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#### Abstract

We prove that the essential dimension of central simple algebras of degree $p^{\ell m}$ and exponent $p^{m}$ over fields $F$ containing a base-field $k$ of characteristic $p$ is at least $\ell+1$ when $k$ is perfect. We do this by observing that the $p$-rank of $F$ bounds the symbol length in $\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}(F)$ and that there exist indecomposable $p$-algebras of degree $p^{\ell m}$ and exponent $p^{m}$. We also prove that the symbol length of the Milne-Kato cohomology group $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ is bounded from above by $\binom{r}{n}$ where $r$ is the $p$-rank of the field, and provide upper and lower bounds for the essential dimension of Brauer classes of a given symbol length.


## 1. Introduction

Given a field $k$ and a covariant functor $\mathcal{F}:$ Fields $/ k \rightarrow$ Sets, the essential dimension of an object $x \in \mathcal{F}(F)$, denoted $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$, where $F$ is a field containing $k$, is the minimal transcendence degree of a field $E$ with $k \subseteq E \subseteq F$ for which there exists $x_{0} \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ such that $x=x_{0} \otimes_{E} F$. The essential dimension of the functor, denoted $\operatorname{ed}(\mathcal{F})$, is the supremum on the essential dimension of all the objects $x \in \mathcal{F}(F)$ for all fields $F \supseteq k$. The essential $p$-dimension of an object $x \in \mathcal{F}$, denoted $\mathrm{ed}_{\mathcal{F}}(x ; p)$, is defined to be the $\operatorname{minimal}^{\operatorname{ed}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}}(x \otimes L)$ where $L$ ranges over all prime to $p$ field extensions of $F$. The essential $p$-dimension of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted $\operatorname{ed}(\mathcal{F} ; p)$, is defined to be the supremum on the essential $p$-dimension of all objects $x \in \mathcal{F}(F)$ for all fields $F \supseteq k$. Note that $\mathrm{ed}_{\mathcal{F}}(x ; p) \leqslant \mathrm{ed}_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$ and $\operatorname{ed}(\mathcal{F} ; p) \leqslant \operatorname{ed}(\mathcal{F})$. See Mer13] for a comprehensive discussion on these definitions and associated open problems.

Given a prime number $p$, a field $k$ of $\operatorname{char}(k)=p$ and integers $m, n$ with $n \geqslant m$, let $\mathrm{Alg}_{p^{n}, p^{m}}$ denote the functor mapping every field $F$ containing $k$ to the set of isomorphism classes of central simple algebras of degree $p^{n}$ and exponent dividing $p^{m}$ over $F$. The computation of ed $\left(\mathrm{Alg}_{p^{n}, p^{n}}\right)$ is largely open (see BM09, McK17, Mer13] for example). It is known from [Bae11] that for fields $k$ of $\operatorname{char}(k)=2$ we have $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{8,2}\right) \leqslant 10$, and when $k \supseteq \mathbb{F}_{4}$ we also have $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{4,2}\right)=3$. In the same paper it was also proven that for any $k$, $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{n}, p^{m}} ; p\right) \geqslant 3$ when $n>m$. In [McK17] it was shown that when $k$ is algebraically closed, $\ell+1 \leqslant \operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{\ell}, p} ; p\right)$ for any $\ell$, improving the previous lower bound of 3 when $\ell \geqslant 2$.

The goal of this paper (Theorem 5.4$)$ is to prove that $\ell+1 \leqslant \operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{\ell m}, p^{m}} ; p\right)$ when $k$ is perfect for any $\ell$ and $m$, recovering and extending the result from [McK17]. The techniques in this paper are simpler than [McK17], relying on symbol length bounds and indecomposable division algebras which already exist in the literature, instead of analyzing sums of generic $p$-symbols. We also provide upper and lower bounds for the essential dimension of Brauer classes (and other Kato-Milne cohomology groups) of a given symbol length.
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## 2. Preliminaries

For a field $F$ of $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$ and positive integers $m$ and $n$, the Kato-Milne coholology group $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ is the additive group $W_{m}(F) \otimes \underbrace{F^{\times} \otimes \cdots \otimes F^{\times}}_{n \text { times }}$ modulo the relations

