r$, in such a way that the characters $C_p \times \mu_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ of L_i 's are all distinct, and each $L_i \setminus \{0\}$ is contained in U , and*
- (b) *U contains a point that is fixed under $T(PGL_p)$.*

Then the restriction homomorphism $A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^(W \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*(U)$ is an isomorphism.*

Remark 6.19. Vistoli stated in Lemma 10.2, [45], that under these conditions, the restriction homomorphism $A_{C_p \times T(PGL_p)}^*(W \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow A_{C_p \times T(PGL_p)}^*(U)$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 6.18 has the following consequence that will be needed later. Recall the Γ_n -representation D_n and its open subvariety D_n^* . When $p|n$, consider D_n as a $C_p \times \mu_p$ -representation via the composition

$$C_p \times \mu_p \hookrightarrow C_p \times T(PGL_p) \hookrightarrow \Gamma_p \xrightarrow{\Delta} \Gamma_n$$

where Δ is the diagonal homomorphism.

Corollary 6.20. *For $p|n$, the restriction homomorphism*

$$A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*/c_{n-1}(D_n) \cong A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*(D_n \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*(D_n^*)$$

is an isomorphism. Here $c_{n-1}(D_n)$ is the $(n-1)$ th Chern class of the $C_p \times \mu_p$ -representation D_n .

Proof. Take $W = D_n$, $U = D_n^*$, and apply Lemma 6.18. \square

7. THE SUBGROUPS OF PGL_n OF DIAGONAL BLOCK MATRICES

Let $W = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ be a sequence of non-negative integers such that $n = \sum_i n_i$. Let $GL_W = \prod_i GL_{n_i}$, viewed as a subgroup of GL_n via the diagonal inclusion, and let PGL_W be the subgroup of PGL_n of images of GL_W via the canonical projection.

In [44], Vezzosi proved the following

Proposition 7.1 (Vezzosi, Corollary 2.4, [44], 2000). *All torsion classes in $A_{PGL_n}^*$ are n -torsion.*

In the rest of this section we prove a generalization of Proposition 7.1 as follows:

Proposition 7.2. *Let $W = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ be an ordered partition of n , and let $\gcd(W)$ be the greatest common divisor of n_1, \dots, n_r . Then all torsion classes in $A_{PGL_W}^*$ are $\gcd(W)$ -torsion.*

Consider the \mathbb{C} -algebra of $n \times n$ matrices $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. For W as above, Let $M_W(\mathbb{C})$ be the sub-algebra of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ of diagonal block matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & A_r \end{bmatrix}$$

such that A_i is an $n_i \times n_i$ matrix.

The inclusion $PGL_W \rightarrow PGL_n$ associates every PGL_W -torsor $\xi : E \rightarrow X$ to a rank n Azumaya algebra, i.e., an étale sheaf of algebras of $n \times n$ matrices, over the base scheme X , which we denote by $A(\xi) : A(E) \rightarrow X$. By construction $A(\xi)$ has a sub-algebra, at each fiber giving rise to the inclusion $M_W(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$. We denote it by $A_W(\xi) : A_W(E) \rightarrow X$. The inclusion of the i th diagonal block $M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_W(\mathbb{C})$ gives rise to subalgebras of $A_W(\xi)$ which we denote by $A_i(\xi) : A_i(E) \rightarrow X$.

An essential ingredient of Vezzosi's proof of Proposition 7.1 is the modified push-forward. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth proper morphism of relative dimension r . Then we have the modified push-forward

$$f_{\#} : A^*(Y) \rightarrow A^*(X), a \mapsto f_*(c_r(T_f)a),$$

where f_* is the usual push-forward, $c_r(T_f)$ the top Chern class of the relative tangent bundle of f .

Lemma 7.3. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be as above, and let F be the fiber of f over a non-singular point. Then we have*

$$f_{\#}f^*(a) = \chi(F)a$$

where $\chi(F)$ is the Euler characteristic of F .

Proof. By the projection formula of f_* and f^* , it suffices to $f_*(c_r(T_f)) = \chi(F)$. Since we have $f_*(c_r(F)) = \chi(F)$, it suffices to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^*(F) & \longleftarrow & A^*(Y) \\ \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* \\ A^*(\text{spec } \mathbb{C}) & \longleftarrow & A^*(X) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the horizontal arrows are the pullbacks induced by the obvious inclusions. But this is a standard argument which can be found in, for instance, 41.15, [35]. \square

Proof of Proposition 7.2. Consider the restriction of the quotient map $\pi : GL_W \rightarrow PGL_W$ restricted to the canonical maximal tori, $\pi_T : T(GL_W) \rightarrow T(PGL_W)$. A routine computation shows that the restriction π_T^* is injective. On the other hand, PGL_W is reductive since the adjoint representation of PGL_W is faithful and is a direct sum of irreducible representations. By Proposition 4.10, the subgroup of $A_{PGL_W}^*$ of torsion classes is the kernel of the restriction $A_{PGL_W}^* \rightarrow A_{GL_W}^*$. On the other hand, given a class $\alpha \in A_{PGL_W}^*$, the class $\pi^*(\alpha)$, regarded as a universal characteristic class, is defined by $\pi^*(\alpha)(\eta) = \alpha(\bar{\eta})$, where η is a GL_W -torsor and $\bar{\eta}$ is the projective bundle associated to η .

Therefore, it suffices to show the following: If $\alpha \in A_{PGL_W}^*$ such that $\alpha(\bar{\eta}) = 0$ for all GL_W -torsors η , then we have $n_i\alpha(\xi) = 0$ for all i and all PGL_W -torsors ξ . We fix such an α .

Let $\xi : E \rightarrow X$ be a PGL_n -torsor over X which lifts to a PGL_W -torsor via the inclusion $PGL_W \rightarrow PGL_n$. Let $g : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ be the associated Severi-Brauer variety. Then the Azumaya algebra $g^*(A(\xi))$ is isomorphic to $\text{End}(\eta)$ for some n dimensional vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}(E)$. In other words, the pullback PGL_n -torsor $g^*(\xi)$, regarded as a PGL_n -torsor, lifts to a GL_n -torsor via the quotient map. We show that the PGL_n -torsor $g^*(\xi)$ lifts to a GL_W -torsor.

