

ON THE PROBLEM OF NON BERWALDIAN LANDSBERG SPACES

S. G. ELGENDI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the long existence problem of non Berwaldian Landsberg spaces using the conformal transformation point of view. Under conformal transformation, the Berwald and Landsberg tensors are calculated in terms of the T-tensor. By giving examples, we show that under conformal transformation, there are Landsberg spaces with non-vanishing T-tensor. A necessary condition for a Landsberg space to be Berwaldian is given. Various special cases are studied. Cases in which the Landsberg spaces can not be Berwaldian are shown. Examples of non-Berwaldian landsberg (singular) spaces are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Finsler space is a smooth manifold M such that each tangent space $T_x M$ is equipped with a Minkowski norm. In the case that each of the Minkowski norms is a scalar product on the corresponding tangent space $T_x M$, then M is a Riemannian manifold. If the slit tangent spaces $T_x M \setminus \{0\}$ are endowed with homogeneous Riemannian metric which are all isometric, M is a Landsberg space; if such isometries are linear, then M is a Berwald space. The regular Landsberg spaces are the most elusive. Around 1907 G. Landsberg [7, 6, 8] introduced the Landsberg spaces in a non-Finsler frame work. Every Berwald space is a Landsberg space. Whether there are Landsberg spaces which are not of Berwald type is a long-standing question in Finsler geometry, which is still open. Despite the intense effort by many Finsler geometers, it is not known an example of a regular non Berwaldian Landsberg space.

In [2], Asanov obtained examples of non-Berwaldian Landsberg spaces, of dimension at least 3. In Asanov's examples the Finsler functions are not defined for all values of the fibre coordinates y^i (y -local). Whether or not there are y -global non-Berwaldian Landsberg spaces remains an open question. Shen [15] studied the class of (α, β) metrics of Landsberg type, of which Asanov's examples are particular cases; he found [3, 15] that there are y -local non-Berwaldian Landsberg spaces with (α, β) metrics, there are no y -global ones. Bao [4] tried to construct non-Berwaldian Landsberg spaces by successive approximation; but this method so far could not solve the problem. The elusiveness of y -global non-Berwaldian Landsberg spaces leads Bao to describe them as the unicorns of Finsler geometry.

In this paper, we are studying the long-standing question whether or not there are Landsberg spaces which are not of Berwald type from the conformal transformation point of view.

For a Finsler manifold (M, F) , the conformal transformation of F is defined by

$$\overline{F} = e^{\sigma(x)} F,$$

where $\sigma(x)$ is a function on the manifold M . Under conformal transformation, we calculate the Berwald and Landsberg tensors in terms of T-tensor.

Hashiguchi [5], showed that a Landsberg space remains Landsberg by any conformal change if and only if the T-tensor vanishes identically. However, we show that there are Landsberg spaces (with non vanishing T-tensor) remain Landsberg under conformal transformation. Matsumoto [12] obtained that a Berwald space (M, F) remains Berwald by any conformal transformation if and only if $B_{jkh}^{ir} := \frac{\partial^3 (F^2 g^{ir})}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^h} = 0$ ($F^2 g^{ir}$ are quadratic in y^i). However, we show that there are Berwald spaces (with non vanishing B_{jkh}^{ir}) remain Berwald under conformal transformation.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53B40, 58B20.

Key words and phrases. T-tensor, Landsberg space, Berwald space, conformal transformation, C-reducible space, S_3 -like space, C_2 -like space.

Starting by Berwald space (M, F) , if the transformed space (M, \overline{F}) is Landsberg, then a necessary condition for (M, \overline{F}) to be Berwald is given. This condition depends on geometric objects of the original space (M, F) ; like, the T-tensor T_{hijk} , the vertical curvature $S_i^h{}_{jk}$ of Cartan connection, the Cartan tensor C_{ijk} and the function $\sigma(x)$. Some special cases are considered; for example, when (M, F) is S_3 -like or has vanishing T-tensor and vanishing vertical curvature of Cartan connection.

The condition for a Berwald space to transform to Landsberg space is $\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0$. We study some special Finsler spaces if they can admit a function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0$. For example, a positive definite C-reducible Finsler space does not admit a function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0$. Hence, a regular Randers space does not admit a function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0$.