- $\left(\omega^{p}-\omega\right) \otimes b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n}=0$,
- $(0 \ldots 0, a, 0, \ldots, 0) \otimes a \otimes b_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n}=0$, and
- $\omega \otimes b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n}=0$ where $b_{i}=b_{j}$ for some $i \neq j$,
where $W_{m}(F)$ is the ring of truncated Witt vectors of length $m$ over $F$, and for each $\omega=$ $\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{m}\right), \omega^{p}$ stands for $\left(\omega_{1}^{p}, \ldots, \omega_{m}^{p}\right)$. For a comprehensive reference on these groups see [AJO18]. The generators $\omega \otimes b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n}$ are called " $\left(p^{m}-\right)$ symbols". For $n=1$, these groups describe the $p^{m}$-torsion of the Brauer group, i.e., $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{2}(F) \cong \operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}(F)$ with the isomorphism given by $\omega \otimes b \mapsto[\omega, b)_{F}$, where $[\omega, b)_{F}$ stands for the cyclic algebra generated by $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m}$ and $y$ satisfying

$$
\vec{\theta}^{p}-\vec{\theta}=\omega, \quad y^{p^{m}}=b, \quad \text { and } \quad y \vec{\theta} y^{-1}=\vec{\theta}+\overrightarrow{1}
$$

where $\vec{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right)$ is a truncated Witt vector, $\vec{\theta}^{p}=\left(\theta_{1}^{p}, \theta_{2}^{p}, \ldots, \theta_{m}^{p}\right)$, and $\overrightarrow{1}=$ $(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ (see MM91 for reference). The symbol length of a class in $H_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ is the minimal $t$ for which the class can be written as the sum of $t$ symbols. In the special case of symbol $p$-algebras of exponent dividing $p^{m}$, (i.e., $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{2}(F)$ ) the symbol length translates into the minimal $t$ for which the algebra is Brauer equivalent to a tensor product of $t$ cyclic algebras of degree $p^{m}$. We denote the symbol length of such a Brauer class $[A]$ by sl $p_{p^{m}}([A])$.

For any $t<m$, there is a shift map from the group $\mathrm{H}_{p^{\prime}}^{n+1}(F)$ to $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ given by $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}\right) \otimes b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n} \mapsto\left(0, \ldots, 0, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}\right) \otimes b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n}$. Since the map taking each symbol $\pi \in \mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ to $\underbrace{\pi+\cdots+\pi}_{p^{m-1} \text { times }}$ takes each $\left(a_{1}, \ldots\right) \otimes b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n}$ to $\left(0, \ldots, 0, a_{1}^{p^{m}}\right) \otimes$ $b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n}$ (which is equal to $\left(0, \ldots, 0, a_{1}\right) \otimes b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n}$ in this group), it gives rise to another map Exp : $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{p}^{n+1}(F)$.
Theorem 2.1 ( AJO18, Theorem 2.31]; see also [Izh00, Theorem 1] and Izh96, Lemma 6.2]). The following sequence is exact

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{p^{m-1}}^{n+1}(F) \xrightarrow{\text { Shift }} \mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F) \xrightarrow{\text { Exp }} \mathrm{H}_{p}^{n+1}(F) \longrightarrow 0
$$

## 3. Symbol Length and $p$-rank

Proposition 3.1. Let $p$ be a prime integer, $k$ a field of $\operatorname{char}(k)=p$, and $m$ and $\ell$ positive integers with $\ell \geqslant 2$. Exclude the case of $p=\ell=2$ and $m=1$. Then there exists a $p$ algebra $A$ of degree $p^{\ell m}$ and exponent $p^{m}$ over a field $F$ containing $k$ with $\mathrm{sl}_{p^{m}}\left(\left[A_{L}\right]\right) \geqslant \ell+1$ for all prime to $p$ field extensions $L$ of $F$.