Without loss of generality, suppose that descent data for the lift of $g^*(\xi)$ to both a PGL_W -torsor and a GL_n -torsor are given by the same cover $\{U_i\}_i$. We use the notation $U_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r}$ for the intersection of U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_r} . Let $\{\varphi_{ij} : U_{ij} \rightarrow GL_n\}$ and $\{\psi_{ij} : U_{ij} \rightarrow GL_W, t_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\}$ be two choices of descent data for the lift of $g^*(\xi)$ to the GL_n -torsor and the PGL_W -torsor, respectively. Here t_{ijk} is characterised by

$$(7.1) \quad \psi_{ij}(u)\psi_{jk}(u) = t_{ijk}(u)\psi_{ik}(u), \quad u \in U_{ijk}.$$

Furthermore, let $\{\lambda : U_{ij} \rightarrow GL_n, s_{jkl} : U_{jkl} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\}$ be a morphism between the two set of descent data above. More precisely, we have

$$(7.2) \quad \varphi_{ij}(u)\lambda_{jk}(u) = \lambda_{il}(u), \quad u \in U_{ijk},$$

and

$$(7.3) \quad \lambda_{jk}(u)\psi_{kl}(u) = \lambda_{jl}(u)s_{jkl}(u), \quad u \in U_{jkl}.$$

It follows from (7.2) and (7.3) that s_{jkl} is independent of j , and we will write s_{kl} instead. Therefore we have

$$(7.3') \quad \lambda_{jk}(u)\psi_{kl}(u) = \lambda_{jl}(u)s_{kl}(u), \quad u \in U_{jkl}.$$

By (7.1), (7.2) and (7.3'), we have

$$(7.4) \quad s_{kl}(u)s_{lm}(u) = t_{klm}(u)s_{km}(u), \quad u \in U_{klm}.$$

Define $\psi'_{kl} : U_{kl} \rightarrow GL_W$ by $\psi'_{kl}(u) = s_{kl}^{-1}(u)\psi_{kl}(u)$. Then it follows from (7.1) and (7.4) that the functions $\{\psi'_{kl}\}$ give a choice of descent data of a GL_W -torsor that reduces to the PGL_W -torsor $g^*(\xi)$ via the quotient map $GL_W \rightarrow PGL_W$. By our assumption for α we have

$$(7.5) \quad \alpha(g^*\xi) = 0.$$

On the other hand, the canonical projection $PGL_W \rightarrow PGL_{n_i}$ gives rise to an induced PGL_{n_i} -torsor $\xi : E_i \rightarrow X$, and the associated Severi-Brauer variety:

$$g_i : \mathbb{P}(E_i) \rightarrow X.$$

Furthermore, g_i factors as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}(E_i) \xrightarrow{h_i} \mathbb{P}(E) \xrightarrow{g} X$$

where h_i is given by the inclusion

$$\mathbb{P}^{n_i-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, [a_0, \dots, a_{n_i-1}] \mapsto [0, \dots, a_0, \dots, a_{n_i-1}, \dots, 0]$$

sending the coordinates to the $n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_i$ th entries. (By convention $n_0 = 0$.) This fiber-wise inclusion passes to the total spaces since it is PGL_W -equivariant. Applying (7.5) and Lemma 7.3, we have

$$n_i\alpha(\xi) = \chi(\mathbb{P}^{n_i-1})\alpha(\xi) = (g_i)_\# g_i^*(\alpha(\xi)) = (g_i)_\# h_i^* g^*(\alpha(\xi)) = 0,$$

and we conclude. \square

8. THE PERMUTATION GROUPS AND THEIR DOUBLE QUOTIENTS

This section is a technical prerequisite for the construction of the class $\rho_{p,0} \in A_{PGL_n}^{p+1}$, to be presented in Section 9. Throughout this section, n will be a positive integer and p an odd prime divisor of n .

We take $W = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ such that $n = \sum_i n_i$, and let $n_0 = 0$ as in Section 7. Then the canonical actions of S_{n_i} on the sets $\{n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_i\}$ identifies $S_W = S_{n_1, \dots, n_r} := S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_r}$ as a subgroup of S_n .

Remark 8.1. In the context of this paper, particularly this and the next section, it is sometimes helpful to regard the permutation group S_n as the subgroup of GL_n of permutation matrices acting on column vectors e_i that forms the canonical basis of \mathbb{C} :

$$e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T \text{ (with } i\text{th entry } 1)$$

from the left. (Here “T” means transpose, of course.) More precisely, If $s \in S_n$, then as a permutation matrix, s satisfies

$$(8.1) \quad se_j = e_{s(j)}.$$

Yet in other words, the j th column of s is $e_{s(j)}$. We will freely let elements in S_n acts either on the vectors e_i ’s, or numbers $1 \leq i \leq n$, without further explanation.

For example, the subgroup $S_{p,n-p}$ of S_n consists of matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix},$$

where A and B are permutation matrices of dimensions p and $n - p$, respectively.

The following is a routine computation.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $W = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ be as above and $n_0 = 0$ by convention. For $s \in S_n$, let F_i be the set of vectors of the $(n_{i-1} + 1)$ th to the n_i th columns of s . Then we have*

$$(8.2) \quad sS_W s^{-1} = \{t \in S_n | t(F_i) = F_i, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

We proceed to study double quotients of the form $S_W \backslash S_n / S_{p,n-p}$.

Lemma 8.3. (1) *The left quotient set $S_n / S_{p,n-p}$ is in 1-1 correspondence to linear subspaces of dimension p in \mathbb{C}^n spanned by vectors e_i , or equivalently, subsets of $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ consisting of p elements.*

(2) *Let $W = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ be as above. The orbits of the canonical action of S_W on the left quotient set $S_n / S_{p,n-p}$ are of the form \mathfrak{D}_K where $K = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ is a sequence of non-negative integers summing up to p and satisfies $k_i \leq n_i$. The elements of the orbit \mathfrak{D}_K are subsets of $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$ containing exactly k_i elements of the form e_j for $n_{i-1} < j \leq n_i$. Therefore, we have*

$$S_W \backslash S_n / S_{p,n-p} = \{\mathfrak{D}_K | K = (k_1, \dots, k_r), 0 \leq k_i \leq n_i, \sum_i k_i = p\}.$$

Proof. In view of Remark 8.1, the left quotient $S_n / S_{p,n-p}$ is very similar to the construction of Grassmannians in, for example, Chapter 4 of Switzer [37]. Indeed, one readily verifies that two permutation matrices $A, B \in S_n$ represents the same coset in $S_n / S_{p,n-p}$ if and only if the first p columns of A and the first p columns of B span the same set of vectors in \mathbb{C}^n , and it follows that the left quotient set $S_n / S_{p,n-p}$ is in 1-1 correspondence to sets of vectors of the form e_i having p elements.