We investigate a case in which a Landsberg space can not be Berwaldian. Namely, under the conformal transformation, a C_2 -like Berwald space with vanishing T-tensor transforms to non Berwaldian Landsberg space. Finally, various examples are studied. Examples of non Berwaldian Landsberg (singular) spaces are shown.

2. CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATION

Let M be an n -dimensional smooth manifold. Let (x^i) be the coordinates of any point of the base manifold M and (y^i) a supporting element at the same point. We mean by $T_x M$ the tangent space at $x \in M$ and by $TM = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x M$ the tangent bundle of M . A Finsler structure on M is defined as follows:

Definition 2.1. A Finsler structure on a manifold M is a function

$$F : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

with the following properties:

- (a): $F \geq 0$ and $F(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $y = 0$.
- (b): F is C^∞ on the slit tangent bundle $\mathcal{T}M := TM \setminus \{0\}$.
- (c): $F(x, y)$ is positively homogenous of degree one in y : $F(x, \lambda y) = \lambda F(x, y)$ for all $y \in TM$ and $\lambda > 0$.
- (d): The matrix $g_{ij}(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j F^2$ is positive-definite at each point of $\mathcal{T}M$, where $\dot{\partial}_i$ is the partial differentiation with respect to y^i .

The pair (M, F) is called a Finsler space and the tensor $g = g_{ij}(x, y) dx^i \otimes dx^j$ is called the Finsler metric tensor of the Finsler space (M, F) .

In this paper we consider the conformal transformation of a Finsler structure F , namely,

$$(2.1) \quad \overline{F} = e^{\sigma(x)} F,$$

where $\sigma(x)$ is smooth function on M .

It should be noted that all geometric objects associated with the transformed space (M, \overline{F}) will be elaborated by barred symbols. For example, the metric tensor of (M, \overline{F}) is denoted by \overline{g}_{ij} . And the geometric objects associated with the space (M, F) will be elaborated by nothing.

Lemma 2.2. Under the conformal transformation (2.1), we have the following

- (a): $\overline{\ell}_i = e^\sigma \ell_i$,
- (b): $\overline{g}_{ij} = e^{2\sigma} g_{ij}$,
- (c): $\overline{g}^{ij} = e^{-2\sigma} g^{ij}$,

where $\ell_i := \dot{\partial}_i F$ is the normalized supporting element and g^{ij} is the inverse metric tensor.

The Cartan tensor C_{ijk} is defined by $C_{ijk} := \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_k g_{ij}$ and $C_{ij}^h := C_{ijk} g^{kh}$. The following lemma shows the partial differentiations of C_{ij}^h and other tensors obtained from the Cartan tensor with respect to y^h .

Lemma 2.3. The following identities are useful for subsequent use:

- (a): $\dot{\partial}_h C_j^{ir} = C_{jh}^{ir} - 2C_{sj}^r C_h^{is} - 2C_{sj}^i C_h^{rs}$,
- (b): $\dot{\partial}_h C_{sj}^r = C_{sjh}^r - 2C_{\ell sj}^r C_h^{\ell}$,

$$(c): \dot{\partial}_h C_{jk}^{ir} = C_{jkh}^{ir} - 2C_{sjk}^r C_h^{is} - 2C_{sjk}^i C_h^{rs},$$

$$(d): \dot{\partial}_h C_{ijk}^r = C_{ijkh}^r - 2C_h^{rs} C_{ijkh},$$

where, $C_{ijkh} = \dot{\partial}_h C_{ijk}$, $C_{lijkh} = \dot{\partial}_h C_{lijk}$, $C_{ijk}^r = C_{lijk} g^{\ell r}$, and so on.

For a Finsler metric $F = F(x, y)$ on a manifold M , the spray $S = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$ is a vector field on TM , where the functions $G^i = G^i(x, y)$ are homogeneous of degree 2 in y and called geodesic coefficients. G^i are defined by

$$G^i = \frac{1}{4} g^{ih} (y^r \partial_r \dot{\partial}_h F^2 - \partial_h F^2),$$

where ∂_i is the partial differentiation with respect to x^i . The non linear connection G_j^i and the coefficients of Berwald connection G_{jk}^i are defined, respectively, by

$$G_j^i = \dot{\partial}_j G^i, \quad G_{jk}^i = \dot{\partial}_k G_j^i.$$

By [5], one can obtain the following lemma which shows the transformations of G^i , G_j^i and G_{jk}^i .