Proof. If the symbol length of $A$ is at most $\ell$ then $A$ decomposes (as an algebra) as a tensor product of $\ell$ cyclic algebras of degree $p^{m}$. Hence we need only find a field $F \supset k$ and a $p$-algebra $A$ of degree $p^{\ell m}$ and exponent $p^{m}$ over $F$ which does not decompose as a tensor product of $\ell$ cyclic algebras of degree $p^{m}$ and retains this quality after any prime to $p$ extension. Such an algebra exists by [Kar95] (see the introduction of that paper for explanation for $m>1$ and Sections 2 and 3 for $m=1$; the papers [Kar98] and [McK08] also discuss such examples).

Every field $F$ of $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$ is a vector space over $F^{p}$, and if $\left[F: F^{p}\right]$ is finite then it is $p^{r}$ for some nonnegative integer $r$, called the " $p$-rank of $F$ ", and denoted $\operatorname{rank}_{p}(F)$.

Proposition 3.2 (cf. CM19, Remark 3.1]). Given a prime integer $p$, a field $F$ of $\operatorname{char}(F)=$ $p$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{p}(F)=r<\infty$ and a p-algebra A of exponent $p^{m}$ over $F$, we have $\mathrm{sl}_{p^{m}}([A]) \leqslant r$.
Proof. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}$ be a $p$-basis for $F$, i.e., $F$ is spanned by $\left\{\alpha_{1}^{d_{1}} \ldots \alpha_{r}^{d_{r}}: 0 \leqslant d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r} \leqslant\right.$ $p-1\}$ over $F^{p}$. Since $F$ is isomorphic to $F^{p}$, by induction we get that $F$ is spanned by $\left\{\alpha_{1}^{d_{1}} \ldots \alpha_{r}^{d_{r}}: 0 \leqslant d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r} \leqslant p^{m}-1\right\}$ over $F^{p^{m}}$. Since $p^{m}$-symbols are split by $K=$ $F\left[\sqrt[p^{m}]{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt[p^{m}]{\alpha_{r}}\right]$ (because $K^{p^{m}}=F$ ) and $\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}(F)$ is generated by $p^{m}$-symbols, every class in $\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}(F)$ is split by restriction to $K$ as well. By Alb68, Theorem 28] (for a more modern reference see [GS17, Thm. 9.1.1]), each class in $\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}(F)$ decomposes as a tensor product $C_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes C_{r}$ of $p^{m}$-symbols where each $C_{i}$ contains $F\left[\sqrt[p m]{\alpha_{i}}\right]$, and therefore the symbol length of every class in $\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}(F)$ is at most $r$.

In the remainder of the section, we provide another proof for Proposition 3.2, and generalize it to higher cohomology groups.

Lemma 3.3. Given a p-basis $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}$ for a field $F$ of $\operatorname{char}(F)=p, a \in W_{m}(F)$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in F^{\times}$, there exist $w_{i} \in W_{m}(F)$ so that the following equality holds true in $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ :

$$
a \otimes b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n}=\sum_{\substack{i=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \\ 1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{n} \leqslant r}} w_{i} \otimes \alpha_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{i_{n}} .
$$

Proof. We prove it by induction on $m$. We know it holds for $m=1$ (see [CM19, Remark 3.1]). Suppose it holds for all positive integers smaller than $m$. Take now a class $\pi$ in $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$. The class $\operatorname{Exp}(\pi)$ lives in $\mathrm{H}_{p}^{n+1}(F)$, and so the statement holds true for it, i.e.

$$
\operatorname{Exp}(\pi)=\sum_{\substack{i=\left(i_{1}, \ldots i_{n}\right) \\ 1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{n} \leqslant r}} c_{i} \otimes \alpha_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{i_{n}}
$$

for some $c_{i} \in F$. Then by Theorem 2.1]the class $\pi$ differs from

$$
\sum_{\substack{i=\left(i_{1}, \ldots i_{n}\right) \\ 1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{n} \leqslant r}}\left(c_{i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \otimes \alpha_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{i_{n}}
$$

by a class $\pi_{0}$ from the embedding of $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m-1}}^{n+1}(F)$ into $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$, and so the statement holds true also for $\pi_{0}$. Then $\pi$ is a sum of two classes for which the statements holds true, and by adding the Witt vector coefficients we see that it holds true also for $\pi$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p$ and finite p-rank $r$. Then the symbol length of a class in $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ is at most $\binom{r}{n}$, and in particular the symbol length in $\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}(F)$ is at most $r$.

