Volatility Estimation of General Gaussian Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process

Salwa Bajja^{*}, Qian Yu[†]

Abstract

In this article we study the asymptotic behaviour of the realized quadratic variation of a process $\int_0^t u_s dG_s^H$, where u is a β -Hölder continuous process with $\beta > 1 - H$ and G^H is a self-similar Gaussian process with parameters $H \in (0, 3/4)$. We prove almost sure convergence uniformly in time, and a stable weak convergence for the realized quadratic variation. As an application, we construct strongly consistent estimator for the integrated volatility parameter in a model driven by G^H .

Keywords: Gaussian process; Quadratic variation; Stable convergence; Volatility.

1 Introduction

The realized quadratic variation is a powerful tool in the statistical analysis of stochastic processes, and it has received a lot of attention in the literature. Furthermore, its generalization, the realized power variation of order p > 0, have received similar attention as it can tackle with several problems related to realized quadratic variation. For example, the asymptotic normality does not hold for realized quadratic variation in the case of the fractional Brownian motion (fBm) B^H with $H > \frac{3}{4}$, while asymptotic normality hold for realized power variation if one chooses p large enough. Many results are limited to the fBm who has the stationary increment, but not to the general non-stationary Gaussian process.

The realized power variation of order p (quadratic variation if p = 2) is defined as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left| X_{i/n} - X_{(i-1)/n} \right|^p \tag{1}$$

where $\{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ is a stochastic process. It was originally introduced in Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard ([7], [8], [9],[10]) to estimate the integrated volatility in some stochastic volatility models used in quantitative finance and also, under an appropriate modification, to estimate the jumps of the processes. The main interest in the mentioned papers is the asymptotic behaviour of appropriately normalised version of the statistic (1), when the process X_t is a stochastic integral with respect to a Brownian motion. Refinements of the results have been obtained in [22] and [23], and further extensions can be found in [11].

The asymptotic behaviour of the power variation of a stochastic integral $Z_t = \int_0^t u_s dB_s^H$ with respect to a fBm was studied in [13]. In [13] the authors proved that if $u = \{u_t, t \ge 0\}$ has finite q-variation for some q < 1/(1-H), then

$$n^{-1+pH}V_p^n(Z)_t \longrightarrow c_{1,p} \int_0^t |u_s|^p ds \tag{2}$$

^{*} National School of Applied Sciences - Marrakesh, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh, Morocco. Email: salwa.bajja@gmail.com

[†] School of Statistics, East China Normal University, Shanghai, 200241, China. Email: qyumath@163.com

uniformly in probability in any compact sets of t, where $c_{1,p} = \mathbb{E}[|B_1^H|^p]$ and $V_p^n(Z)_t = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} |Z_{i/n} - Z_{(i-1)/n}|^p$. The authors also proved central limit theorem for $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4}]$. However, the condition $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4}]$ is critical in [13]. The first objective of [14] was to remove this restriction. They used higher order differences and defined the power variation as $V_{k,p}^n(Z)_t = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]-k+1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} C_j^k Z_{(i+j-1)/n} \right|^p$ for certain numbers C_j^k .

On a related literature we mention also a series of articles, all by the same authors, studying power variations of general Gaussian processes. In [3] asymptotic theory for the realized power variation of the processes $\phi(G)$ was studied. Here G is a general Gaussian process with stationary increments, and ϕ is a deterministic function. The authors proved that under some mild assumptions on the variance function of the increments of G and certain regularity conditions on the path of the process, a properly normalised converge uniformly in probability. Exploiting these ideas, central limit theorems and convergence of (multi) power variations for the general Gaussian processes with stationary increments and Gaussian semistationary processes was studied in [4] and [5]. Finally, similar questions for variations based on higher order differences were studied in [6]. As an application, estimation of the smoothness parameter of the process was discussed.

While the literature on the topic is wide due to the centrality of the problem, all of the mentioned studies consider only (uniform) convergence in probability. To the best of our knowledge, stronger mode of convergence such as uniform almost sure convergence is not widely studied in the literature. In the paper [2], they studied the asymptotic behaviour of the realized quadratic variation of a process of the form $\int_0^t u_s dY_s^{(1)}$, where $Y_t^{(1)} = \int_0^t e^{-s} dB_{a_s}$; $a_t = He^{t/H}$, B^H is a fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$, and u is a β -Hölder continuous process with $\beta > 1 - H$. such that the process $Y^{(1)}$ is connected to the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind, that is defined through the Lamperti transform of the fBm. Equivalently, fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind can be defined as the solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + \sigma_t dY_t^{(1)}.$$
(3)

As the main result, they obtained the almost sure and uniform convergence. In comparison, [13] obtained uniform convergence in probability. They also established weak convergence result provided that $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4})$.

In this paper we study the asymptotic behaviour of the realized quadratic variation of a process of the form $\int_0^t u_s dG_s^H$, where G^H is a self-similar Gaussian process (including fBm B^H , sub-fBm S^H and bi-fBm B^{H_0,K_0}) with parameter $H \in (0,3/4)$ ($H = H_0K_0$ for bi-fBm) and u is a β -Hölder continuous process with $\beta > 1 - H$. The Guaussian Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process can be defined as the solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + \sigma_t dG_t^H.$$
(4)

As our main result, we obtain almost sure and uniform convergence of the realized quadratic variation of the self-similar Gaussian process G^H . That is, we show that for $Z_t = \int_0^t u_s dG_s^H$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \left| Z_{\frac{i}{n}} - Z_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \right|^2 \longrightarrow \int_0^t |u_s|^2 ds$$

almost surely and uniformly in t, for any $H \in (0, 3/4)$ and any process u that is regular enough. In order to obtain this stronger convergence, we apply recently developed simplified method [21] to study quadratic variations of Gaussian sequence. With this simplified method that is based on a concentration phenomena, one is able to obtain stronger convergence at the same time.

