

Affine Hecke algebras of type D and generalisations of quiver Hecke algebras

L. Poulain d'Andecy and R. Walker

Abstract

We define and study cyclotomic quotients of affine Hecke algebras of type D . We establish an isomorphism between (direct sums of blocks of) these cyclotomic quotients and a generalisation of cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras which are a family of \mathbb{Z} -graded algebras closely related to algebras introduced by Shan, Varagnolo and Vasserot. To achieve this, we first complete the study of cyclotomic quotients of affine Hecke algebras of type B by considering the situation when a deformation parameter p squares to 1. We then relate the two generalisations of quiver Hecke algebras showing that the one for type D can be seen as fixed point subalgebras of their analogues for type B , and we carefully study how far this relation remains valid for cyclotomic quotients. This allows us to obtain the desired isomorphism. This isomorphism completes the family of isomorphisms relating affine Hecke algebras of classical types to (generalisations of) quiver Hecke algebras, originating in the famous result of Brundan and Kleshchev for the type A .

1 Introduction

Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier (KLR) algebras, often referred to as quiver Hecke algebras, are a family of graded algebras which have been introduced by Khovanov, Lauda [5] and Rouquier [9] for the purposes of categorifying quantised enveloping algebras. Namely, the finite-dimensional graded projective modules over KLR algebras categorify the negative half of the quantised universal enveloping algebra of the Kac-Moody algebra associated to some Cartan datum.

There exist certain finite-dimensional quotients of KLR algebras, called cyclotomic KLR algebras, which categorify an irreducible highest weight module over a quantum Kac-Moody algebra. Brundan and Kleshchev [1] proved that cyclotomic KLR algebras (for type A quivers) are isomorphic to blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras, which are certain finite-dimensional quotients of affine Hecke algebras of type A . Consequently there is a non-trivial \mathbb{Z} -grading on the cyclotomic Hecke algebras since cyclotomic KLR algebras are naturally \mathbb{Z} -graded. Furthermore, the deformation parameter does not appear in the defining relations of the cyclotomic KLR algebra. Rather, the deformation parameter appears only in the definition of the quiver which is fixed once the order of the deformation parameter is known.

In our previous work [7] we defined and studied cyclotomic quotients of affine Hecke algebras of type B by generalising the isomorphism of Brundan and Kleshchev. We first gave a family of isomorphisms between blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and cyclotomic KLR algebras. We then extended one of these isomorphisms to construct an explicit isomorphism between cyclotomic quotients of affine Hecke algebras of type B and quotients of a family of \mathbb{Z} -graded algebras defined by generators and relations depending upon an underlying quiver. In fact this family of algebras is a generalisation of the algebras introduced by Varagnolo-Vasserot in [11] where they considered representations of affine Hecke algebras of type B such that certain elements do not have eigenvalues ± 1 . We did not impose this restriction in [7].

The main purpose of this paper is to extend these results within the framework of affine Hecke algebras of type D . In order to do this, we use the well-known connection with the affine Hecke algebra of type

The authors thank Salim Rostam for interesting discussions and useful comments about this work. The first author is supported by *Agence Nationale de la Recherche* through the JCJC project ANR-18-CE40-0001. The second author was supported in part by the European Research Council in the framework of The European Union H2020 with the Grant ERC 647353 QAffine.

B . The affine Hecke algebra of type B has two deformation parameters, denoted p and q , and when one deformation parameter (p in our notation) squares to 1, it admits the affine Hecke algebra of type D as a fixed point subalgebra with respect to a certain involutive algebra automorphism. By restricting this automorphism to cyclotomic quotients, we naturally obtain cyclotomic quotients for type D , extending the family of cyclotomic quotients of affine Hecke algebras of other types (namely, types A and B). We note that, by their definition, understanding the representation theory of all these cyclotomic quotients allows one to understand all finite-dimensional representations of affine Hecke algebras of type D .