## 4. Other upper bounds on the Symbol Length

As we saw, the $p$-rank provides a useful bound on the symbol length of classes in $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$. However, in certain cases the $p$-rank can be infinite, and still one can obtain a reasonable finite upper bound on the symbol length.

Proposition 4.1. Given finite symbol lengths $t$ of $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ and $s$ of $\mathrm{H}_{p}^{n+1}(F)$, the symbol length of $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m+1}}^{n+1}(F)$ is at most $t+s$.
Proof. Consider a class $\pi$ in $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m+1}}^{n+1}(F)$. The class $\operatorname{Exp}(\pi)$ lives in $\mathrm{H}_{p}^{n+1}(F)$, and therefore its symbol length is at most $s$, i.e. $\operatorname{Exp}(\pi)=a_{1} \otimes b_{1}+\cdots+a_{s} \otimes b_{s}$ for some $a_{1}, \ldots, b_{s} \in F$. Then by Theorem $2.1 \pi$ differs from $\left(a_{1}, 0 \ldots, 0\right) \otimes b_{1}+\cdots+\left(a_{s}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \otimes b_{s}$ by a class
whose exponent divides $p^{m}$, so it belongs to the embedding of $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ into $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m+1}}^{n+1}(F)$, and therefore its symbol length is at most $t$. Altogether, the symbol length of $\pi$ is at most $t+s$.
Corollary 4.2. Given a finite symbol length $s$ of $\mathrm{H}_{p}^{n+1}(F)$, the symbol length of $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ is at most $m \cdot s$.

Proof. By induction on $m$ : if the symbol length of $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ is bounded from above by $m \cdot s$, then by the previous proposition the symbol length of $\mathrm{H}_{p^{n+1}}^{n+1}(F)$ is bounded from above by $m \cdot s+s=(m+1) \cdot s$.

As proven in [CM19], $\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{u(F)}{2}-2^{i}+1\right)$ is an upper bound for the symbol length of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{n+1}(F)$ when $u(F)<\infty$. Recall that $u(F)$ is the maximal dimension of a nonsingular anisotropic quadratic form over $F$, and it can be finite even when the 2-rank is infinite (see MTW91]). As a result, we obtain an upper bound of $m \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{u(F)}{2}-2^{i}+1\right)$ for the symbol length of $\mathrm{H}_{2^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$. Similarly, over the $\widetilde{C}_{p, r}$-fields $F$ studied in [CM18], for which the symbol length of $\mathrm{H}_{p}^{2}(F)$ was bounded from above by $p^{r-1}-1$, the symbol length of $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{2}(F)$ is therefore bounded from above by $m \cdot\left(p^{r-1}-1\right)$.

## 5. The $\mathrm{Br}_{p^{m}}$ Functor

Let $\mathrm{Br}_{p^{m}}$ denote the functor mapping each field $F$ containing $k$ to the group $\mathrm{Br}_{p^{m}}(F)$ of Brauer classes of central simple algebras of exponent dividing $p^{m}$ over $F$. For any central simple algebra $A$, let $[A]$ denote its Brauer class.
Remark 5.1. For a given central simple algebra $A$ of degree $p^{n}$ and exponent $p^{m}$ over a field $F$ containing $k$, we have $\operatorname{ed}_{\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}}([A]) \leqslant \operatorname{ed}_{\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{n}, p^{m}}}(A)$ and $\operatorname{ed}_{\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}}([A] ; p) \leqslant \operatorname{ed}_{\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{n}, p^{m}}}(A ; p)$. The reason is that for any algebra $A_{0}$ of degree $p^{n}$ and exponent $p^{m}$ over a field $F_{0}$ satisfying $k \subseteq F_{0} \subseteq F$ and $A_{0} \otimes F=A,[A]$ descends to $\left[A_{0}\right]$. It is not necessarily an equality, because $[A]$ may descend to a $p^{m}$-torsion Brauer class $[B]$ where $B$ is of degree than $p^{n}$.