For the assertion on the double quotient, simply observe that the canonical left action of S_W on $S_n / S_{p,n-p}$ by permuting the j th rows for $n_{i-1} < j \leq n_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$. More precisely, let L be an $n \times p$ matrix of p column vectors of the form e_i , and let $[L]$ be the set of column vectors of L . Then we regard $[L]$ as an element of $S_n / S_{p,n-p}$. Let $\sigma \in S_W$. Then σ is represented by a $n \times n$ permutation matrix of the form

$$(8.3) \quad \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_r \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\sigma_k \in S_k$ permutes $e_{n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, e_{n_i}$ by left multiplication, for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $n_0 = 0$.

Suppose in $[L]$ there are exactly k_i element of the form e_j for $n_{i-1} + 1 \leq j \leq n_i$. Then the same is true for $[\sigma L]$. Conversely, for $[L], [L']$ both having exactly k_i element of the form e_j for $n_{i-1} + 1 \leq j \leq n_i$, we may choose $\sigma_i \in S_{k_i}$ permuting $e_{n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, e_{n_i}$ in such a way that we have $\sigma L = L'$, where σ is as in (8.3). \square

Let $W = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ be as above. In view of Lemma 8.3, we identify the double quotient $S_W \backslash S_n / S_{p, n-p}$ with the orbits \mathfrak{D}_K . We need the following notation for the next lemma. Suppose F is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and W is as above. Let m_i be the cardinality of the set $F \cap \{n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_i\}$, and let

$$(8.4) \quad W/F := (m_1, n_1 - m_1, m_2, n_2 - m_2, \dots, m_r, n_r - m_r).$$

Lemma 8.4. *Let $s \in S_n$ of which the first p columns form the set $e(F) = \{e_i | i \in F\}$. Then we have*

$$(8.5) \quad sS_{p, n-p}s^{-1} \bigcap S_W = \{t \in S_W | t(e(F)) = e(F)\}.$$

In particular, we have a group isomorphism

$$sS_{p, n-p}s^{-1} \bigcap S_W \cong S_{W/F}.$$

Proof. Equation (8.5) follows immediately from Lemma 8.2. For the second statement, it suffices to observe that $sS_{p, n-p}s^{-1} \bigcap S_W$ is the subgroup of S_n which acts separately on the sets $\{e_{n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, e_{n_i}\} \cap e(F)$ and $\{e_{n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, e_{n_i}\} - e(F)$, such that on each of these subsets the action is transitive. After perhaps re-ordering F , this yields $S_{W/F}$, and we conclude. \square

9. THE TORSION CLASSES $\rho_{p,k}$ IN $A_{PGL_n}^*$

We are finally prepared to construct the promised torsion classes $\rho_{p,k} \in A_{PGL_n}^*$. When $n = p$, the class $\rho_{p,0}$ is simply the ρ_p in Theorem 6.1.

Throughout this section, p will be an odd prime and n a positive integer such that $p|n$.

As in [45], we first take $\Gamma_n = S_n \ltimes T(PGL_n)$ as an avatar of PGL_n and then apply the localization sequence of Chow groups to obtain the desired result for PGL_n . Since we consider Γ_n as a subgroup of PGL_n in the obvious way, elements in it are represented by $n \times n$ matrices such that in each row and column there is exactly one nonzero entry.

Recall the subgroups S_W of S_n defined in Section 8. In particular we consider $S_{(p)}$ where we have

$$(p) := (p, p, \dots, p)$$

the series with n/p copies of p .

In the obvious sense we take the subgroup $\Gamma_W := S_W \ltimes T(PGL_n)$ of Γ_n . Then elements in $\Gamma_{(p)}$ and $\Gamma_{p, n-p}$ are represented respectively by block matrices of the forms

$$(9.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A_{n/p} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(9.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$$

with A (A_i), B square matrices of dimensions p and $n - p$, respectively. We have the following group homomorphisms

$$(9.3) \quad \Gamma_p \rightarrow \Gamma_{p,n-p}(\text{or } \Gamma_{(p)}), \quad A \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} A & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(9.4) \quad \Gamma_{p,n-p} \rightarrow \Gamma_p, \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \mapsto A,$$

and

$$\Gamma_{(p)} \rightarrow \Gamma_p, \quad \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A_{n/p} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto A_1,$$

where A , B are as in (9.2). One readily observes that the composite of the homomorphisms above gives the identity of Γ_p , which allows us to define p -torsion classes $\rho'_p \in A_{\Gamma_p}^{p+1}$ and $\rho''_p \in A_{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}^{p+1}$ as follows:

$$(9.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{PGL_p}^{p+1} &\rightarrow A_{\Gamma_p}^{p+1} \leftarrow A_{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}^{p+1}, \\ \rho_p &\mapsto \rho'_p \leftarrow \rho''_p, \end{aligned}$$

where ρ_p is given in Theorem 6.1, and the second arrow is induced by the homomorphism (9.4).

In particular, let $\rho'_p \in A_{\Gamma_p}^{p+1}$ be the restriction of $\rho_p \in A_{PGL_p}^{p+1}$, then there is a p -torsion class, say ρ''_p , in $A_{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}^{p+1}$ that restricts to $\rho'_p \in A_{\Gamma_p}^{p+1}$. On the other hand, recall that we have, besides the restriction res_H^G , the transfer

$$\text{tr}_G^H : A_H^* \rightarrow A_G^*$$

associated to a monomorphism $H \rightarrow G$ of algebraic groups of finite index.

Moreover, permuting the diagonal blocks of $\Gamma_{(p)}$ yields inner automorphisms of $\Gamma_{(p)}$. Let $s_k \in \Gamma_{(p)}$ be any permutation taking the k th diagonal block to the 1st, by multiplication from the left.