However, in [7], we did not consider affine Hecke algebras of type B with $p^2 = 1$. So our first task in this paper is to construct cyclotomic quotients of affine Hecke algebras of type B when the deformation parameter p satisfies $p^2 = 1$ so that, together with the work in [7], we have considered all cyclotomic quotients of affine Hecke algebras of type B . We establish in this paper an isomorphism between these cyclotomic quotients (when $p^2 = 1$) and certain generalisations of cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras for type B . We emphasise that one cannot simply take $p^2 = 1$ in the constructions of [7]. Indeed the resulting generalisation of quiver Hecke algebras of type B needed when $p^2 = 1$ turns out to be different than the one obtained when $p^2 \neq 1$.

Fortunately, as one could expect, the situation is somewhat simpler when $p^2 = 1$ and we can use some of the results in [7] and provide the necessary modifications while avoiding a full repetition of the technical details in [7]. We note that, as in [7], the main ideas behind these isomorphisms are inspired by the original construction of Brundan and Kleshchev for the type A setting [1]. Furthermore, one could ask for a definition of a family of algebras placed between the two generalisations of quiver Hecke algebras of type B (when $p^2 = 1$ and when $p^2 \neq 1$). This is the subject of another paper [6].

We then look for a suitable algebra to play the role of the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra for type D . This is found to be a family of \mathbb{Z} -graded algebras introduced by Shan, Varagnolo and Vasserot in [10] which they used to prove a conjecture of Kashiwara and Miemietz [4] concerning the category of representations of affine Hecke algebras of type D . We note that in the work of both Kashiwara–Miemietz [4] and Shan–Varagnolo–Vasserot [10], a restriction was imposed on the representations of the affine Hecke algebras of type D . This restriction stated that ± 1 does not appear in the set of eigenvalues of certain elements (or equivalently, ± 1 does not appear in the vertex set of the quiver defining the algebras used by Shan, Varagnolo and Vasserot). Here we work with no restriction on the considered representations and thus we have to generalise the definition of the algebras of Shan, Varagnolo and Vasserot in order to include the possibility of ± 1 in the set of eigenvalues. We remark here that our convention of the roles of the deformation parameters p and q in this paper is the opposite convention to that used by Shan–Varagnolo–Vasserot in [11] and Walker in [12].

Once the generalisation of quiver Hecke algebras for type D is introduced, we prove our main result in two steps. First, we establish a connection with the quiver Hecke algebra for type B when $p^2 = 1$. More precisely, we show that it is isomorphic to the fixed point subalgebra of the quiver Hecke algebra for type B (for a certain involutive automorphism) when $p^2 = 1$. Then we are finally able to achieve our original objective, namely to show that cyclotomic quotients of affine Hecke algebras of type D are isomorphic to our generalisation of cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras for type D .

The quiver Hecke algebras for type D (and their cyclotomic versions) are naturally \mathbb{Z} -graded. Thus, the result in this paper provides a non-trivial \mathbb{Z} -grading on the cyclotomic quotients of affine Hecke algebras of type D . It suggests in particular that one can study a graded representation theory for the affine Hecke algebra of type D .

Moreover, from the definitions, the quiver Hecke algebras for type D depend only on the following information: a quiver and an involution on the set of vertices (the vertex sets are subsets of a field K and the involution will be of the form $i \mapsto i^{-1}$). This has the following immediate consequence. If q is a root of unity, let e be the smallest non-zero positive integer such that $q^{2e} = 1$; if q is not a root of unity, set $e := \infty$. As a direct consequence of our isomorphism theorem, cyclotomic quotients of affine Hecke algebras of type D depend on q only through e .