Lemma 5.3 below forms the basis for our lower bound on the essential dimension.
Lemma 5.2 ([Bou90, Chapter V, Section 16.6, Corollary 3]). Suppose F is a finitely generated field extension of transcendence degree $t$ of a field $k$ of positive characteristic $p$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{p}(k)=r$. Then $\operatorname{rank}_{p}(F)=r+t$.
Lemma 5.3. Let $k$ be a field of $\operatorname{char}(k)=p>0$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{p}(k)=r$, and $A$ a $p$-algebra over a field $F$ containing $k$ of exponent $p^{m}, m \geqslant 1$. Then $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathrm{Br}_{p^{m}}}([A])+r \geqslant \operatorname{sl}_{p^{m}}([A])$.
Proof. Suppose the symbol length is $s$ and the essential dimension is $t$ where $r+t<s$. Then $[A]$ descends to $\left[A_{0}\right] \in \operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}(E)$ with $k \subseteq E \subseteq F$ and $E$ finitely generated of finite transcendence degree $t$ over $k$. By Lemma 5.2 the $p$-rank of $E$ is $r+t$. By Proposition 3.2 the symbol length of $A_{0}$ is at most $r+t$, and so is the symbol length of $A$, contradiction.

Theorem 5.4. Let $k$ be a field of $\operatorname{char}(k)=p>0$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{p}(k)=r$, and $m$ and $\ell$ be positive integers with $\ell \geqslant 2$. Then $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{\ell m}, p^{m}} ; p\right) \geqslant \ell+1-r$. In particular, when $k$ is perfect, we have $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{\ell m}, p^{m}} ; p\right) \geqslant \ell+1$.

Proof. The case of $p=n=2$ and $m=1$ is covered by [Bae11, Corollary 2.2], so we exclude this case in the following discussion. Suppose to the contrary that the essential $p$-dimension of this functor is at most $\ell-r$. Let $A$ be a central simple algebra of degree $p^{\ell m}$ and exponent $p^{m}$ over a field $F$ containing $k$. Then there exists a prime to $p$ extension $L / F$ such that the essential dimension of $[A \otimes L]$ is $\leqslant \ell-r$, and therefore by Lemma 5.3 the
symbol length of $[A \otimes L]$ is at most $\ell$. However, by Proposition 3.1 there exists an algebra $A$ in this category whose symbol length is at least $\ell+1$ under restriction to any prime to $p$ field extension $L$ of $F$, contradiction. Therefore $\operatorname{ed}_{\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}}([A] ; p) \geqslant \ell+1-r$, which means $\operatorname{ed}_{\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{t m}, p^{m}}}(A ; p) \geqslant \ell+1-r$, and as a result $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{\ell m}, p^{m}} ; p\right) \geqslant \ell+1-r$.

Note that the special case of Theorem 5.4 for $m=1$ and $k$ algebraically closed was proven in [McK17]. The main two advantages of our approach is that (1) it is much simpler, and (2) it holds true for any field $k$ of finite $p$-rank, not just algebraically closed fields. If the essential dimension of $\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{t}, p^{m}}$ is under discussion, the lower bound of $\ell+1-r$ is obtained from Theorem 5.4 by taking $\ell=\left\lfloor\frac{t}{m}\right\rfloor$ as a result of $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{t}, p^{m}}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{\ell m}, p^{m}}\right)$.

## 6. The $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}$ Functor

Given a field $k$ of $\operatorname{char}(k)=p$, consider the functor $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}$ mapping each field $F$ containing $k$ to the group $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$.