Proposition 9.1. *Let n and p be as above. Let $u \in A_{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}^*$ be the image of some p -torsion class via the restriction from $A_{PGL_{p,n-p}}^*$. Then we have*

$$\text{res}_{\Gamma_{(p)}}^{\Gamma_n} \cdot \text{tr}_{\Gamma_n}^{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{n/p} \gamma_{s_k} \cdot \text{res}_{\Gamma_{(p)}}^{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}(u),$$

where γ_{s_k} is the homomorphism induced by the conjugation action of s_k .

Proof. Throughout this proof we identify the double quotients

$$\Gamma_{(p)} \backslash \Gamma_n / \Gamma_{p,n-p} \cong S_{(p)} \backslash S_n / S_{p,n-p}.$$

Let $u \in A_{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}^*$ be the image of a p -torsion class via the restriction from $A_{PGL_{p,n-p}}^*$. We apply Mackey's formula (Proposition 4.4) to the class u with $G = \Gamma_n$, $H = \Gamma_{p,n-p}$ and $K = \Gamma_{(p)}$ to obtain

$$(9.6) \quad \text{res}_{\Gamma_{(p)}}^{\Gamma_n} \cdot \text{tr}_{\Gamma_n}^{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}(u) = \sum_{K=(k_1, \dots, k_{n/p})} \text{tr}_{\Gamma_{(p)}}^{\Gamma_{p,n-p}^K} \cdot \text{res}_{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}^{s_K \Gamma_{p,n-p} s_K^{-1}} \cdot \gamma_{s_K}(u).$$

In this formula K runs over sequences of nonnegative integers $(k_1, \dots, k_{n/p})$ summing up to p (this uses Lemma 8.3, (2)), and $\Gamma_{p,n-p}^K$ denotes

$$\Gamma_{p,n-p}^K := s_K \Gamma_{p,n-p} s_K^{-1} \bigcap \Gamma_{(p)},$$

where s_K is a representative of the double coset indexed by K .

The choice of s_K is not essential. Nonetheless we make a normalized choice as follows. For the sequence $K = (k_1, \dots, k_{n/p})$, consider the set

$$|K| := \{j | (i-1)\frac{n}{p} < j \leq (i-1)\frac{n}{p} + k_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{p}\}.$$

We assert that for $1 \leq l \leq p$, the l th column of s_K is the l th element of

$$\{e_j | j \in |K|\}$$

with the ascending order in j . By Lemma 8.4, we have

$$(9.7) \quad \Gamma_{p,n-p}^K = \Gamma_{(p)/|K|},$$

where the notation $(p)/|K|$ is defined in (8.4).

We proceed to consider the class

$$(9.8) \quad \text{tr}_{\Gamma_{(p)}}^{\Gamma_{p,n-p}^K} \cdot \text{res}_{\Gamma_{p,n-p}^K}^{s_K \Gamma_{p,n-p} s_K^{-1}} \gamma_{s_K}(u)$$

for each K . Suppose K is not of the form $(0, \dots, p, \dots, 0)$, then the sequence $(p)/|K|$ contains some positive entry less than p . It then follows from Proposition 7.2 that the ring $A_{PGL_{(p)/|K|}}^*$ contains no nontrivial p -torsion class. However, the restriction from $A_{PGL_{p,n-p}}^*$ to $A_{(p)/|K|}^*$ factors through $A_{PGL_{(p)/|K|}}^*$, and by (9.7) the class in (9.8) vanishes. Therefore we have

$$(9.9) \quad \text{res}_{\Gamma_{(p)}}^{\Gamma_n} \cdot \text{tr}_{\Gamma_n}^{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}(u) = \sum_{K=(0,\dots,p,\dots,0)} \text{tr}_{\Gamma_{(p)}}^{\Gamma_{(p)/|K|}} \cdot \text{res}_{\Gamma_{(p)/|K|}}^{s_K \Gamma_{p,n-p} s_K^{-1}} \gamma_{s_K}(u).$$

When $K = (0, \dots, p, \dots, 0)$, one observes that $(p)/|K|$ is (p) with some 0's inserted in it. Therefore we have $\Gamma_{(p)/|K|} = \Gamma_{(p)}$ and (9.9) further reduces to

$$\text{res}_{\Gamma_{(p)}}^{\Gamma_n} \cdot \text{tr}_{\Gamma_n}^{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}(u) = \sum_{K=(0,\dots,p,\dots,0)} \text{res}_{\Gamma_{(p)/|K|}}^{s_K \Gamma_{p,n-p} s_K^{-1}} \gamma_{s_K}(u).$$

For $K = (0, \dots, p, \dots, 0)$ of which the k th entry is p , we may take $s_k = s_K$. Since the inclusion commutes with conjugations, we conclude. \square

Corollary 9.2. *If $p^2 \nmid n$, then there is a p -torsion class $\rho'_{p,0} \in A_{\Gamma_n}^{p+1}$ that restricts to $\rho'_p \in A_{\Gamma_p}^{p+1}$ via the diagonal inclusion.*

Proof. Recall that in (9.5) we define classes $\rho'_p \in A_{\Gamma_p}^{p+1}$ and $\rho''_p \in A_{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}^{p+1}$. By definition ρ'_p is the restriction of some p -torsion class in $A_{PGL_p}^*$. Taking the restriction along (9.3) shows that ρ''_p is the restriction of some p -torsion class in $A_{PGL_{p,n-p}}^*$. It follows from Proposition 9.1 that we have

$$\text{res}_{\Gamma_p}^{\Gamma_{(p)}} \cdot \text{res}_{\Gamma_{(p)}}^{\Gamma_n} \cdot \text{tr}_{\Gamma_n}^{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}(\rho''_p) = \sum_{k=1}^{n/p} \text{res}_{\Gamma_p}^{\Gamma_{(p)}} \cdot \gamma_{s_k} \cdot \text{res}_{\Gamma_{(p)}}^{\Gamma_{p,n-p}}(\rho''_p).$$

Since permutation of the diagonal blocks acts trivially on the image of the diagonal inclusion from Γ_p to $\Gamma_{(p)}$, we may ignore the γ_{s_k} 's and the above reduces to

$$\text{res}_{\Gamma_p}^{\Gamma_n} \cdot \text{tr}_{\Gamma_n}^{\Gamma_p, n-p}(\rho_p'') = \sum_{k=1}^{n/p} \text{res}_{\Gamma_p}^{\Gamma_p, n-p}(u) = \frac{n}{p} \text{res}_{\Gamma_p}^{\Gamma_p, n-p}(\rho_p'') = \frac{n}{p} \rho_p'.$$