To obtain the desired results, we make the following assumptions on the self-similar Gaussian process G^{H} :

(A1) Let $d(s,t) = \mathbb{E}(G_t^H - G_s^H)^2$ is in $C^{1,1}$ outside diagonal, which satisfies

$$|\partial_{s,t}d(s,t)| = O(|t-s|^{2H-2}).$$

(A2) G^H is Hölder continuous of order δ for any $0 < \delta < H$. (A3) Let $I_n(i) = \{j : \frac{j}{m} \in (\frac{i-1}{m}, \frac{i}{m}]\}$. As $m \to \infty$,

$$m^{-1+2H} \sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \mathbb{E} |G_{j/m}^H - G_{(j-1)/m}^H|^2 \to \frac{1}{n}.$$

(A4) For $j, l = 1, 2, \dots, N$, there exist constants c_0 and c_1 such that

$$\mathbb{E}[(G_j^H - G_{j-1}^H)(G_l^H - G_{l-1}^H)] = c_0 \rho_H(|j-l|) + c_1 \theta(j,l)$$

where $\rho_H(x) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[(x+1)^{2H} + (x-1)^{2H} - 2x^{2H} \Big]$ and $|\theta(j,l)|^2 = o(1/j)$ as $j \to \infty$ (or equal to o(1/l) as $l \to \infty$).

Note that, assumptions (A1)–(A3) mainly used in the proof of consistency in Theorem 3.1. Condition of $\theta(j, l)$ in (A4) such that for $m \ge 2$,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j,l} \left| \mathbb{E}[(G_j^H - G_{j-1}^H)(G_l^H - G_{l-1}^H)] \right|^m < \infty$$

which will given in the main proof of stable convergence in Theorem 3.2.

The paper is outlined in the following way. After some preliminaries in Section 2, Section 3 is devoted to the proof of main results, based on the assumptions (A1)–(A4) in Section 1 and the Lemmas and Theorems given in Section 2. We apply our results to the estimation of the integrated volatility in Section 4.

Throughout this paper, if not mentioned otherwise, the letter c, with or without a subscript, denotes a generic positive finite constant and may change from line to line.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we will consider $\{G_t^H, t \ge 0\}$ is a centered Gaussian process defined on some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with self-similar index $H \in (0, 3/4)$. We always assume that G^H satisfies assumptions (A1)–(A4). This conditions that are satisfied by a variety of Gaussian processes. In particular, it is straightforward to validate the following Gaussian processes.

Example 2.1 $G_t^H = B_t^H$ is a fBm, of which the covariance function is

$$\mathbb{E}(B_t^H B_s^H) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}).$$

Example 2.2 $G_t^H = S_t^H$ is a sub-fBm, of which the covariance function is

$$\mathbb{E}(S_t^H S_s^H) = t^{2H} + s^{2H} - \frac{1}{2}[(t+s)^{2H} + |t-s|^{2H}].$$

Example 2.3 $G_t^H = B_t^{H_0, K_0}$ is a bi-fBm, of which the covariance function is

$$\mathbb{E}(B_t^{H_0,K_0}B_s^{H_0,K_0}) = 2^{-K_0}[(t^{2H_0} + s^{2H_0})^{K_0} - |t - s|^{2H_0K_0}],$$

where $H = H_0 K_0 \in (0, 3/4)$ and $K_0 \in (0, 1]$.

Next, we are going to verify that these processes meet the assumptions (A1)-(A4).

Lemma 2.4 Assumptions (A1)–(A4) are satisfied by fBm.

Proof. For t, s > 0,

$$d(s,t) = |t-s|^{2H}$$

which gives (A1) and (A2).

Since the fBm has the incremental stationarity, then

$$\mathbb{E}[(B_j^H - B_{j-1}^H)(B_l^H - B_{l-1}^H)] = \rho_H(|j-l|),$$

where $\rho_H(x) = \frac{1}{2}[|x+1|^{2H} + |x-1|^{2H} - 2|x|^{2H}].$ This gives (A4).
For (A3),

$$m^{-1+2H} \sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \mathbb{E} |G_{j/m}^H - G_{(j-1)/m}^H|^2 = m^{-1} \sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \mathbb{E} [(B_j^H - B_{j-1}^H)^2 = m^{-1} \sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \rho_H(0)$$
$$= \frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} = \frac{1}{n}.$$

This completes proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.5 Assumptions (A1)-(A4) are satisfied by sub-fBm.

Proof. For t, s > 0, by Proposition 1.15 in Tudor [20], we can see

$$(2-2^{2H-1})|t-s|^{2H} \leq d(s,t) \leq |t-s|^{2H}, \quad H > 1/2$$

and

$$|t-s|^{2H} \leq d(s,t) \leq (2-2^{2H-1})|t-s|^{2H}, \quad H < 1/2,$$

which gives (A1) and (A2).

By simple calculation, we can find

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_j^H - S_{j-1}^H)(S_l^H - S_{l-1}^H)] = \rho_H(|j-l|) - \rho_H(j+l-1).$$

It is easy to see that (A3) follows from the proof of Lemma 2.4 and

$$\sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \rho_H(2j-1) = \sum_{j \in (\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}]} \rho_H(2mj-1) = O(m^{2H-2}) \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

Since $\rho_H(n)$ is a monotonically decreasing function and is greater than zero when H > 1/2, and $\rho_H(n)$ is increasing and is less than zero for H < 1/2, we have

$$\left| \mathbb{E}[(S_{j}^{H} - S_{j-1}^{H})(S_{l}^{H} - S_{l-1}^{H})] \right| \leq \left| \rho_{H}(|j-l|) \right|.$$

Moreover, $|\rho_H(j+l-1)|^2 = o(1/j)$ as $j \to \infty$ (or equal to o(1/l) as $l \to \infty$) for H < 3/4. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.6 Assumptions (A1)–(A4) are satisfied by bi-fBm.

Proof. For t, s > 0, by Proposition 1.7 in Tudor [20], we can see

$$2^{-K_0}|t-s|^{2H} \leq d(s,t) \leq 2^{2-K_0}|t-s|^{2H},$$

which gives (A1) and (A2).