For the study of irreducible representations of the affine Hecke algebra of type D , it is noted in [4] that it is enough to consider certain cyclotomic quotients. Using our isomorphism, this can be formulated equivalently by saying that it is enough to consider quivers with vertex sets of the form $I_\lambda := \{\lambda^\epsilon q^{2l} \mid \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

for $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0\}$. However, for a complete study of the category of representations of $\widehat{H}(D_n)$, one needs a priori to consider arbitrary cyclotomic quotients, even if one can expect to reduce everything to the situation $l = 1$, in the spirit of the Dipper–Mathas Morita equivalence for type A [2]. This is the subject of [6].

Assume in this paragraph that the vertex set of the quiver is of the form $I_\lambda := \{\lambda^\epsilon q^{2l} \mid \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Then one sees easily from the definition of the quiver Hecke algebra for type D that it is enough to consider one of the following three situations for the choice of λ :

$$\text{(a)} \ \lambda = 1 \quad \text{(b)} \ \lambda = q \quad \text{(c)} \ \lambda \notin \{\pm q^{\mathbb{Z}}\}.$$

Situation (c) corresponds to a quiver with two disconnected components exchanged by the involution $i \mapsto i^{-1}$. All $\lambda \notin \{\pm q^{\mathbb{Z}}\}$ then result in isomorphic algebras.

If q is an odd root of unity then Case (b) reduces to Case (a). If q is not an odd root of unity then situation (b) corresponds to a quiver with a single connected component, stable under the involution and with no fixed point. Finally, Case (a) corresponds to a quiver with a single connected component which is stable under the involution and with at least one fixed point.

Notation. We work with a field K of characteristic different from 2, and we fix $p, q \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $p^2 = 1$.

Organisation of the paper. In Section 2 we recall the definitions of the affine Hecke algebras $\widehat{H}(B_n)$, $\widehat{H}(D_n)$. We also recall the automorphism of $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ which yields $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ as a fixed point subalgebra when $p^2 = 1$. In Section 3 we give the definition of the algebras V_λ^β , W_λ^δ and an automorphism of V_λ^β . We give a basis of the fixed point subalgebra of V_λ^β with respect to this automorphism and prove that this fixed point subalgebra is isomorphic to W_λ^β . The definition of the cyclotomic quotients of $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ and of V_λ^n are given in Section 4 together with the proof that these quotients are isomorphic. In Section 5 we define the cyclotomic quotients of $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ and of W_λ^n . We prove that idempotent subalgebras of cyclotomic quotients of $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ are isomorphic to cyclotomic quotients of W_λ^β .

2 Affine Hecke algebras of types B and D

2.1 Root datum

Let $n \geq 1$. Let $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ be an orthonormal basis of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) and let

$$L := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_i, \quad \alpha_0 = 2\varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i = \varepsilon_{i+1} - \varepsilon_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

The set $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0, \dots, n-1}$ is a set of simple roots for the root system $R = \{\pm 2\varepsilon_i, \pm \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j\}_{i,j=1, \dots, n}$ of type B . The lattice L is the weight lattice of the root system R .

If $n \geq 2$, let

$$\alpha'_0 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'_i = \varepsilon_{i+1} - \varepsilon_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

The set $\{\alpha'_i\}_{i=0, \dots, n-1}$ is a set of simple roots for the root system $R' = \{\pm \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j, i \neq j\}_{i,j=1, \dots, n}$ of type D .

Remark 2.1. The lattice L is a lattice strictly included between the root lattice and the weight lattice of the root system R' . \triangle

For a root $\alpha \in R$, we identify its coroot α^\vee with the following element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$\alpha^\vee : x \mapsto 2 \frac{(\alpha, x)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}.$$

To each root $\alpha \in R$ is associated the element $r_\alpha \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L)$ defined by

$$r_\alpha(x) := x - \alpha^\vee(x)\alpha \quad \text{for any } x \in L. \tag{2.1}$$

The group generated by r_α , $\alpha \in R$, is identified with the Weyl group $W(B_n)$ associated to R . Let r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} be the elements of $W(B_n)$ corresponding to the simple roots $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$.