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$ let $x_{i, 1}, \ldots, x_{i, m}, y_{i, 1}, \ldots, y_{i, n}$ be independent indeterminates over $k$ and set $F_{\ell, m, n}=k\left(x_{1,1}, \ldots, y_{\ell, n}\right)$ the rational function field over $k$ in $(m+n) \ell$ indeterminates. Set $A_{\ell, m, n}=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(x_{i, 1}, \ldots, x_{i, m}\right) \otimes y_{i, 1} \otimes \ldots \otimes y_{i, n}$. This class is "the generic sum of $\ell$ symbols in $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}\left(F_{\ell, m, n}\right)$ ". Note that it depends on the choice of $k$. The following theorem gives a lower bound for the essential dimension of this generic sum of symbols:

Theorem 6.1. Given a prime integer $p$, an algebraically closed field $k$ of $\operatorname{char}(k)=p$ and integers $m, n, \ell \geqslant 1$, the generic sum $A_{\ell, m, n}=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(x_{i, 1}, \ldots, x_{i, m}\right) \otimes y_{i, 1} \otimes \ldots \otimes y_{i, n}$ of $\ell$ symbols in $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}\left(F_{\ell, m, n}\right)$ is of $\mathrm{ed}_{\mathrm{H}_{p^{n}}^{n+1}}\left(A_{\ell, m, n} ; p\right) \geqslant \ell+n$.

Proof. By [McK17, Theorem 5.8] the generic sum $A_{\ell, 1, n}$ of $\ell$ symbols in $\mathrm{H}_{p}^{n+1}\left(F_{\ell, 1, n}\right)$ has $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathrm{H}_{p}^{n+1}}\left(A_{\ell, 1, n} ; p\right) \geqslant \ell+n$. Write $B=A_{\ell, 1, n} \otimes F_{\ell, m, n}$. By taking $k^{\prime}$ to be the algebraic closure of $k\left(x_{i, j}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell, 2 \leqslant j \leqslant m\right)$, the class $B^{\prime}=B \otimes k^{\prime}\left(x_{1,1}, \ldots, x_{\ell, 1}, y_{1,1}, \ldots, y_{\ell, n}\right)$ is the generic sum of $\ell$ symbols over $k^{\prime}$. Note that (McK17, Theorem 5.8] only requires $k$ to be algebraically closed, so one can consider the same functors over $k^{\prime}$ as well (because it is also algebraically closed), and over $k^{\prime}$ we have $\mathrm{ed}_{\mathrm{H}_{p}^{n+1}}\left(B^{\prime} ; p\right) \geqslant \ell+n$, and therefore over $k$ we have $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathrm{H}_{p}^{n+1}}(B ; p) \geqslant \ell+n$. Now, the generic sum $A_{\ell, m, n}$ of $\ell$ symbols in $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}\left(F_{\ell, m, n}\right)$ is a pre-image of $A_{\ell, 1, n} \otimes F_{\ell, m, n}$ under Exp. If for some prime to $p$ field extension $L$ of $F_{\ell, m, n}$, $A_{\ell, m, n} \otimes L$ descends to $\pi$ in $H_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(E)$ for a field $E$ of transcendence degree less than $\ell+n$ over $k$, then $B \otimes L$ descends to $\operatorname{Exp}(\pi)$ which is in $\mathrm{H}_{p}^{n+1}(E)$, contradiction.

Remark 6.2. The special case of Theorem6.1for $n=1$ coincides with Theorem 5.4 for $k$ algebraically closed. Note that the general case of Theorem 6.1 with $n \geqslant 1$ requires the use of [McK17] rather than the existence of indecomposable algebras as in Theorem5.4,

In the rest of the section, we present an upper bound for the symbol length of sums of $\ell$ symbols in $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$ (which can also be the generic sum). For this we recall the definition of $\operatorname{ed}(G)$, for $G$ a finite group, to be the essential dimension of the functor $\mathcal{F}:$ Fields $/ k \rightarrow$ Sets sending each field $F$ containing $k$ to the set of Galois field extensions $K / F$ with Galois $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}(K / F)=G$. In the following example of Ledet, we have changed exponent $p^{m}$ to exponent dividing $p^{m}$, a trivial generalization of the original statement.