Similar to sub-fBm, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(B_j^{H_0,K_0} - B_{j-1}^{H_0,K_0})(B_l^{H_0,K_0} - B_{l-1}^{H_0,K_0})] = 2^{1-K_0}\rho_{H_0K_0}(|j-l|) + \theta(j,l),$$

where

$$\theta(j,l) = 2^{-K_0} \left[((j-1)^{2H_0} + l^{2H_0})^{K_0} + (j^{2H_0} + (l-1)^{2H_0})^{K_0} - (j^{2H_0} + l^{2H_0})^{K_0} - ((j-1)^{2H_0} + (l-1)^{2H_0})^{K_0} \right]$$

By the Lemma 1.1 and the proof of Proposition 1.10 in Tudor [20], we can have

$$2^{K_0}|\theta(j,j)| = |h(j) + 2|,$$

where $h(x) = x^{2H_0K_0} + (x-1)^{2H_0K_0} - 2^{1-K_0}(x^{2H_0} - (x-1)^{2H_0})^{K_0}$.

Thus, (A3) follows from

$$\sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \theta(j, j) = \sum_{j \in (\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}]} \theta(mj, mj)$$

and h(mj) converges to zero, as $m \to \infty$. Let $f_j(x) = (j^{2H_0} + x^{2H_0})^{K_0} - ((j-1)^{2H_0} + x^{2H_0})^{K_0} > 0$, which is decreasing with respect to x. Then we can see

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta(j,l)| &= 2^{-K_0} (f_j(l-1) - f_j(l)) \leqslant 2^{-K_0} f_j(0) \\ &= 2^{-K_0} \left(j^{2H_0K_0} - (j-1)^{2H_0K_0} \right) \\ &\leqslant 2^{-K_0} |\rho_{H_0K_0}(j-1)|. \end{aligned}$$

When $H = H_0 K_0 < 3/4$,

$$|\rho_H(j-1)|^m = o(1/j)$$
, as $j \to \infty$, for $m \ge 2$.

Similarly, we can obtain that

$$|\theta(j,l)|^m = o(1/l), \text{ as } l \to \infty, \text{ for } m \ge 2.$$

This gives (A4). \blacksquare

We refer to [15], [18] and [20] for more details on sub-fBm and bi-fBm.

We also recall that, for p > 0, the *p*-variation of a real-valued function f on an interval [a,b] is defined as

$$var_p(f; [a, b]) = \sup_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})|^p \right)^{1/p},$$
(5)

where the supremum is taken over all partitions $\pi = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_n = b\}$. We say that f has finite p-variation (over the interval [a, b]), if $var_p(f; [a, b]) < \infty$. Young proved that the integral $\int_a^b f dg$ exists as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral provided that f and g have finite p-variation and q-variation with 1/p + 1/q > 1. Moreover, the following inequality holds:

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f dg - f(a)(g(b) - g(a)) \right| \leq c_{p,q} var_{p}(f; [a, b]) var_{q}(g; [a, b]),$$
(6)

where $c_{p,q} = \zeta(1/q + 1/p)$, with $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} n^{-s}$. We denote by

$$\parallel f \parallel_{\alpha} := \sup_{a \leqslant s < t \leqslant b} \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t - s|^{\alpha}}$$

the Hölder seminorm of order α . Clearly, if f is α -Hölder continuous, then it has finite $(1/\alpha)$ -variation on any finite interval. In this case we have, for any $p \ge \frac{1}{\alpha}$, that

$$var_p(f; [a, b]) \leqslant \parallel f \parallel_{\alpha} (b - a)^{\alpha}.$$
(7)

Throughout the paper, we also assume that $T < \infty$ is fixed. That is, we consider stochastic processes on some compact interval. We denote by $\|.\|_{\infty}$ the supremum norm on [0, T].

For any natural number $n \ge 1$, and for any stochastic process $Z = \{Z_t, t \ge 0\}$, we write

$$V_n(Z)_t = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left| Z_{\frac{i}{n}} - Z_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \right|^2.$$
(8)

We will use the following two general results, taken from [21], on the convergence of the quadratic variations of a Gaussian process.

Theorem 2.7 [21, Theorem 3.1]) Let X be a continuous Gaussian process and denote by V_n^X its quadratic variation defined by

$$V_n^X = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[(\Delta_k X)^2 - \mathbb{E} (\Delta_k X)^2 \right],$$

where $\Delta_k X = X_{t_k} - X_{t_{k-1}}$. Assume that

$$\max_{1 \le j \le N(\pi_n) - 1} \sum_{k=1}^{N(\pi_n) - 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi(\Delta t_k)\phi(\Delta t_j)}} |\mathbb{E}[(X_{t_k} - X_{t_{k-1}})(X_{t_j} - X_{t_{j-1}})]| \le h(|\pi_n|)$$

for some function ϕ and $h(|\pi_n|)$. If $h(|\pi_n|) \to 0$ as $|\pi_n|$ tends to zero, then the convergence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N(\pi_n)-1} \frac{(X_{t_k} - X_{t_{k-1}})^2}{\phi(t_k - t_{k-1})} - \sum_{k=1}^{N(\pi_n)-1} \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_{t_k} - X_{t_{k-1}})^2}{\phi(t_k - t_{k-1})} \right| \to 0$$
(9)

holds in Probability. Furthermore, the convergence holds almost surely provided that $h(|\pi_n|) = o(\frac{1}{\log(n)})$.

The following lemma gives easy way to compute the function h(n) and is essentially taken from [21] (see [21, Theorem 3.3]).

Lemma 2.8 [21] Let X be a continuous Gaussian process such that the function $d(s,t) = E(X_t - X_s)^2$ is in $C^{1,1}$ outside diagonal. Furthermore, assume that

$$|\partial_{st}d(s,t)| = O\left(|t-s|^{2H-2}\right) \tag{10}$$

for some $H \in (0,1), H \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\max_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\mathbb{E}(\Delta_k X \Delta_j X)| \leqslant \max_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} d\left(\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j-1}{n}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 \wedge 2H}$$

Finally, in order to study stable convergence in law we recall the following general convergence result taken from [12].

Theorem 2.9 ([12]) Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space. Fix a time interval [0, T]and consider a double sequence of random variables $\xi = \{\xi_{i,m}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 1 \leq i \leq [mT]\}$. Assume the double sequence ξ satisfies the following hypotheses.