Lemma 2.4. *Under the conformal transformation (2.1), we have the following*

$$(a): \bar{G}^i = G^i + B^i,$$

$$(b): \bar{G}_j^i = G_j^i + B_j^i,$$

$$(c): \bar{G}_{jk}^i = G_{jk}^i + B_{jk}^i,$$

where,

$$B^i = \sigma_0 y^i - \frac{1}{2} L^2 \sigma^i, \quad \sigma_0 := \sigma_i y^i,$$

$$B_j^i = \sigma_j y^i + \sigma_0 \delta_j^i - F \sigma^i \ell_j + F^2 \sigma_r C_j^{ir},$$

$$B_{jk}^i = \sigma_j \delta_k^i + \sigma_k \delta_j^i - \sigma^i g_{jk} + 2F \sigma_r C_k^{ir} \ell_j + 2F \sigma_r C_j^{ir} \ell_k + F^2 \sigma_r (C_{jk}^{ir} - 2C_{sj}^r C_k^{is} - 2C_{sj}^i C_k^{rs}).$$

The T-tensor plays an important role in Finsler geometry. For example it was proved by Szabo Zoltan [16] that a positive definite Finsler metric with vanishing T-tensor is Riemannian. Also, Hashiguchi [5], showed that a Landsberg space remains Landsberg by any conformal change if and only if the T-tensor vanishes identically. In this paper we show that the (strong) relation between the long-existence problem of non Berwaldian Landsberg spaces and the T-tensor. The T-tensor is defined by [10]

$$(2.2) \quad T_{rijk} = F C_{rijk} - F (C_{sij} C_{rk}^s + C_{sjr} C_{ik}^s + C_{sir} C_{jk}^s) + C_{rij} \ell_k + C_{rik} \ell_j + C_{rjk} \ell_i + C_{ijk} \ell_r.$$

The T-tensor is totally symmetric in all of its indices. Let us write

$$(2.3) \quad T_{ij} := T_{ijhk} g^{hk} = F C_i|_j + \ell_i C_j + \ell_j C_i, \quad T := T_{ij} g^{ij}.$$

Lemma 2.5. *The tensor C_{jkh}^{ir} can be rewritten in following form*

$$\begin{aligned} C_{jkh}^{ir} = & \frac{1}{F} \dot{\partial}_h T_{jk}^{ri} + \frac{2}{F} (T_{sjk}^r C_h^{is} + T_{sjk}^i C_h^{sr}) - \frac{1}{F} (C_j^{ri} \ell_{kh} + C_k^{ri} \ell_{jh} + C_{jk}^r \ell_h^i + C_{jk}^i \ell_h^r) \\ & + \frac{1}{F} (C_{sj}^i C_{\ell k}^r + C_{sj}^r C_{\ell k}^i + C_s^{ri} C_{\ell jk}) \ell_h - 2(C_{sj}^r C_{\ell k}^s C_h^{\ell} + C_{sj}^r C_{\ell k}^i C_h^{s\ell} + C_s^{ri} C_{\ell jk} C_h^{s\ell}) \\ & + C_{sjh}^i C_k^{sr} + C_{sj}^i C_{kh}^{sr} + C_{sjh}^r C_k^{si} + C_{sj}^r C_{kh}^{si} + C_{sh}^{ir} C_{jk}^s + C_s^{ri} C_{jkh} \\ & - \frac{1}{F} (C_{jh}^{ir} \ell_k + C_{kh}^{ir} \ell_j + C_{jk}^{ir} \ell_h + C_{jkh}^r \ell^i + C_{jkh}^i \ell^r). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Differentiating (2.2) with respect to y^h and then raising the indices i and r , then using Lemma 2.3 we get the required formula for C_{jkh}^{ir} . \square

For a Berwald manifold (M, F) , all tangent spaces $T_x M$ with the induced Minkowski norm F_x are linearly isometric. There are lots of characterizations of Berwald spaces. To give one of these characterizations, the Berwald tensor G_{jkh}^i is defined by

$$(2.4) \quad G_{jkh}^i = \dot{\partial}_h G_{jk}^i.$$

Definition 2.6. A Finsler manifold (M, F) is said to be Berwaldian if the Berwald tensor G_{ijk}^h vanishes identically.