Since we have $p^2 \nmid n$ and the class ρ_p'' is p -torsion, we may take

$$\rho_{p,0}' := \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^{-1} \text{tr}_{\Gamma_n}^{\Gamma_p, n-p}(\rho_p'')$$

and it is as desired. \square

In particular, Corollary 9.2 indicates that the composition of inclusions

$$C_p \times \mu_p \hookrightarrow \Gamma_p \xrightarrow{\Delta} \Gamma_n$$

induces the restriction

$$(9.10) \quad A_{\Gamma_n}^* \rightarrow A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*, \quad \rho_{p,0}' \mapsto r = \xi\eta(\xi^{p-1} - \eta^{p-1}).$$

We proceed to construct the promised classes $\rho_{p,k} \in A_{PGL_n}^{p+1}$ for $k \geq 0$. Recall that we have the diagonal map $\Delta : PGL_p \rightarrow PGL_n$ and the cycle class map $\text{cl} : A_G^* \rightarrow H_G^{2*}$ for any algebraic group G over \mathbb{C} .

Lemma 9.3. *When $p^2 \nmid n$, there is a p -torsion class $\rho_{p,0} \in A_{PGL_n}^{p+1}$ satisfying $\Delta^*(\rho_{p,0}) = \rho_p$ and $\text{cl}(\rho_{p,0}) = y_{p,0}$.*

Proof. For $n = p$ there is nothing to show. We therefore assume $n > p$ for the rest of the proof. We will necessarily consider both $y_{p,0} \in H_{PGL_p}^*$ and $y_{p,0} \in H_{PGL_n}^*$. To avoid ambiguity we denote them by $y_{p,0}(p)$ and $y_{p,0}(n)$, respectively.

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$(9.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A_{PGL_n}^* & \longrightarrow & A_{\Gamma_n}^* & \longrightarrow & A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^* \\ \downarrow f^* & & \downarrow g^* & & \downarrow \\ A_{PGL_n}^*(sl_n^*) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A_{\Gamma_n}^*(D_n^*) & \longrightarrow & A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*(D_n^*) \end{array}$$

in which all arrows are the obvious restrictions. The vertical arrows are surjective, due to the localization sequences, and φ is an isomorphism, due to Proposition 6.14. Therefore, we have a class $\tilde{\rho}_{p,0} \in A_{PGL_n}^{p+1}$ satisfying

$$(9.12) \quad \varphi f^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0}) = g^*(\rho_{p,0}').$$

It follows from Corollary 6.20 that the vertical arrow of (9.11) on the right hand side is an isomorphism in degree $p+1$, and a diagram chasing therefore shows that $\tilde{\rho}_{p,0}$ restricts to $r = \xi\eta(\xi^{p-1} - \eta^{p-1})$. On the other hand, in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{PGL_n}^* & \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} & A_{PGL_p}^* \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^* & \end{array}$$

there is a unique torsion class $\rho_p \in A_{PGL_p}^*$ sent to r , a consequence of Theorem 4.6, Proposition 6.7 and Proposition 6.8. It then follows that we have

$$(9.13) \quad \Delta^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0}) = \rho_p.$$

In fact, we would have been able to define the class $\rho_{p,0}$ to be $\tilde{\rho}_{p,0}$, if $\tilde{\rho}_{p,0}$ were known to be a torsion class. Roughly speaking, the torsion class $\rho_{p,0}$ is to be constructed by “annihilating the non-torsion part of $\tilde{\rho}_{p,0}$ ”. In what follows we add the subscripts “ A ” and “ H ” to the conventional notations to indicate whether they are meant for Chow rings or singular cohomology.

Recall the notations $A_G^*[p]$ and $H_G^*[p]$ for localization at p . Let $\lambda : T(PGL_n) \rightarrow PGL_n$ be the inclusion of the chosen maximal torus. Then we have the induced restrictions

$$\lambda_A^* : A_{PGL_n}^*[p] \rightarrow (A_{T(PGL_n)}^*[p])^{S_n}$$

and

$$\lambda_H^* : H_{PGL_n}^*[p] \rightarrow (H_{T(PGL_n)}^*[p])^{S_n}.$$

It follows from Corollary 6.12 that the latter is surjective in degree $2(p+1)$. As for the former, we denote the image of λ_A^* by $A_I^*[p]$, a subring of $(A_{T(PGL_n)}^*[p])^{S_n}$. Consider the diagram

$$(9.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^{p+1} & \xleftarrow{\theta_A^*} & A_{PGL_n}^{p+1}[p] & \xrightarrow{\lambda_A^*} & A_I^{p+1}[p] \\ \cong \downarrow \text{cl}_1 & & \downarrow \text{cl}_0 & & \downarrow \text{cl}_2 \\ H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^{2(p+1)} & \xleftarrow{\theta_H^*} & H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}[p] & \xrightarrow{\lambda_H^*} & (H_{T(PGL_p)}^{2(p+1)}[p])^{S_n} \end{array}$$

$\xleftarrow{\phi_A}$ (dashed arrow from $A_I^{p+1}[p]$ to $A_{PGL_n}^{p+1}[p]$)
 $\xleftarrow{\phi_H}$ (curved arrow from $(H_{T(PGL_p)}^{2(p+1)}[p])^{S_n}$ to $H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}[p]$)

which is commutative apart from the bent arrows. where the vertical arrows are the cycle class maps and the horizontal ones are the restrictions. The arrow λ_A^* is surjective by construction, and λ_H^* is a split epimorphism with a right inverse ϕ_H satisfying $\theta_H^* \phi_H = 0$, as shown in Corollary 6.12. The map cl_2 is injective by Corollary 4.8. The map cl_1 is an isomorphism, by Proposition 6.5 and Proposition 6.9.

Since $A_I^{p+1}[p]$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module, we have a homomorphism ϕ_A of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -modules as indicated by the dashed arrow in (9.14), which is a lift of $\phi_H \text{cl}_2$ along cl_0 . In other words, we have $\text{cl}_0 \phi_A = \phi_H \text{cl}_2$. It follows that λ_A^* is a split epimorphism with right inverse ϕ_A . To show this, notice that we have

$$\text{cl}_2 \lambda_A^* \phi_A = \lambda_H^* \text{cl}_0 \phi_A = \lambda_H^* \phi_H \text{cl}_2 = \text{cl}_2,$$

which yields $\lambda_A^* \phi_A = \text{id}$, since cl_2 is injective.