(H1) Denote $g_m(t) := \sum_{i=1}^{[mt]} \xi_{i,m}$. The finite dimensional distributions of the sequence of processes $\{g_m(t), t \in [0,T]\}$ converges \mathcal{F} -stably to those of $\{B(t), t \in [0,T]\}$ as $m \to \infty$, where $\{B(t), t \in [0,T]\}$ is a standard Brownian motion independent of \mathcal{F} .

(H2) ξ satisfies the tightness condition $\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=j+1}^{k}\xi_{i,m}\right|^{4} \leq C\left(\frac{k-j}{m}\right)^{2}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k \leq [mT]$.

If $\{f(t), t \in [0,T]\}$ is an α -Hôlder continuous process with $\alpha > 1/2$ and we set $X_m(t) := \sum_{i=1}^{[mt]} f(\frac{i}{m})\xi_{i,m}$, then we have the \mathcal{F} -stable convergence

$$X_m(t) \xrightarrow[m \to \infty]{law} \int_0^t f(s) dB_s,$$

in the Skorohod space $\mathcal{D}[0,T]$ equipped with the uniform topology.

Recall that a sequence of random vectors or processes Y_n converges \mathcal{F} -stably in law to a random vector or process Y, where Y is defined on an extention $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', P')$ of the original probability (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , if $(Y_n, Z) \xrightarrow{Law} (Y, Z)$ for any \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable Z. If Y is \mathcal{F} -measurable, then we have convergence in probability. We refer to [1], [16] and [17] for more details on stable convergence.

At last of this section, we will give a useful lemma to prove the stable convergence by (A4).

Lemma 2.10 Let $(a_k, b_k]$, $k = 1, \dots, N$ be pairwise disjoint intervals contained in [0, T]. Define

$$G_k^{(n)} = n^{-H} \sum_{[na_k] < j \le [nb_k]} (G_j^H - G_{j-1}^H)$$

and

$$Y_k^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{[na_k] < j \le [nb_k]} H_2(G_j^H - G_{j-1}^H)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, N$, where $H_2(x) = x^2 - 1$ is the 2-th Hermite polynomial. Assume H < 3/4 and G satisfies (A1)-(A4), then we have

$$(G^{(n)}, Y^{(n)}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} (G, V),$$

where G and V are independent centred Gaussian vectors, with $G_k = G_{b_k}^H - G_{a_k}^H$, and the components of V are independent with variances $v_1^2(b_k - a_k)$ and v_1 is dependent on functions ρ_H and θ .

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{H}_m the *m*-th Wiener chaos, the closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ generated by the random variables $H_m(X)$, where X belongs to first Wiener chaos, $\mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$ and H_m is the *m*-th Hermite polynomial. The mapping $I_m : \mathcal{H}_1^{\odot m} \to \mathcal{H}_m$ denoted by $I_m(X^{\otimes m}) = H_m(X)$ is a linear isometry between the symmetric tensor product $\mathcal{H}_1^{\odot m}$, equipped with the norm $\sqrt{m!} || \cdot ||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{\otimes m}}$. For function

$$H(X) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} c_m H_m(X)$$

with $\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} c_m^2 m! = \mathbb{E}|H(Z)|^2 < \infty, Z$ being an N(0,1) random variable and

$$J_m H(X) = c_m H_m(X)$$

where J_m denote the projection operator on the *m*-th Wiener chaos. Using the same ways as the proof of Proposition 10 in Corcuera, Nualart and Woerner [13], to prove the desired result, we only need to prove, for any $m \ge 2$, $k = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} |J_m \widetilde{Y}_k^{(n)}|^2 =: \sigma_{m,k}^2 < \infty, \tag{11}$$

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sup_{n} \mathbb{E} |J_m \widetilde{Y}_k^{(n)}|^2 < \infty,$$
(12)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[J_m \widetilde{Y}_k^{(n)} J_m \widetilde{Y}_h^{(n)}] = 0, \quad k \neq h,$$
(13)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} I_m^{-1} J_m \widetilde{Y}_k^{(n)} \otimes_p I_m^{-1} J_m \widetilde{Y}_k^{(n)} = 0, \quad 1 \le p \le m - 1, \tag{14}$$

where

$$\widetilde{Y}_{k}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{[na_{k}] < j \le [nb_{k}]} H(G_{j}^{H} - G_{j-1}^{H}).$$

Replace $\rho_H(|j-l|)$ by $\rho_H(|j-l|) + \theta(j,l)$, then it is easy to obtain (13) and (14), since $|\theta(j,l)|^2 = o(1/j)$ as $j \to \infty$. So, we only need prove (11) and (12) below.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}|J_m \widetilde{Y}_k^{(n)}|^2 &= \frac{m! c_m^2}{n} \sum_{[na_k] < j, l \leqslant [nb_k]} \left[\mathbb{E}(G_j^H - G_{j-1}^H) (G_l^H - G_{l-1}^H) \right]^m \\ &= \frac{m! c_m^2}{n} \sum_{[na_k] < j, l \leqslant [nb_k]} \left[c_0 \rho_H (|j-l|) + c_1 \theta(j,l) \right]^m \\ &= \frac{m! c_m^2}{n} \sum_{[na_k] < j \leqslant [nb_k]} \left[c_0 \rho_H (0) + c_1 \theta(j,j) \right]^m \\ &+ 2 \frac{m! c_m^2}{n} \sum_{[na_k] < j \neq l \leqslant [nb_k]} \left[c_0 \rho_H (|j-l|) + c_1 \theta(j,l) \right]^m. \end{split}$$

By assumption (A4), we can see the summation above with respect to $\theta(j, l)$ part is finite, denoted by

$$\sigma_{\theta}^2 := \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{m! c_m^2}{n} \sum_{[na_k] < j \leqslant [nb_k]} \left[c_1 \theta(j,j) \right]^m + 2 \frac{m! c_m^2}{n} \sum_{[na_k] < j \neq l \leqslant [nb_k]} \left[c_1 \theta(j,l) \right]^m \right).$$