Lemma 6.3 ( Led04 Example, pg. 162]). Let $m$ and $\ell$ be positive integers, $k$ a field of $\operatorname{char}(k)=p$ with $|k| \geqslant p^{\ell}$ and $G$ a $p$-group of exponent dividing $p^{m}$ minimally generated by $\ell$ elements. Then $\operatorname{ed}(G) \leqslant m$.

Remark 6.4. There is a correspondence between finite subgroups of $W_{m}(F) / \wp\left(W_{m}(F)\right)$ and Galois field extensions $K / F$ with a Galois $p$-group $G$ of exponent dividing $p^{m}$ : Each class in $W_{m}(F) / \wp\left(W_{m}(F)\right)$ of exponent $p^{t}(t \leqslant m)$ is represented by a class $\omega$ coming from the embedding of $W_{t}(F) / \wp\left(W_{t}(F)\right)$ into $W_{m}(F) / \wp\left(W_{m}(F)\right)$. Written as an element $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{t}\right)$ in $W_{t}(F) / \wp\left(W_{t}(F)\right)$, the corresponding field extension is $C / F$ where $C$ is generated over $F$ by $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{t}$ subject to the relations

$$
\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{t}\right)^{p}-\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{t}\right)=\omega
$$

thinking about $\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{t}\right)$ as a Witt vector in $W_{t}(C)$ and $\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{t}\right)^{p}=\left(\theta_{1}^{p}, \ldots, \theta_{t}^{p}\right)$ (see the Preliminaries section, and also [Jac89, Chapter 8] and [MM91]). The extension $K / F$ corresponding to $G$ is thus the field compositum of the field extensions corresponding to the classes $G$ consists of. By Lemma 6.3 we thus conclude that given any finite subgroup $G^{\prime}=\left\langle\vec{x}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{x}_{\ell}\right\rangle$ of $W_{m}(F) / \wp\left(W_{m}(F)\right)$, there exists an intermediate field $k \subseteq E \subseteq$ $F$ of $\operatorname{tr}^{\prime} \operatorname{deg}_{k}(E) \leqslant m$ and a subgroup of $W_{m}(E) / \wp\left(W_{m}(E)\right)$ to which $G^{\prime}$ descends, and in particular, there exist classes $\vec{z}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{z}_{\ell}$ in $W_{m}(E) / \wp\left(W_{m}(E)\right)$ for which $\vec{z}_{i} \otimes F \equiv \vec{x}_{i}$ $\left(\bmod \wp\left(W_{m}(F)\right)\right)$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$.
Proposition 6.5. Let $k$ be a field of $\operatorname{char}(k)=p$ with $|k| \geqslant p^{\ell}, F$ a field containing $k$ and let $\pi$ be the sum of $\ell$ symbols in $\mathrm{H}_{p^{m}}^{n+1}(F)$. Then $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathrm{H}_{p^{n+1}}^{n+1}}(\pi) \leqslant m+\ell n$.
Proof. Let $\pi=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(x_{i, 1}, \ldots, x_{i, m}\right) \otimes y_{i, 1} \otimes \ldots \otimes y_{i, n}$. By Remark 6.4 there exists an intermediate field $k \subseteq E \subseteq F$ and $z_{i, j} \in E$ so that $\vec{x}_{i}=\left(x_{i, 1}, \ldots, x_{i, m}\right) \in W_{m}(F)$ satisfies $\vec{x}_{i} \equiv \vec{z}_{i} \otimes F\left(\bmod \wp\left(W_{m}(F)\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{deg}_{k}(E) \leqslant m$. Therefore, $\pi$ is defined over $E\left(y_{i, j}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n\right)$. This field has transcendence degree at most $m+\ell n$.

Corollary 6.6. Let $k$ be a field of $\operatorname{char}(k)=p$ with $|k| \geqslant p^{\ell}, F$ a field containing $k$ and let $\pi$ be the sum of $\ell$ symbols in $\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}(F)$. Then $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathrm{Br}_{p^{m}}}(\pi) \leqslant m+\ell$.