It is known that on a Landsberg manifold M , all tangent spaces $T_x M$ with the induced Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}_x = g_{ij}(x, y) dy^i \otimes dy^j$ are isometric. To show one of the characterizations of Landsberg space, the Landsberg tensor L_{jkh} is defined by

$$(2.5) \quad L_{jkh} = -\frac{1}{2} F \ell_i G_{jkh}^i.$$

Definition 2.7. A Finsler manifold (M, F) is called Landsbergian if the Landsberg tensor L_{ijk} vanishes identically.

Straightforward but long calculations and using Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.8. Under the conformal transformation (2.1), the Berwald tensor (2.4) transforms as follows

$$\bar{G}_{jkh}^i = G_{jkh}^i + B_{jkh}^i,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_{jkh}^i = & F^2 \sigma_r C_{jkh}^{ir} + 2\sigma_r (C_j^{ir} g_{kh} + C_h^{ir} g_{jk} + C_k^{ir} g_{jh}) + 2F \sigma_r (C_{jk}^{ir} \ell_h + C_{hj}^{ir} \ell_k + C_{kh}^{ir} \ell_j) \\ & - 4F \sigma_r ((C_{sj}^r C_k^{si} + C_{sj}^i C_k^{sr}) \ell_h + (C_{sj}^r C_h^{si} + C_{sj}^i C_h^{sr}) \ell_k + (C_{sk}^r C_h^{si} + C_{sk}^i C_h^{sr}) \ell_j) \\ & - 2F^2 \sigma_r ((C_{sjk}^r C_h^{si} + C_{sjk}^i C_h^{sr}) + (C_{sjh}^r C_k^{si} + C_{sjh}^i C_k^{sr}) + (C_{skh}^r C_j^{si} + C_{skh}^i C_j^{sr})) \\ & + 4F^2 \sigma_r ((C_{stj}^r C_k^{ti} + C_{stk}^r C_j^{ti}) C_h^{sr} + (C_{stj}^r C_h^{it} + C_{sth}^r C_j^{it}) C_k^{sr} + (C_{stk}^r C_h^{it} + C_{sth}^r C_k^{it}) C_j^{sr}) \end{aligned}$$

In terms of T -tensor, the Berwald tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B_{jkh}^i = & F \sigma_r \dot{\partial}_h T_{jk}^{ri} - \sigma_r (T_{jh}^{ri} \ell_k + T_{kh}^{ri} \ell_j - T_{jk}^{ri} \ell_h - T_{jkh}^r \ell^i - T_{jkh}^i \ell^r) \\ & - F \sigma_r (T_{sjh}^i C_k^{sr} + T_{skh}^r C_j^{si} + T_{sjh}^r C_k^{si} + T_{skh}^i C_j^{sr} - T_{sh}^{ri} C_{jk}^{sr} - T_{jkh}^s C_s^{ri}) \\ (2.6) \quad & + \sigma_r (C_j^{ri} h_{kh} + C_k^{ri} h_{jh} + 2C_h^{ir} h_{jk} - C_{jk}^r h_h^i - C_{jk}^i h_h^r - 2C_{jkh}^r h^{ir}) \\ & + F^2 \sigma_r [C_{hj}^t S_{tk}^{ir} + C_{hk}^t S_{tj}^{ri} - C_h^{ti} S_{tjk}^r - C_h^{tr} S_{tkj}^i - C_j^{ti} S_{thk}^r - C_k^{tr} S_{thj}^i], \end{aligned}$$

where $S_{ijk}^h = C_{ik}^r C_{rj}^h - C_{ij}^r C_{rk}^h$ is the v -curvature of Cartan connection.