Then (11) and (12) follow by

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{[na_k] < j \le [nb_k]} \rho_H(0)^m + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{[na_k] < j \ne l \le [nb_k]} (\rho_H(|j-l|))^m$$
$$= \frac{[nb_k] - [na_k]}{n} \rho_H(0)^m + \sum_{j=1}^{[nb_k] - [na_k]} \rho_H(j)^m \frac{[nb_k] - [na_k] - j}{n}$$
$$\to (b_k - a_k) \rho_H(0)^m + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho_H(j)^m =: \sigma_\rho^2, \quad n \to \infty,$$

and we denoted by $\sigma_{m,k}^2 := \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} |J_m \widetilde{Y}_k^{(n)}|^2$ (since this is a complex binomial expansion related to ρ_H and θ , the calculation process of $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} |J_m \widetilde{Y}_k^{(n)}|^2$ is complicated, so we can only denote it by $\sigma_{m,k}^2$).

When m = 2, we can compute the variance of the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}|Y_k^{(n)}|^2 =: v_1^2(b_k - a_k)$ with $v_1^2(b_k - a_k)c_2^2 = \sigma_{2,k}^2$.

3 Main results

We study the asymptotic behavior of the realized quadratic variation of a stochastic process of the form $\int_0^t u_s dG_s^H$, where u is a Hölder continuous process of order $\beta > 1 - H$. Note that, as G^H is Hölder continuous of order $H - \varepsilon$ by assumption (A2), the integral can be understood as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral. In particular, the process is well-defined.

We are now ready to state our first main result that provides us the uniform strong consistency.

Theorem 3.1 Under the assumptions (A1)–(A3), we further suppose that $u = \{u_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is an Hölder continuous stochastic process of order β with $\beta > 1 - H$, 0 < H < 3/4, and set

$$Z_t = \int_0^t u_s dG_s^H.$$
(15)

Then, as n tends to infinity,

$$n^{2H-1}V_n(Z)_t \longrightarrow \int_0^t |u_s|^2 ds,$$
 (16)

almost surely and uniformly in t.

Proof. For $t \in [0,T]$ and an integer n, we denote by [nt] the largest integer that is at most *nt*. Let now $m \ge n$. We have

$$\begin{split} m^{-1+2H}V_m(Z)_t &- \int_0^t |u_s|^2 ds \\ &= m^{2H-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[mt]} \left(\left| \int_{(j-1)/m}^{j/m} u_s dG_s^H \right|^2 - \left| u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} (G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H) \right|^2 \right) \\ &+ m^{2H-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{[mt]} \left| u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \left(G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right) \right|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left| u_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \right|^2 \sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \left| G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right|^2 \right) \\ &+ m^{2H-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left| u_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \right|^2 \sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \left| G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right|^2 - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left| u_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \right|^2 \\ &+ \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left| u_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \right|^2 - \int_0^t |u_s|^2 ds \right) \\ &= A_t^{(m)} + B_t^{(n,m)} + C_t^{(n,m)} + D_t^{(n)}, \end{split}$$

where

$$I_n(i) = \left\{ j : \frac{j}{m} \in \left(\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right], \ 1 \le i \le [nt]. \right\}$$

The idea of the proof is that we first let $m \to \infty$ and then $n \to \infty$, and we show that each of the terms $A_t^{(m)}, B_t^{(n,m)}, C_t^{(n,m)}$, and $D_t^{(n)}$ converges to zero almost surely, and uniformly in t.Let us begin with the term $C_t^{(n,m)}$. We have

$$\| C^{(n,m)} \|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{[nT]} \left| u_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \right|^2 \left| m^{2H-1} \sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \left| G^H_{\frac{j}{m}} - G^H_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \right|^2 - n^{-1} \right|.$$

As we first let $m \to \infty$, it suffices to show that, for a fixed n, we have

$$\left| m^{2H-1} \sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \left| G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right|^2 - n^{-1} \right| \to 0.$$

By assumption (A1), Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 2.7, we only need to prove

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} m^{2H-1} \sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \left| G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right|^2 = n^{-1}$$

which follows from assumption (A3).

Consider next the term $A_t^{(m)}$. We have

$$|A_t^{(m)}| \leq m^{2H-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[mt]} \left| \left| \int_{(j-1)/m}^{j/m} u_s dG_s^H \right|^2 - \left| u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} (G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H) \right|^2 \right|.$$

We will use the following inequality, valid for any $x,y\in\mathbb{R},$

$$||x|^2 - |y|^2| \leq 2[|x - y|^2 + |y||x - y|].$$
 (17)

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} |A_t^{(m)}| &\leqslant 2m^{-1+2H} \sum_{j=1}^{[mt]} \left| \int_{(j-1)/m}^{j/m} u_s dG_s^H - u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} (G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - S_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H) \right|^2 \\ &+ 2m^{-1+2H} \sum_{j=1}^{[mt]} \left| u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \left(G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right) \right| \left| \int_{(j-1)/m}^{j/m} u_s dG_s^H - u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \left(G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right) \right| \\ &=: E_{(m)}(t) + R_{(m)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_{(m)}(t) = 2m^{-1+2H} \sum_{j=1}^{[mt]} \left| \int_{(j-1)/m}^{j/m} u_s dG_s^H - u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \left(G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right) \right|^2,$$

$$R_{(m)}(t) = 2m^{-1+2H} \sum_{j=1}^{[mt]} \left| u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \left(G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right) \right| \left| \int_{(j-1)/m}^{j/m} u_s dG_s^H - u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \left(G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right) \right|.$$