Define $\rho_{p,0} := \tilde{\rho}_{p,0} - \phi_A \lambda_A^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0})$. Therefore

$$\lambda_A^*(\rho_{p,0}) = \lambda_A^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0} - \phi_A \lambda_A^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0})) = \lambda_A^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0}) - \lambda_A^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0}) = 0.$$

Since

$$\lambda_A^* : A_{PGL_n}^* \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow (A_{T(PGL_n)}^*)^{S_n} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is a ring isomorphism (Corollary 4.11), it follows that $\rho_{p,0}$ is a torsion class. Furthermore, by Proposition 7.1, the class $\rho_{p,0}$ is n -torsion. Since we have $p^2 \nmid n$ and we are considering the p -local case, the class $\rho_{p,0}$ is p -torsion.

Since we have $\theta_H^* \phi_H = 0$ and cl_1 is an isomorphism, we obtain $\theta_A^* \phi_A = 0$. Now it follows from (9.13) that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}_{C_p \times \mu_p}^{PGL_p} \Delta^*(\rho_{p,0}) &= \theta_A^*(\rho_{p,0}) \\ &= \theta_A^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0} - \phi_A \lambda_A^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0})) = \theta_A^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0}) = r = \text{res}_{C_p \times \mu_p}^{PGL_p}(\rho_p). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta^*(\rho_{p,0})$ is a torsion class, and by Proposition 6.3, ρ_p is the only torsion class in $A_{PGL_p}^*$ restricting to r , we have $\Delta^*(\rho_{p,0}) = \rho_p$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\theta_H^* \text{cl}_0(\rho_{p,0}) = \text{cl}_1 \theta_A^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0} - \phi_A \lambda_A^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0})) = \text{cl}_1 \theta_A^*(\tilde{\rho}_{p,0}) = r.$$

Therefore we have $\text{cl}_0(\rho_{p,0}) \in (\theta_H^*)^{-1}(r)$. However, by Corollary 6.12, we have the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}[p] &\cong (H_{T(PGL_n)}^{2(p+1)}[p])^{S_n} \oplus (H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^{2(p+1)})^{SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p)} \\ &= (H_{T(PGL_n)}^{2(p+1)}[p])^{S_n} \oplus (r), \end{aligned}$$

which interprets the restriction $\theta^{2(p+1)} : H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}[p] \rightarrow H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^{2(p+1)}$ as the projection onto the second summand. Therefore there is only one torsion class in $(\theta_H^*)^{-1}(r)$, which is $y_{p,0}(n)$. Hence we have $\text{cl}(\rho_{p,0}) = \text{cl}_0(\rho_{p,0}) = y_{p,0}(n)$. \square

What remains to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the construction of the p -torsion classes $\rho_{p,k}$ for $k > 0$, which is presented in the following

Lemma 9.4. *For $p^2 \nmid n$ and $k \geq 0$, there are p -torsion classes $\rho_{p,k} \in A_{PGL_n}^{p^{k+1}+1}$ satisfying $\text{cl}(\rho_{p,k}) = y_{p,k}$.*

Proof. Let us recall that for the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\times p} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow 0$, there is an associated long exact sequence of motivic cohomology groups as given in (5.2)

$$\dots \xrightarrow{B} H_{PGL_n}^{s,t}[p] \xrightarrow{\times p} H_{PGL_n}^{s,t}[p] \rightarrow H_{PGL_n}^{s,t}(p) \xrightarrow{B} H_{PGL_n}^{s+1,t}[p] \xrightarrow{\times p} \dots$$

Hence, a class $a \in H_{PGL_n}^{s+1,t}[p]$ is a p -torsion class if and only if $a = B(b)$ for some $b \in H_{PGL_n}^{s,t}(p)$.

Therefore, we have $b_{p,0} \in H_{PGL_n}^{2p+1,p+1}(p)$ satisfying $B(b_{p,0}) = \rho_{p,0}$. By Proposition 5.1, we have

$$(9.15) \quad B \cdot \text{cl}(b_{p,0}) = \text{cl} \cdot B(b_{p,0}) = \text{cl}(\rho_{p,0}) = y_{p,0}.$$

Now we consider the group $H_{PGL_n}^{2p+1}[p]$. Theorem 1.2 of [18] asserts that the torsion subgroups of $H_{PGL_n}^k[p]$ are 0 for $3 < k < 2p+2$. On the other hand, the rational cohomology ring $H_{PGL_n}^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ concentrates in even degrees. Therefore we have

$$(9.16) \quad H_{PGL_n}^{2p+1}[p] = 0,$$

from which we deduce that the Bockstein homomorphism

$$(9.17) \quad B : H_{PGL_n}^{2p+1}(p) \hookrightarrow H_{PGL_n}^{2(p+1)}[p]$$

is injective. The class $\text{cl}(b_{p,0})$ is determined, by (9.15) and (9.17), as the unique class in $B^{-1}(y_{p,0})$. This class has been mentioned before. Recall that in Section 2

we define classes $x_{p,k} \in H^{2p^{k+1}+1}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ for $k \geq 0$, satisfying $B(x_{p,k}) = y_{p,k}$. As before, we denote by $x_{p,k}$ the image of itself via the map

$$\chi^* : H^{2p^{k+1}+1}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H_{PGL_n}^{2p^{k+1}+1}(p),$$

omitting the notation χ^* . Therefore, we have

$$(9.18) \quad \text{cl}(b_{p,0}) = x_{p,0} = \mathcal{P}^1(\bar{x}_1).$$

Inductively, we define

$$b_{p,k} = \mathcal{S}^{p^k}(b_{p,k-1}) \in H_{PGL_n}^{2p^{k+1}+1, p^{k+1}+1}$$

and

$$\rho_{p,k} = B(b_{p,k}) \in H_{PGL_n}^{2p^{k+1}+2, p^{k+1}+1} = A_{PGL_n}^{p^{k+1}+1}.$$