Proof. This is the case of $n=1$ in Proposition 6.5
Combining what we have obtained so far, we can outline the bounds as follows:
Corollary 6.7. For any positive integer $m$ and a class $[A]$ in $\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}(F)$ where $F \supseteq k$ and $k$ is an infinite perfect field of $\operatorname{char}(k)=p$, we have

$$
\mathrm{sl}_{p^{m}}([A]) \leqslant \operatorname{ed}_{\mathrm{Br}_{p^{m}}}([A]) \leqslant \operatorname{sl}_{p^{m}}([A])+m
$$

And in particular, we get the following elegant upper bounds for the essential dimension when $k$ is an infinite perfect field:

## Corollary 6.8.

- If $A$ is a p-algebra of degree $p^{n}$ and exponent $p^{m}$ over $F$, then $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathrm{Br}_{p^{m}}}([A]) \leqslant$ $p^{n}+m-1$.
- If $p=2$ and $A$ is of degree 8 and exponent 2 over $F$, then $\operatorname{ed}_{\operatorname{Br}_{2}}([A]) \leqslant 5$.

Proof. By [Flo13], the symbol length of $A$ of degree $p^{n}$ and exponent $p$ is at most $p^{n}-1$. By [Row84], the symbol length of $A$ of degree 8 and exponent 2 is at most 4.

Note that 5 is much better than the upper bound of 10 for $\mathrm{ed}_{\mathrm{Alg}_{8,2}}(A)$ obtained in [Bae11], but one should note that $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathrm{Alg}_{8,2}}(A) \geqslant \operatorname{ed}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}([A])$ and it is not necessarily an equality.

Remark 6.9. We believe that when $k$ is algebraically closed, the lower bound in Corollary 6.7 can be improved to $\mathrm{sl}_{p^{m}}([A])+1 \leqslant \mathrm{ed}_{\mathrm{Br}_{p^{m}}}([A])$. If this is indeed true, that would mean that over fields of transcendence degree $d$ over $k$, every algebra $A$ of exponent $p^{m}$ has index $\operatorname{ind}(A) \leqslant p^{\mathrm{sl}([A])} \leqslant p^{\operatorname{ed}([A])-1} \leqslant p^{d-1}$, proving an important well-known conjecture on the period-index problem for this particular case. Unfortunately, we do not have a proof yet.

## Appendix - Standard Case

We conclude with some comments on the case of an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic prime to $p$. Given a field $E$ of transcendence degree $r$ over $k, E$ is a $C_{r}$ field, and so the symbol length of a central simple algebra $A$ in $\operatorname{Br}_{p^{m}}(E)$ of degree $p^{\ell m}$ is at most $m\left(p^{r-1}-1\right)$ by [Mat16, Theorem 8.2]. Therefore, if we start with a central simple algebra $A$ of degree $p^{\ell m}$ and exponent $p^{m}$ over a field $F$ containing $k$ whose essential dimension is $r$, then $\operatorname{sl}_{p^{m}}([A]) \leqslant m\left(p^{r-1}-1\right)$ (a formula which was already implicitly obtained in Mat16, Section 5]). By solving for $r$, we obtain the formula

$$
\operatorname{ed}_{\operatorname{Alg}_{p} \epsilon_{n, p^{m}}}(A) \geqslant \operatorname{ed}_{\mathrm{Br}_{p^{m}}}([A]) \geqslant 1+\log _{p}\left(\frac{\operatorname{sl}_{p^{m}}([A])}{m}+1\right)
$$

Excluding the case of $p=m=\ell=2$, the existence of indecomposable algebras $A$ of degree $p^{\ell m}$ and exponent $p^{m}$ provides algebras $A$ of symbol length at least $\ell+1$, which gives the bound

$$
\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{p^{t m}, p^{m}}\right) \geqslant 1+\log _{p}\left(\frac{\ell+1}{m}+1\right)
$$

This lower bound is by no means as good as the known bounds in the literature (see [BM12]), but it is possible that this technique could lead to a better bound if we found a way of constructing indecomposable algebras of sufficiently large prescribed symbol length, or if the upper bound for the symbol length for $C_{r}$-fields from [Mat16, Theorem 8.2] could be improved (for example to the conjectured bound of $r$ ).
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