For the term $E_{(m)}(t)$ we observe, by applying Young inequality (6), that

$$|E_{(m)}(t)| \leq c_{H,\beta,\varepsilon} m^{2H-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[mT]} \left| var_{\frac{1}{\beta}}(u;\mathcal{I}_m(j)) var_{1/(H-\varepsilon)}(G^H;\mathcal{I}_m(j)) \right|^2,$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < H$, the constant $c_{H,\beta,\varepsilon}$ comes from inequality (6) and depends only on H,β and ε , and $\mathcal{I}_m(j) = \left(\frac{j-1}{m}, \frac{j}{m}\right]$. By (7) we have

$$\operatorname{var}_{\frac{1}{\beta}}(u,\mathcal{I}_m(j)) \leqslant m^{-\beta} \|u\|_{\beta}$$

and

$$var_{1/(H-\varepsilon)}(G^H, \mathcal{I}_m(j)) \leqslant m^{-(H-\varepsilon)} \|G^H\|_{H-\varepsilon}.$$

Thus

$$||E_{(m)}||_{\infty} \leqslant c_{H,\beta,\varepsilon} m^{2H-1-2\beta} ||u||_{\beta}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{[mT]} |var_{1/(H-\varepsilon)}(G^{H};\mathcal{I}_{m}(j))|^{2},$$

$$\leqslant Tc_{H,\beta,\varepsilon} m^{2H-1-2\beta-2(H-\varepsilon)+1} ||u||_{\beta}^{2} ||G^{H}||_{(H-\varepsilon)}^{2}$$

$$\leqslant Tc_{H,\beta,\varepsilon} m^{2(\varepsilon-\beta)} ||u||_{\beta}^{2} ||G^{H}||_{(H-\varepsilon)}^{2}.$$

As we can choose $\varepsilon < \beta$, this implies that $\lim_{m\to\infty} ||E_{(m)}||_{\infty} = 0$ almost surely. Similarly, we can apply (6) to the term $R_{(m)}(t)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{(m)}(t)| &\leqslant c_{H,\beta,\varepsilon} m^{-1+2H} \sum_{j=1}^{[mT]} \left| u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} (G_{\frac{j}{m}}^{H} - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^{H}) \right| \left| var_{\frac{1}{\beta}} (u, \mathcal{I}_{m}(j)) var_{1/(H-\varepsilon)} (G^{H}, \mathcal{I}_{m}(j)) \right| \\ &\leqslant 2c_{H,\beta,\varepsilon} m^{-1+2H-\beta-(H-\varepsilon)} \| u \|_{\beta} \| G^{H} \|_{H-\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{[mT]} \left| u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} (G_{\frac{j}{m}}^{H} - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^{H}) \right| \\ &\leqslant 2c_{H,\beta,\varepsilon} m^{-1+H-\beta+\varepsilon} \| u \|_{\beta} \| G^{H} \|_{H-\varepsilon} \| u \|_{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{[mT]} \left| var_{1/(H-\varepsilon)} (G^{H}, \mathcal{I}_{m}(j)) \right| \\ &\leqslant Tc_{H,\beta,\varepsilon} \| u \|_{\beta} \| G^{H} \|_{H-\varepsilon}^{2} \| u \|_{\infty} m^{-\beta+2\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\varepsilon < \frac{\beta}{2}$, we get $|| R_{(m)} ||_{\infty} \to 0$ almost surely, and consequently, $|| A^{(m)} ||_{\infty} \to 0$ almost surely as $m \to \infty$.

It remains to study the terms $D_t^{(n)}$ and $B_t^{(n,m)}$. For the term $D_t^{(n)}$ we first observe that for any $s \in \left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]$, we have

$$||u_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^2 - |u_s|^2| \leq 2||u||_{\infty}||u||_{\beta}n^{-\beta}$$

Thus we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^{(n)}| &= \left| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} |u_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^2 - \int_0^t |u_s|^2 ds \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \int_{(i-1)/n}^{i/n} (|u_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^2 - |u_s|^2) ds + \int_{[nt]/n}^t |u_s|^2 ds \right| \\ &\leqslant \left| \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \int_{(i-1)/n}^{i/n} \left| |u_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^2 - |u_s|^2 \right| ds + \int_{[nt]/n}^t |u_s|^2 ds \right| \\ &\leqslant 2T \|u\|_{\infty} \|u\|_{\beta} n^{-\beta} + \|u\|_{\infty} |t - [nt]/n| \\ &\leqslant 2T \|u\|_{\infty} \|u\|_{\beta} n^{-\beta} + \|u\|_{\infty} n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that also $\| D^{(n)} \|_{\infty} \to 0$ almost surely as $n \to 0$. It remains to study the term $B_t^{(n,m)}$. First note that, by the definition of $I_n(i)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{[mt]} \left| u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \left(G_{\frac{j}{m}}^{H} - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^{H} \right) \right|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{j \in I_{n}(i)} \left| u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \left(G_{\frac{j}{m}}^{H} - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^{H} \right) \right|^{2}.$$

Together with the fact that

$$|u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} - u_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^2 \leqslant 4 \parallel u \parallel_{\infty} \parallel u \parallel_{\beta} n^{-\beta}$$

as $\frac{j}{m} \in \left(\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]$, this gives us

$$\begin{split} |B_{t}^{(n,m)}| &= \left| m^{2H-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{[mt]} \left| u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \left(G_{\frac{j}{m}}^{H} - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^{H} \right) \right|^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left| u_{\frac{i-1}{n}} \right|^{2} \sum_{j \in I_{n}(i)} \left| G_{\frac{j}{m}}^{H} - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^{H} \right|^{2} \right) \right| \\ &\leqslant m^{2H-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{j \in I_{n}(i)} |u_{\frac{j-1}{m}} - u_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{2} \left| G_{\frac{j}{m}}^{H} - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^{H} \right|^{2} \\ &\leqslant 4m^{2H-1} \parallel u \parallel_{\infty} \parallel u \parallel_{\beta} n^{-\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{j \in I_{n}(i)} \left| G_{\frac{j}{m}}^{H} - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^{H} \right|^{2}. \end{split}$$

Here

$$m^{2H-1} \sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \left| G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right|^2 \to n^{-1}$$

almost surely, and thus

$$m^{2H-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \sum_{j \in I_n(i)} \left| G_{\frac{j}{m}}^H - G_{\frac{j-1}{m}}^H \right|^2 \to t$$

almost surely. This implies that $|| B^{(n,m)} ||_{\infty} \to 0$ which completes the proof. For each $t \ge 0$ we denote by \mathcal{F}_t^H the σ -field generated by the random variables $\{G_s^H, 0 \le 0\}$ $s \leq t$ and the null sets.