The group generated by r_α , $\alpha \in R'$, is identified with the Weyl group $W(D_n)$ associated to R' . Let s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} be the elements of $W(D_n)$ corresponding to the simple roots $\alpha'_0, \alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{n-1}$.

It is a classical fact that the following map

$$s_0 \mapsto r_0 r_1 r_0, \quad s_i \mapsto r_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

gives an isomorphism between $W(D_n)$ and the subgroup of $W(B_n)$ generated by $r_0 r_1 r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}$. Equivalently, the subgroup $W(D_n)$ can also be described as the kernel of the character of $W(B_n)$ defined by $r_0 \mapsto -1$ and $r_i \mapsto 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

2.2 Affine Hecke algebra of type B

We set $q_0 := p$ and $q_i := q$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ (only in this subsection do we not need the assumption that $p^2 = 1$). We denote by $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ the affine Hecke algebra corresponding to the root datum of type B associated to the weight lattice L . The algebra $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ is the K -algebra generated by elements

$$g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad X^x, \quad x \in L.$$

Defining relations are $X^0 = 1$, $X^x X^{x'} = X^{x+x'}$ for any $x, x' \in L$, and

$$g_i^2 = (q_i - q_i^{-1})g_i + 1 \quad \text{for } i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$g_0 g_1 g_0 g_1 = g_1 g_0 g_1 g_0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$g_i g_{i+1} g_i = g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$g_i g_j = g_j g_i \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \text{ such that } |i - j| > 1. \quad (2.5)$$

together with

$$g_i X^x - X^{r_i(x)} g_i = (q_i - q_i^{-1}) \frac{X^x - X^{r_i(x)}}{1 - X^{-\alpha_i}}, \quad (2.6)$$

for any $x \in L$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Note that the right hand side of (2.6) belongs to the subalgebra generated by elements X^y , $y \in L$, since there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_i(x) = x - k\alpha_i$.

Let $X_i := X^{\varepsilon_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, so that $\{X^x\}_{x \in L} = \{X_1^{a_1} \dots X_n^{a_n}\}_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Then the algebra $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ is generated by elements

$$g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1},$$

and it is easy to check that a set of defining relations is (2.2)–(2.5) together with

$$X_i X_j = X_j X_i \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$g_0 X_1^{-1} g_0 = X_1, \quad (2.8)$$

$$g_i X_i g_i = X_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$g_i X_j = X_j g_i \quad \text{for } i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \text{ and } j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that } j \neq i, i+1. \quad (2.10)$$

For any element $w \in W(B_n)$, let $w = r_{a_1} \dots r_{a_k}$ be a reduced expression for w in terms of the generators r_0, \dots, r_{n-1} , and define $g_w := g_{a_1} \dots g_{a_k} \in \widehat{H}(B_n)$. This definition does not depend on the reduced expression for w . It is a standard fact that the following sets of elements are K -bases of $\widehat{H}(B_n)$:

$$\{X^x g_w\}_{x \in L, w \in W(B_n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \{g_w X^x\}_{x \in L, w \in W(B_n)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Remark 2.2. The Weyl group $W(B_n)$ is generated by r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} and a set of defining relations is (2.2)–(2.5) with g_i replaced by r_i and q_i (that is, p and q) replaced by 1. Relations (2.3)–(2.5) are the braid relations of type B . \triangle

2.3 Affine Hecke algebra of type D

Let $n \geq 2$. We denote by $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ the affine Hecke algebra corresponding to the root datum of type D associated to the lattice L . The algebra $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ is the K -algebra generated by elements

$$T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad X^x, \quad x \in L.$$

Defining relations are $X^0 = 1$, $X^x X^{x'} = X^{x+x'}$ for any $x, x' \in L$, and

$$T_i^2 = (q - q^{-1})T_i + 1 \quad \text{for } i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$T_0 T_2 T_0 = T_2 T_0 T_2, \quad (2.13)$$

$$T_0 T_j = T_j T_0 \quad \text{for } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{2\}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \text{ such that } |i - j| > 1. \quad (2.16)$$

together with

$$T_i X^x - X^{s_i(x)} T_i = (q - q^{-1}) \frac{X^x - X^{s_i(x)}}{1 - X^{-\alpha'_i}}, \quad (2.17)$$

for any $x \in L$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Note that the right hand side of (2.6) belongs to the subalgebra generated by elements X^y , $y \in L$, since there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $s_i(x) = x - k\alpha'_i$.