Corollary 2.9. Under the conformal transformation (2.1), the Landsberg tensor (2.5) is given by

$$\bar{L}_{jkh} = e^\sigma L_{jkh} + e^{2\sigma} F \sigma_r T_{jkh}^r.$$

3. NECESSARY CONDITION

Making use of Corollary 2.9, one can see easily that under the conformal transformation (2.1), Landsberg space remains Landsberg if and only if

$$\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0.$$

Hashiguchi [5], showed that a Landsberg space remains Landsberg by any conformal change if and only if the T -tensor vanishes identically. However, there are Landsberg spaces (with non vanishing T -tensor) remain Landsberg under conformal transformation. This can be shown by the following example:

Example 1. $M = \mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\bar{F} = \sigma(x^2)F$ and F defined by

$$F(x, y) = \left((y^1 y^3 + y^3 \sqrt{(y^1)^2 + (y^3)^2}) (y^2)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

In this example, for the space (M, F) , we have $\sigma_r T_{ijk}^r = \sigma_2 T_{ijk}^2 = 0$ but, generally, $T_{ijk}^h \neq 0$ for example, $T_{111}^1 \neq 0$.

Actually, when a Landsberg space remains Landsberg, under any conformal transformation, then according to Hashiguchi's result the T -tensor vanishes. But using the result of Szabo Zoltan [16], the space will be Riemannian. So Hashiguchi's result in this form gives no hope to find regular Landsberg space under the conformal transformation point of view. But what will be more beneficial is to consider the case when some conformal transformations of Landsberg space preserve the Landsberg property. It is worthy to pay attention to the conformal transformation of Landsberg space which can produce a regular Landsberg space which is not Berwald. Now, the long existing

problem of regular Landsbergian non Berwaldian spaces is (strongly) related to the question:

Is there any regular Finsler space admitting a function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma_r T_{ijk}^r = 0$?

It is easy to observe that a Berwald space remains to be Berwald if and only if the functions $F^2 g^{ir}$ are quadratic in y^i ; that is, the tensor

$$B_{jkh}^{ir} := \dot{\partial}_j \dot{\partial}_k \dot{\partial}_h (F^2 g^{ir})$$

vanishes identically. It should be noted that Asanov and Kirnasov [1] have calculated the tensor B_{jkh}^{ir} which is related to the tensor B_{jkh}^i by

$$B_{jkh}^i = -\frac{1}{2} B_{jkh}^{ir} \sigma_r.$$

Also, Matsumoto [12] (Page 786, Corollary 4.1.2.1) obtained that a Berwald space (M, F) remains Berwald by any conformal change if and only if $B_{jkh}^{ir} = 0$ ($F^2 g^{ir}$ are quadratic in y^i). However, there are Berwald spaces (with non vanishing B_{jkh}^{ir}) remain Berwald under conformal transformation. This can be shown by the following example:

Example 2. $M = \mathbb{R}^4$. Let $\bar{F} = \sigma(x^1, x^3)F$ and F defined by

$$F(x, y) = \left(\sqrt{y^1 y^2} y^3 y^4 (y^2 + y^4) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

In this example, for the space (M, F) , we have $\sigma_r T_{ijk}^r = \sigma_1 T_{ijk}^1 = \sigma_3 T_{ijk}^3 = 0$ but, generally, $T_{ijk}^h \neq 0$ for example,

$$T_{444}^4 = \frac{3y^1 (y^2)^3 y^3 y^4 (3y^2 + y^4)}{F^3 \sqrt{y^1 y^2} (3(y^2)^2 + 2y^2 y^4 + 2(y^4)^2)^2}.$$

Also, we have $B_{jkh}^i = 0$, $\sigma_r B_{jkh}^{ir} = \sigma_1 B_{jkh}^{i1} = \sigma_3 B_{jkh}^{i3} = 0$, but generally $B_{jkh}^{ir} \neq 0$, for example

$$B_{444}^{44} = \frac{768y^2 (y^4)^3 ((y^2)^3 + (y^2)^2 y^4 - 3y^2 (y^4)^2 - 2(y^4)^3)}{(3(y^2)^2 + 2y^2 y^4 + 2(y^4)^2)^4}$$

Remark 3.1. Throughout, most of the calculations of the examples are done by using Maple program and the Finsler package [18]. For the simplicity reasons, most of the examples in this paper are not necessarily regular Finsler spaces but at least non Riemannian.