Theorem 3.2 Under the assumptions (A1)–(A4), we further suppose that $u = \{u_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is an Hölder continuous stochastic process of order β with $\beta > \max(1 - H, \frac{1}{2})$, and measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_T^H . Set

$$Z_t = \int_0^t u_s dG_s^H.$$
⁽¹⁸⁾

Then, as n tends to infinity,

$$n^{2H-1/2}V_n(Z)_t - \sqrt{n}\int_0^t |u_s|^2 ds \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\to} \quad v_1\int_0^t |u_s|^2 dW_s$$

 \mathcal{F}_T^H -stably in the space $\mathcal{D}([0,T]^2)$, where $W = \{W_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ is a Brownian motion independent of \mathcal{F}_T^H , v_1 is given in Lemma 2.10.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we make a decomposition

$$n^{2H-1/2}V_n(Z)_t - \sqrt{n}\int_0^t |u_s|^2 ds =: A_t^{(n)} + B_t^{(n)} + C_t^{(n)},$$

where

$$\begin{split} A_t^{(n)} &= n^{2H-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left(\left| \int_{(i-1)/n}^{i/n} u_s dG_s^H \right|^2 - \left| u_{\frac{i}{n}} (G_{\frac{i}{n}}^H - G_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^H) \right|^2 \right) \\ B_t^{(n)} &= n^{2H-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left| u_{\frac{i}{n}} \left(G_{\frac{i}{n}}^H - G_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^H \right) \right|^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left| u_{\frac{i}{n}} \right|^2, \\ C_t^{(n)} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \left| u_{\frac{i}{n}} \right|^2 - \sqrt{n} \int_0^t |u_s|^2 ds \right). \end{split}$$

Using $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ and treating the terms $A_t^{(n)}$ and $C_t^{(n)}$ as the terms $A_t^{(m)}$ and $D_t^{(n)}$ in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$|| A^{(n)} ||_{\infty} + || C^{(n)} ||_{\infty} \to 0$$

almost surely. Consider next the term $B_t^{(n)}$. We set

$$\xi_{i,n} = n^{2H-1/2} \left| G_{\frac{i}{n}}^{H} - G_{\frac{(i-1)}{n}}^{H} \right|^{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

so that

$$B_t^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} |u_{i/n}|^2 \xi_{i,n}.$$

In order to complete the proof, we need to verify hypotheses (H1) and (H2) of Theorem 2.9. For the first hypothesis (H1), we have

$$g_m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{[mt]} \left(m^{2H-1/2} \left| G_{i/m}^H - G_{(i-1)/m}^H \right|^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right).$$

From Lemma 2.10, we obtain the finite dimensional distributions. Thus, by the Theorem 3 in Corcuera, Nualart and Woerner [13], for the following convergence in law for 0 < H < 3/4,

$$\left(G_t^H, \sqrt{m}\sum_{i=1}^{[mt]} \left(m^{2H-1} \left|G_{i/m}^H - G_{(i-1)/m}^H\right|^2 - \frac{1}{m}\right)\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\to} \left(G_t^H, v_1 W_t\right),$$

in the space $\mathcal{D}([0,T])^2$ equipped with the Skorohod topology, where $W = \{W_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ is a Brownian motion independent of the Gaussian process G^H , we need to prove the tightness condition, which is the second hypothesis in Theorem 2.9.

 $k \leq [nT].$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{i=j+1}^{k}\xi_{i,n}\right|^{4}\right) &= \frac{1}{n^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{i=j+1}^{k}\left(n^{2H}\left|G_{\frac{i}{n}}^{H}-G_{\frac{(i-1)}{n}}^{H}\right|^{2}-1\right)\right|^{4}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{i=j+1}^{k}H_{2}(G_{i}^{H}-G_{i-1}^{H})\right|^{4}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{k}\sum_{l=j+1}^{k}\left(\mathbb{E}H_{2}(G_{i}^{H}-G_{i-1}^{H})H_{2}(G_{l}^{H}-G_{l-1}^{H})\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{k}\sum_{l=j+1}^{k}\left(c_{0}\rho_{H}(|i-l|)+c_{1}\theta(i,l)\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\ &\leqslant \frac{c(k-j)^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\rho_{H}^{2}(i)\right)^{2}+\frac{c}{n^{2}}\left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{k}\sum_{l}\theta(i,l)^{2}\right)^{2} \\ &\leqslant c\left(\frac{k-j}{n}\right)^{2} \end{split}$$

where we use $|\theta(i,l)|^2 = o(1/l)$ as $l \to \infty$ in (A4), which is convergent in summation, in the last inequality. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

4 Application to the estimation of the integrated volatility

In this section we apply our main results to the estimation of the integrated volatility $\int_0^t |\sigma_s|^2 ds$. We consider a generalized Gaussian Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process defined as the solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + \sigma_t dG_t^H, \tag{19}$$

with some initial condition $X_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. We define the estimator $QV_n(X)_t$ for the integrated volatility $\int_0^t |\sigma_s|^2 ds$ as

$$QV_n(X)_t = n^{2H-1}V_n(X)_t, \ t \in [0,T].$$
(20)

We begin with two simple propositions which allows us to introduce drift to the process defined by (15), which can be obtained directly from Bajja, Es-Sebaiy and Viitasaari [2], so we omit the detailed proof here.

Proposition 4.1 Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 prevail, and let $Y = \{Y_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ be a stochastic process such that, as n tends to infinity,

$$n^{2H-1}V_n(Y)_t \rightarrow 0$$

almost surely and uniformly in t. Then

$$n^{2H-1}V_n(Y+Z)_t \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \int_0^t |u_s|^2 ds.$$

almost surely and uniformly in t.

Similarly, we obtain the following result on the weak convergence.