Again, let $X_i := X^{\varepsilon_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, so that $\{X^x\}_{x \in L} = \{X_1^{a_1} \dots X_n^{a_n}\}_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Then the algebra $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ is generated by elements

$$T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}, X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1},$$

and it is easy to check that a set of defining relations is (2.12)–(2.16) together with

$$X_i X_j = X_j X_i \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad (2.18)$$

$$T_0 X_1^{-1} T_0 = X_2, \quad (2.19)$$

$$T_0 X_j = X_j T_0 \quad \text{for } j \in \{3, \dots, n-1\}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$T_i X_i T_i = X_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$T_i X_j = X_j T_i \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \text{ and } j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that } j \neq i, i+1. \quad (2.22)$$

For any element $w \in W(D_n)$, let $w = s_{a_1} \dots s_{a_k}$ be a reduced expression for w in terms of the generators s_0, \dots, s_{n-1} , and define $T_w := T_{a_1} \dots T_{a_k} \in \widehat{H}(D_n)$. This definition does not depend on the reduced expression for w . It is a standard fact that the following sets of elements are K -bases of $\widehat{H}(D_n)$:

$$\{X^x T_w\}_{x \in L, w \in W(D_n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \{T_w X^x\}_{x \in L, w \in W(D_n)}. \quad (2.23)$$

Remark 2.3. The Weyl group $W(D_n)$ is generated by s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} and a set of defining relations is (2.12)–(2.16) with T_i replaced by s_i and q replaced by 1. Relations (2.13)–(2.16) are the braid relations of type D . \triangle

Fixed point subalgebra. Recall that $p^2 = 1$. With this assumption, the following map

$$T_0 \mapsto g_0 g_1 g_0, \quad T_i \mapsto g_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad X^x \mapsto X^x,$$

provides an isomorphism between $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ and the subalgebra of $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ generated by $g_0 g_1 g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$ and X^x (briefly, this standard statement can be checked by a direct verification of the homomorphism property and a comparison of the bases (2.11) and (2.23)). The subalgebra of $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ isomorphic to $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ is the linear span of the following elements:

$$\{X^x g_w\}_{x \in L, w \in W(D_n)},$$

where we identified $W(D_n)$ as a subgroup of $W(B_n)$ by $s_0 \mapsto r_0 r_1 r_0$ and $s_i \mapsto r_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Let η be the involutive automorphism of $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ defined by

$$\eta : \quad g_0 \mapsto -g_0, \quad g_i \mapsto g_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad X^x \mapsto X^x. \quad (2.24)$$

We denote $\widehat{H}(B_n)^\eta := \{x \in \widehat{H}(B_n) \mid \eta(x) = x\}$. Then it is straightforward to check that the subalgebra isomorphic to $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ inside $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ is $\widehat{H}(B_n)^\eta$ (this follows also immediately from the corresponding standard statement for finite Hecke algebras, which is a particular example of the description of cyclotomic Hecke algebras of $G(d, p, n)$ as fixed point subalgebras of the cyclotomic Hecke algebras of type $G(d, 1, n)$ [8]; here we take $d = p = 2$).

3 Generalizations of quiver Hecke algebras

For $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0\}$, we set $I_\lambda := \{\lambda^\epsilon q^{2l} \mid \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Fix an l -tuple $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ of elements of $K \setminus \{0\}$ such that we have $I_{\lambda_a} \cap I_{\lambda_b} = \emptyset$ if $a \neq b$. Let

$$S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} := I_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup I_{\lambda_l}.$$

Let $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ be the quiver whose vertices are indexed by $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ (and we will identify a vertex with its index) and whose arrows are given as follows. For every $i \in S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ there is an arrow with origin i and target $q^2 i$.