Starting by a Berwald space (M, F) admitting a non constant function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma_r T_{ijk}^r = 0$. Under the conformal transformation $\bar{F} = e^{\sigma(x)} F$, the space (M, \bar{F}) is Landsberg. But in order to be Berwaldian it has to satisfy some necessary conditions. In what follow, we will try to figure out what kind of conditions the space should satisfy.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (M, F) be a Berwald space admitting a non constant function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma_r T_{ijk}^r = 0$. Under the conformal transformation (2.1), a necessary condition for the Landsberg space (M, \bar{F}) to be Berwaldian is*

$$(3.1) \quad ((n-2)C^r + F^2 C^u S_u{}^r - F T_{uv} C^{uvr} - T \ell^r) \sigma_r = 0,$$

where $S_{ik} := S_{ijkl} g^{j\ell} = S_{jilk} g^{j\ell}$ is the Ricci tensor of the vertical curvature.

Proof. Let (M, F) be a Berwald space, then the Berwald tensor G_{jkh}^i vanishes and so the Landsberg tensor L_{jkh} is. Now, contracting (2.6) by g^{kh} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & -\sigma_r T_j^i \ell^r - F \sigma_r (T_{sjh}^i C^{srh} + T_s^i C_j^{sr} - T_j^s C_s^{ri}) + \sigma_r (n-2) C_j^{ir} \\ & + F^2 \sigma_r [C_j^{tu} S_t{}^i{}_u + C^t S_t{}^ri{}_j - C^{tiu} S_{tju}{}^r - C^{tur} S_{tuj}{}^i - C_j^{ti} S_t{}^r - C^{tur} S_{tuj}{}^i] \end{aligned}$$

Contracting the above equation by g^{ij} , using the facts that $C^{hij} S_{ijkl} = 0$ and $S_{tui}{}^i = 0$ (this because S_{ijkl} is antisymmetric in the first two indices and the last two indices), we have

$$0 = -\sigma_r T \ell^r - F \sigma_r T_{sh} C^{srh} + \sigma_r (n-2) C^r + F^2 \sigma_r C^t S_t{}^r.$$

This ends the proof. \square

Definition 3.3. A Finsler space (M, F) is said to be S_3 -like if the vertical curvature of Cartan connection can be written in the form

$$(3.2) \quad S_{ijkh} = \rho(h_{ik}h_{jh} - h_{ih}h_{jk}),$$

where $\rho := \frac{S}{(n-1)(n-2)}$, $S = S_{ijkh}g^{jh}g^{ik}$ is the vertical scalar curvature.

Using Equation (3.2) and Theorem 3.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. Let (M, F) be an S_3 -like Berwald space admitting a non constant function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma_r T_{ijk}^r = 0$. Under the conformal transformation (2.1), a necessary condition for the Landsberg space (M, \bar{F}) to be Berwaldian is

$$(3.3) \quad ((n-2)(1+F^2\rho)C^r - FT_{sh}C^{srh} - T\ell^r)\sigma_r = 0.$$

A direct consequence of Theorem 3.2, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.5. Let (M, F) be a Berwald space with vanishing T-tensor and vanishing v-curvature. If the Landsberg space (M, \bar{F}) is Berwald, then either $n = 2$ or $\sigma_r C^r = 0$.

4. THE CONDITION $\sigma_r T_{ijk}^r = 0$

By the above section, we showed that a Berwald space transforms to Landsberg if the T-tensor vanishes or $\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0$. In this section, we focus our attention to the condition $\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0$. It should be noted that the advantages of the condition $\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0$ can be seen in the following manner; it can be satisfied in regular Finsler spaces and it is, clearly, a weaker condition than the vanishing of the T-tensor.

Theorem 4.1. A positive definite C-reducible Finsler space does not admit a function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0$.

Proof. Let (M, F) is C-reducible, then T-tensor is given by

$$T_{hijk} = \frac{T}{(n^2-1)}(h_{hi}h_{jk} + h_{ij}h_{hk} + h_{jh}h_{ik}).$$

Contracting the above equation by σ^h , we have

$$\frac{T}{(n^2-1)}\sigma^h(h_{hi}h_{jk} + h_{ij}h_{hk} + h_{jh}h_{ik}) = 0.$$

Again, by contraction by g^{jk} , we get

$$\sigma^h \frac{T}{(n^2-1)}((n-1)h_{hi} + 2h_{ih}) = 0.$$

Since the metric is positive definite, then $T \neq 0$ and hence

$$\sigma^h h_{hi} = 0,$$

which gives $\sigma_i - \frac{\sigma_0}{F}\ell_i = 0$. By differentiation with respect to y^j , we get $\frac{\sigma_0}{F^2}\ell_{ij} = 0$ which is a contradiction. In other words, σ_i can not be proportional to the supporting element y^i . \square

Since the metrics of Randers type are C-reducible, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. A regular Randers space does not admit a function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0$.