Proposition 4.2 Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 prevail, and let $Y = \{Y_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ be a stochastic process such that, as n tends to infinity,

$$n^{2H-1}V_n(Y)_t \rightarrow 0$$

and uniformly in probability. Then

$$n^{2H-\frac{1}{2}}(Y+Z)_{t} - \sqrt{n} \int_{0}^{t} |u_{s}|^{2} ds \quad \underset{n \to \infty}{\overset{Law}{\longrightarrow}} \quad v_{1} \int_{0}^{t} |u_{s}|^{2} dW_{s}$$

 \mathcal{F}_T^H -stably in $\mathcal{D}([0,T])$, where $W = \{W_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ is a Brownian motion independent of \mathcal{F}_T^H .

Consider now the estimator (20) for the integrated volatility. With the help of Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 we obtain the following results.

Theorem 4.3 Suppose that σ_s is a Hölder continuous function of order $\beta > 1 - H$. Then

$$QV_n(X)_t \longrightarrow \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^2 ds$$

almost surely and uniformly in t.

Proof. Recall that X satisfies (19). Thus we have

$$X_t = X_0 + Y_t + \int_0^t \sigma_s dG_s^H,$$

where $Y_t = -\theta \int_0^t X_s ds$. It is straightforward to check that the solution X is bounded on every compact interval. Consequently, the process Y_t is differentiable with bounded derivative, and thus

$$V_n(Y) \leqslant \theta \parallel X \parallel_{\infty}^2 n^{-1}.$$

Now the result follows from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 3.1. \blacksquare

Theorem 4.4 Suppose that $\sigma = \{\sigma_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is Hölder continuous of order $\beta > \max\left(1 - H, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_T^{G^H}$. Suppose further that 0 < H < 3/4. Then

$$\sqrt{n}\left(QV_n(X)_t - \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^2 ds\right) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^2 dW_s,$$

 \mathcal{F}_T^H -stably in the space $\mathcal{D}([0,T]^2)$, where $W = \{W_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ is a Brownian motion independent of \mathcal{F}_T^H .

Proof. Observing that since 0 < H < 3/4, we have, for $Y_t = -\theta \int_0^t X_s ds$, that

$$n^{2H-\frac{1}{2}}V_n(Y) \leq \theta \parallel X \parallel_{\infty}^2 n^{2H-\frac{3}{2}} \to 0.$$

Thus the result follows directly from Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 3.2. \blacksquare

References

- D. Aldous and G. K. Eagleson. On mixing and stability of imit theorems. Annals of Probability, 6, 325-331, 1978.
- [2] S. Bajja, K. Es-Sebaiy and L. Viitasaari. Volatility estimation in fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck models. submitted, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.09589.pdf, 2018.
- [3] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, J.M. Corcuera and M. Podolskij. Power variation for Gaussian processes with stationary increments. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 119(6), 1845-1865, 2009.
- [4] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, J.M. Corcuera, M. Podolskij and J.H.C. Woerner. Bipower variation for Gaussian processes with stationary increments. Journal of Applied Probability, 46(1), 132-150, 2009.
- [5] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, J.M. Corcuera and M. Podolskij. Multipower variation for Brownian semistationary processes. Bernoulli, 17(4), 1159-1194, 2011.
- [6] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, J.M. Corcuera and M. Podolskij. Limit theorems for functionals of higher order differences of Brownian semi-stationary processes. In Prokhorov and Contemporary Probability Theory (pp. 69-96). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [7] O.E. Barndroff-Nielsen and N. Shephard. Econometric analysis of realized volatility and its use in estimating stochastic volatility models. J. Roy. Statist. Soc., Ser. B, 64, 253-280, 2002.
- [8] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen and N. Shephard. Realized power variation and stochastic volatility models. Bernoulli, 9, 243-265, 2003.
- [9] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen and N. Shephard. Power and bipower variation with stochastic volatility and jumps (with discussion). Journal of Financial Econometrics, 2, 1-48, 2004.
- [10] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen and N. Shephard. Econometric analysis of realised covariation: high frequency covariance, regression and correlation in financial economics. Econometrica, 72, 885-925, 2004.
- [11] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, S.E. Graversen, J. Jacod, M. Podolskij and N. Shephard. A central limit theorem for realised power and bipower variations of continuous semimartingales. In Y. Kabanov, R. Liptser and J. Stoyanov (eds), From Stochastic Analysis to Mathematical Finance: The Shiryaev Festschrift. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [12] J.M. Corcuera, D. Nualart and M. Podolskij. Asymptotics of weighted random sums. Communications in Applied and Industrial Mathematics, ISSN 2038-0909, 2014.
- [13] J.M. Corcuera, D. Nualart and J.H.C. Woerner. Power variation of some integral fractional processes. Bernoulli, 12(4), 713-735,2006.
- [14] Y. Hu, D. Nualart and H. Zhou. Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck processes of general Hurst parameter. Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes, 22, 111-142, 2019.

- [15] P. Lei and D. Nualart, A decomposition of the bi-fractional Brownian motion and some applications. Statistics and Probability Letters, 79, 619-624, 2009.
- [16] J.R León and C. Ludeña, Stable convergence of certain functionals of diffusions driven by fBm. Stochastic Analysis and Applications, 22, 289-314, 2004.
- [17] A. Renyi, On stable sequences of events, Sankhyā: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A, 25, 293-302, 1963.
- [18] F. Russo and C. A. Tudor, On the bifractional Brownian motion. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 116(6), 830-856, 2006.
- [19] M.S Taqqu, Law of the iterated logarithm for sums of non-linear functions of Gaussian variables that exhibit a long range dependence. Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete, 40, 203-238, 1977.
- [20] C. A. Tudor, Analysis of Variations for Self-similar Processes: A Stochastic Calculus Approach, Springer, 2013.
- [21] L. Viitasaari, Sufficient and Necessary Conditions for Limit Theorems for Quadratic Variations of Gaussian Sequences. Probability Surveys, 16, 62-98, 2019.
- [22] J.H.C Woerner, Variational sums and power variation: a unifying approach to model selection and estimation in semimartingale models. Statistics & Decisions, 21, 47-68, 2003.
- [23] J.H.C Woerner, Estimation of integrated Volatility in Stochastic Volatility Models. Appl. Stochastic Models Business Industry, 21, 27-44, 2005.