We verify $\text{cl}(b_{p,k}) = x_{p,k}$. For $k = 0$, this follows from (9.18). By induction on k , we have

$$\text{cl}(b_{p,k}) = \text{cl} \cdot \mathcal{S}^{p^k}(b_{p,k-1}) = \mathcal{P}^{p^k} \cdot \text{cl}(b_{p,k-1}) = \mathcal{P}^{p^k}(x_{p,k-1}) = x_{p,k}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\text{cl}(\rho_{p,k}) = \text{cl} \cdot B(b_{p,k}) = B \cdot \text{cl}(b_{p,k}) = B(x_{p,k}) = y_{p,k}.$$

□

Remark 9.5. The proof above together with the Adem relation (5.3) show that we have

$$\mathcal{S}^{p^k}(\bar{\rho}_{p,k-1}) = \bar{\rho}_{p,k}.$$

We proceed to give a corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 9.6. *For singular cohomology, the composite of the restrictions*

$$\theta_H^* : H_{PGL_n}^* \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} H_{PGL_p}^* \rightarrow H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*$$

takes $y_{p,k}$ to $\xi\eta(\xi^{p^{k+1}-1} - \eta^{p^{k+1}-1})$, and x_1 (the canonical generator of $H_{PGL_p}^3$) to ζ .

For the Chow ring, similarly, when $p^2 \nmid n$, the composite

$$\theta_A^* : A_{PGL_n}^* \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} A_{PGL_p}^* \rightarrow A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*$$

takes $\rho_{p,k}$ to $\xi\eta(\xi^{p^{k+1}-1} - \eta^{p^{k+1}-1})$.

Finally, when $n = p$, the homomorphism $H_{PGL_p}^ \rightarrow H_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*$ (resp. $A_{PGL_p}^* \rightarrow A_{C_p \times \mu_p}^*$) restricts to a monomorphism on the subalgebra generated by $\{y_{p,k}\}_{k \geq 0}$ (resp. $\{\rho_{p,k}\}_{k \geq 0}$).*

Proof. In the case $n = p$, it follows immediately from Vistoli's work, as given in (6.2) that the class $\rho_{p,0}$ restricts to $\xi\eta(\xi^{p-1} - \eta^{p-1})$. By the construction of $\rho_{p,k}$ via the Steenrod power operations, the classes $\rho_{p,k}$ restrict to $\xi\eta(\xi^{p^{k+1}-1} - \eta^{p^{k+1}-1})$.

Applying the cycle class map, one sees that the classes $y_{p,k}$ restrict to $\xi\eta(\xi^{p^{k+1}-1} - \eta^{p^{k+1}-1})$. Since we have

$$y_{p,0} = B\mathcal{P}^1(\bar{x}_1), \quad \xi\eta(\xi^{p-1} - \eta^{p-1}) = B\mathcal{P}^1(\bar{\zeta}),$$

the class x_1 restricts to ζ .

The general case follows since the diagonal map $\Delta : PGL_p \rightarrow PGL_n$ restricts $y_{p,k} \in H_{PGL_n}^*$ to $y_{p,k} \in H_{PGL_p}^*$ and $x_1 \in H_{PGL_n}^*$ to $x_1 \in H_{PGL_p}^*$, and when $p^2 \nmid n$, the analogous statement can be made for $\rho_{p,k}$.

The last paragraph is immediately deduced from Proposition 6.3. \square

10. CLASSES NOT IN THE CHERN SUBRING OF $A_{PGL_n}^*$

Let G be a complex algebraic group. Recall that in the introduction we mentioned the refined cycle class map

$$\tilde{\text{cl}} : A_G^* \rightarrow MU^*(\mathbf{BG}) \otimes_{MU^*} \mathbb{Z}.$$

In [41] (page 2), Totaro conjectured that for G such that the complex cobordism ring of \mathbf{BG} is concentrated in even degrees after tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, for a fixed odd prime p , the map $\tilde{\text{cl}}$ is an isomorphism after tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

On the other hand, in [28], Kono and Yagita showed that for $p = 3$, the Brown-Peterson cohomology ring $BP^*(\mathbf{BPGL}_3)$ is not generated by Chern classes. This implies that, if Totaro's conjecture holds for $G = PGL_3$ and $p = 3$, then the localized Chow ring $A_{PGL_3}^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ is not generated by Chern classes. This is verified by Vezzosi in [44]. More precisely, he showed that the class ρ_3 is not in the Chern subring. In [39], Targa showed that the same holds for any odd prime p . In [26], Kameko and Yagita showed a stronger result for $H^*(\mathbf{BPU}_p; \mathbb{Z})$, which easily generalizes to $A_{PGL_p}^*$ and $H_{PGL_p}^*$.

As a generalization of these results we have the following

Theorem 10.1 (Theorem 1.3). *Let $n > 1$ be an integer, and p one of its odd prime divisor, such that $p^2 \nmid n$. Then the ring $A_{PGL_n}^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is not generated by Chern classes. More precisely, the class $\rho_{p,0}^i$ is not in the Chern subring for $p-1 \nmid i$.*

Proof. Recall that $\bar{y}_{p,0} \in H^{2(p+1)}(\mathbf{BPU}_p; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ denotes the mod p reduction of $\bar{y}_{p,0}$. As mentioned above, in [26], Kameko and Yagita show that $\bar{y}_{p,0}^i$, for $p-1 \nmid i$, is not in the Chern subring of $H^{2(p+1)}(\mathbf{BPU}_p; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Since $y_{p,0}^i \in H_{PGL_p}^*$ is a p -torsion class itself, it is not in the Chern subring of $H_{PGL_p}^*$. Applying the cycle class map, we see that similarly ρ_p^i is not in the Chern subring of $A_{PGL_p}^*$.

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 9.3 that we have $\Delta^*(\rho_{p,0}^i) = \rho_p^i$, where

$$\Delta : \mathbf{BPGL}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{BPGL}_n$$

is induced by the obvious diagonal map. It then follows that $\rho_{p,0}^i$ is not in the Chern subring. \square

Remark 10.2. In [26], Kameko and Yagita considered the class $Q_0 Q_1 x_2$ where x_2 is a generator of $H^2(\mathbf{BPU}_p; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, and Q_0, Q_1 are among the Milnor basis constructed in [31]. It follows from the argument in Remark 2.8 that we have $\bar{y}_{p,0} = Q_0 Q_1 x_2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adem and R. J. Milgram. *Cohomology of finite groups*, volume 309. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [2] J. Adem. The iteration of the Steenrod squares in algebraic topology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 38 8:720–6, 1952.
- [3] B. Antieau. On the integral Tate conjecture for finite fields and representation theory. *Algebraic Geometry*, 3(2):138–149, 2016.