Proposition 4.3. Let (M, F) be a non Riemannian space admitting a function $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0$. If $\sigma_r C_{jk}^r = 0$, then σ is constant.

Proof. Let (M, L) be a non Riemannian space admitting $\sigma(x)$ such that $\sigma_r T_{jkh}^r = 0$ and $\sigma_r C_{jk}^r = 0$. Since σ satisfies $\sigma_r C_{jk}^r = 0$, then σ^r is a function of x only; $\dot{\partial}_j \sigma^r = -2\sigma_h C_j^{hr} = 0$. Now, contracting (2.2) by σ^r then we have

$$F^2 \sigma^r C_{rijk} + \sigma_0 C_{ijk} = 0,$$

which can be written in the form

$$F^2 \sigma^r \dot{\partial}_k C_{rijk} + \sigma_0 C_{ijk} = 0.$$

By making use of the fact that $\sigma^r(x)$ is a function of the position only, then we get $\sigma_0 C_{ijk} = 0$. Since the space is not Riemannian, then $\sigma_0 = 0$ which yields $\sigma_0 = 0$ and hence $\dot{\partial}_j \sigma_0 = \sigma_j = 0$. Consequently, σ is constant. \square

Definition 4.4. A Finsler space (M, L) of dimension $n \geq 2$ is said to be C_2 -like if the Cartan tensor C_{ijk} satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{C^2} C_i C_j C_k,$$

where $C_k := C_{ijk} g^{ij}$ and $C^2 := C_i C^i$.

The following theorem gives a case in which a Landsberg space can not be Berwaldian.

Theorem 4.5. *Under the conformal transformation (2.1), a C_2 -like Berwald space admitting a non constant function $\sigma(x)$ such that*

$$\sigma_r T_{ijk}^r = 0, \quad F^2 T_{uv} C^{uvr} \sigma_r + T \sigma_0 = 0$$

transforms to non Berwaldian Landsberg space.

Proof. Since $\sigma_r T_{ijk}^r = 0$, then the space (M, \bar{F}) is Landsberg. For a C_2 -like space the v-curvature vanishes and hence by using Theorem 3.2 and the condition $(F T_{uv} C^{uvr} + T \ell^r) \sigma_r = 0$, we get

$$C^r \sigma_r = 0.$$

But making use of (4.1), we get

$$\sigma^i C_{ijk} = 0.$$

Now, using Proposition 4.3, the space is Riemannian or σ is constant and this is a contradiction. \square

Since the vanishing of the T-tensor means that the space is not regular Finsler space, the following corollary shows a case in which a singular Landsberg space can not be Berwaldian.

Corollary 4.6. *Under the conformal transformation (2.1), a C_2 -like Berwald space with vanishing T-tensor transforms to non Berwaldian Landsberg space.*

5. EXAMPLES

In this section we give different examples. The following two examples are obtained by the help of [15]. They give simple classes of Landsberg spaces which are not Berwald.

Example 3. Let $M = \mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\bar{F} = \sigma(x^3)F$, where F defined by

$$F(x, y) = \sqrt{(y^3)^2 + y^1 y^2 + y^3 \sqrt{y^1 y^2}} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \arctan \left(\frac{2y^3}{\sqrt{3y^1 y^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)}.$$

For (M, F) , we have, $\sigma_r T_{ijk}^r = \sigma_3 T_{ijk}^3 = 0$, $\sigma_r C^r = \sigma_3 C^3 \neq 0$, but generally $T_{ijk}^h \neq 0$, for example, $T_{111}^1 \neq 0$. Moreover, the space (M, F) does not satisfy the condition (3.3).