- [4] B. Antieau and B. Williams. The topological period–index problem over 6-complexes. *Journal of Topology*, 7(3):617–640, 2013.
- [5] B. Antieau and B. Williams. The period-index problem for twisted topological K–theory. *Geometry & Topology*, 18(2):1115–1148, 2014.
- [6] P. Brosnan. Steenrod operations in Chow theory. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 355(5):1869–1903, 2003.
- [7] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène. Exposant et indice d’algèbres simples centrales non ramifiées. *Enseignement Mathématique*, 48(1/2):127–146, 2002.
- [8] C. Cordova, D. Freed, H. T. Lam, and N. Seiberg. Anomalies in the space of coupling constants and their dynamical applications ii. *SciPost Physics Proceedings*, 8(1), 2020.
- [9] D. Crowley and M. Grant. The topological period-index conjecture for Spin^c 6-manifolds. *to appear in the Annals of K-Theory*, [arXiv:1802.01296](#), 2018.
- [10] J. Davighi, B. Gripaos, and N. Lohitsiri. Global anomalies in the standard model (s) and beyond. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.11277*, 2019.
- [11] D. Edidin and W. Graham. Equivariant intersection theory (with an appendix by Angelo Vistoli: The Chow ring of \mathcal{M}_2). *Inventiones mathematicae*, 131(3):595–634, 1998.
- [12] R. Field. The Chow ring of the classifying space $BSO(2n, \mathbb{C})$. *Journal of Algebra*, 350(1):330 – 339, 2012.
- [13] É. N. S. (France) and H. Cartan. *Séminaire Henri Cartan: ann. 7 1954/1955; Algèbres d’Eilenberg-MacLane et homotopie*. Secrétariat Mathématique, 1958.
- [14] W. Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [15] I. García-Etxebarria and M. Montero. Dai-Freed anomalies in particle physics. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2019(8):3, 2019.
- [16] D. H. Gottlieb. Fibre bundles and the Euler characteristic. *J. Differential Geom.*, 10(1):39–48, 1975.
- [17] X. Gu. The topological period–index problem over 8-complexes, II. *to appear in the Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, [arXiv:1803.05100](#).
- [18] X. Gu. On the cohomology of the classifying spaces of projective unitary groups. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, pages 1–39, 2019.
- [19] X. Gu. The topological period–index problem over 8-complexes, I. *Journal of Topology*, 12(4):1368–1395, 2019.
- [20] P. Guillot. Chow rings and cobordism of some Chevalley groups. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 136, pages 625–642. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [21] P. Guillot. Steenrod operations on the Chow ring of a classifying space. *Advances in Mathematics*, 196(2):276–309, 2005.
- [22] P. Guillot. The Chow rings of G_2 and $\text{Spin}(7)$. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2007(604):137–158, 2007.
- [23] W. Y. Hsiang. *Cohomology theory of topological transformation groups*, volume 85. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [24] M. Kameko. Representation theory and the cycle map of a classifying space. *Algebraic Geometry*, 4(2):221–228, 2017.
- [25] M. Kameko and N. Yagita. The Brown-Peterson cohomology of the classifying spaces of the projective unitary groups $PU(p)$ and exceptional Lie groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 360(5):2265–2284, 2008.
- [26] M. Kameko and N. Yagita. Chern subrings. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 138(1):367–373, 2010.
- [27] A. Kono and M. Mimura. On the cohomology of the classifying spaces of $PSU(4n+2)$ and $PO(4n+2)$. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 10(3):691–720, 1975.
- [28] A. Kono and N. Yagita. Brown-Peterson and ordinary cohomology theories of classifying spaces for compact Lie groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 339(2):781–798, 1993.
- [29] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, and C. A. Weibel. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, volume 2. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.
- [30] J. McCleary. *A user’s guide to spectral sequences*. Number 58. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [31] J. Milnor. The Steenrod algebra and its dual. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 150–171, 1958.

- [32] L. A. Molina Rojas and A. Vistoli. On the Chow rings of classifying spaces for classical groups. *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*, 116:271–298, 2006.
- [33] R. Pandharipande. Equivariant Chow rings of $O(k)$, $SO(2k+1)$, and $SO(4)$. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 131:148, 1998.
- [34] L. A. M. Rojas, A. Vistoli, and R. Spigler. The Chow ring of the classifying space of Spin_8 , 2006.
- [35] T. Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2019.
- [36] N. E. Steenrod and D. B. Epstein. *Cohomology operations*. Princeton University Press, 1962.
- [37] R. M. Switzer. *Algebraic topology-homotopy and homology*. Springer, 2017.
- [38] H. Tamanoi. Q -subalgebras, Milnor basis, and cohomology of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 137(2):153–198, 1999.
- [39] E. Targa. Chern classes are not enough. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2007(610):229–233, 2007.
- [40] H. Toda. Cohomology of classifying spaces. In *Homotopy Theory and Related Topics*, pages 75–108, Tokyo, Japan, 1987. Mathematical Society of Japan.
- [41] B. Totaro. The Chow ring of a classifying space. In *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, volume 67, pages 249–284. Providence, RI; American Mathematical Society; 1998, 1999.
- [42] B. Totaro. *Group cohomology and algebraic cycles*, volume 204. Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [43] A. Vavpetič and A. Viruel. On the mod p cohomology of $BPU(p)$. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 4517–4532, 2005.
- [44] G. Vezzosi. On the Chow ring of the classifying stack of $PGL_{3,\mathbb{C}}$. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2000(523):1–54, 2000.
- [45] A. Vistoli. On the cohomology and the Chow ring of the classifying space of PGL_p . *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2007(610):181–227, 2007.
- [46] V. Voevodsky. Voevodsky's seattle lectures: K-theory and motivic cohomology proc. of symposia in pure math.. *Algebraic K-theory(1997: University of Washington, Seattle)*, 67:283–303, 1999.
- [47] V. Voevodsky. Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 98:1–57, 2003.
- [48] N. Yagita. Applications of Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequences for motivic cobordism. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 90(3):783–816, 2005.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY.

E-mail address: gux2006@outlook.com