Example 4. Let $M = \mathbb{R}^3$. Take $\bar{F} = \sigma(x^2)F$ and F defined by

$$F(x, y) = \sigma(x^2) \sqrt{(y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 + (y^2)^3 + y^2 \sqrt{(y^1)^2 + (y^3)^2}} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \arctan \left(\frac{2y^2}{\sqrt{3((y^1)^2 + (y^3)^2)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)}.$$

For (M, F) , we have, $\sigma_r T_{ijk}^r = \sigma_2 T_{ijk}^2 = 0$, $\sigma_r C^r = \sigma_2 C^2 \neq 0$, but generally $T_{ijk}^h \neq 0$, for example, $T_{111}^1 \neq 0$. Moreover, the space (M, F) does not satisfy the condition (3.3).

The following example shows the conformal transformation of non Berwald space produces a Berwald space.

Example 5. Let $M = \mathbb{R}^3$. Take $\bar{F} = e^{\sigma(x^1, x^2)} F$ and F defined by

$$F(x, y) := \sqrt[4]{((y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2)^2 + e^{-2\sigma(x^1, x^2)} (y^3)^4}.$$

In this example $\bar{G}_{jkh}^i = 0$ but $G_{jkh}^i = -B_{jkh}^i$. Also, $\bar{L}_{jkh} = 0$ and $L_{jkh} = -e^{\sigma(x^1, x^2)} F \sigma_r T_{jkh}^r$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. S. Asanov and E. G. Kirnasov, *On finsler spaces satisfying the T-condition*, Aequationes Mathematicae **24**, (1982), 66–73.
- [2] G. S. Asanov, *Finsleroid-Finsler spaces of positive-definite and relativistic types*, Rep. Math. Phys., **58** (2006), 275–300.
- [3] S. Bácsó, X. Cheng and Z. Shen, *Curvature properties of (α, β) -metrics*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **48** (2007), 73–110.
- [4] D. Bao, *Unicorns in Finsler geometry*, in Proceedings of the 40th Symposium on Finsler Geometry (Hokkaido Tokai University, Sapporo, Japan, 2005)
- [5] M. Hashiguchi, *On conformal transformations of Finsler metrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **16** (1976), 25–50.
- [6] G. Landsberg, *Über die Krümmungstheorie und Variationsrechnung*, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein., **16** (1907), 547–557.
- [7] G. Landsberg, *Über die Totalkrümmung*, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein., **16** (1907), 36–46.
- [8] G. Landsberg, *ber die Krümmung in der Variationsrechnung*, Math. Ann., **65** (1908), 313–349.
- [9] M. Matsumoto, *On C-reducible Finsler spaces*, Tensor, N. S., **24** (1972), 29–37.
- [10] M. Matsumoto, *V-transformations of Finsler spaces. I. Definition, infinitesimal transformations and isometries*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **12** (1972), 479–512.
- [11] M. Matsumoto, *On three dimensional Finsler spaces satisfying the T and B^p conditions*, Tensor, N. S., **29** (1975), 13–20.
- [12] M. Matsumoto in: Handbook of Finsler geometry II, ed. P. L. Antonelli (Kluwer Acad. publ., 2003).
- [13] M. Matsumoto, *Conformally closed Finsler spaces*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl., **4**(1999), 117–128.
- [14] Z. Shen: *Differential geometry of spray and Finsler spaces*, Springer, 2001.
- [15] Z. Shen, *On a class of Landsberg metrics in Finsler geometry*, Canad. J. Math., **61** (2009), 1357–1374.
- [16] Z. Szabo, *Positive definite Finsler spaces satisfying the T-condition are Riemannian*, Tensor, N. S., **35** (1981), 247–248.
- [17] J. Szilasi, R.L. Lovas, D.Cs. Kertész: *Connections, Sprays and Finsler Structures*, World Scientific, 2014.
- [18] Nabil L. Youssef and S. G. Elgendi, *New Finsler package*, Comput. Phys. Commun., **185** (2014), 986–997. ArXiv: 1306.0875 [math. DG].

S. G. ELGENDI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, BENHA UNIVERSITY, EGYPT

E-mail address: salah.ali@fsci.bu.edu.eg, salahelgendi@yahoo.com

URL: <http://www.bu.edu.eg/staff/salahali7>