We will use the following notation, for $i, j \in S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} i &\leftrightarrow j && \text{when } i \neq j \text{ and } j \notin \{q^2 i, q^{-2} i\}, \\ i &\rightarrow j && \text{when } j = q^2 i \neq q^{-2} i, \\ i &\leftarrow j && \text{when } j = q^{-2} i \neq q^2 i, \\ i &\leftrightarrow j && \text{when } j = q^2 i = q^{-2} i. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $i \neq j$ in all these cases (recall that $q^2 \neq 1$) and that the fourth case means that $q^2 = -1$ and $i = -j$.

We denote by $|i \rightarrow j|$ the number of arrows in $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ which have origin i and target j .

3.1 The generalisation of quiver Hecke algebra for type B with $p^2 = 1$

The formulas

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 \cdot (i_1, \dots, i_n) &= (i_1^{-1}, i_2, \dots, i_n) \\ r_k \cdot (\dots, i_k, i_{k+1}, \dots) &= (\dots, i_{k+1}, i_k, \dots) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

provide an action of the Weyl group $W(B_n)$ on $(S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})^n$. Let β be a finite subset of $(S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})^n$ stable under this action of $W(B_n)$. In other words, let β be a finite union of orbits in $(S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})^n$ for this action of $W(B_n)$.

Definition 3.1. The algebra $V_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^\beta$ is the unital K -algebra generated by elements

$$\{\psi_a\}_{0 \leq a \leq n-1} \cup \{y_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n} \cup \{e(\mathbf{i})\}_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta}$$

which satisfy the following defining relations.

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} e(\mathbf{i}) = 1, \quad e(\mathbf{i})e(\mathbf{j}) = \delta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} e(\mathbf{i}), \quad \forall \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \beta, \quad (3.1)$$

$$y_i y_j = y_j y_i, \quad y_i e(\mathbf{i}) = e(\mathbf{i}) y_i, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{i} \in \beta, \quad (3.2)$$

and, for $a, b \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with $b \neq 0$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and for $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \beta$,

$$\psi_a e(\mathbf{i}) = e(r_a(\mathbf{i})) \psi_a, \quad (3.3)$$

$$(\psi_b y_j - y_{\pi_b(j)} \psi_b) e(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} -e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } j = b, i_b = i_{b+1}, \\ e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } j = b+1, i_b = i_{b+1}, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

where π_b is the transposition of b and $b+1$ acting on $\{1, \dots, n\}$;

$$\psi_a \psi_b = \psi_b \psi_a \quad \text{if } |a - b| > 1, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\psi_b^2 e(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i_b = i_{b+1}, \\ e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_b \leftrightarrow i_{b+1}, \\ (y_{b+1} - y_b) e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_b \rightarrow i_{b+1}, \\ (y_b - y_{b+1}) e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_b \leftarrow i_{b+1}, \\ (y_{b+1} - y_b)(y_b - y_{b+1}) e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_b \leftrightarrow i_{b+1}, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

$$(\psi_b \psi_{b+1} \psi_b - \psi_{b+1} \psi_b \psi_{b+1}) e(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} e(\mathbf{i}) & i_b = i_{b+2} \rightarrow i_{b+1} \\ -e(\mathbf{i}) & i_b = i_{b+2} \leftarrow i_{b+1} \\ (y_{b+2} - 2y_{b+1} + y_b) e(\mathbf{i}) & i_b = i_{b+2} \leftrightarrow i_{b+1}, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\psi_0 y_1 + y_1 \psi_0 = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\psi_0 y_j = y_j \psi_0 \quad \text{if } j > 1, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\psi_0^2 = 1, \quad (3.10)$$

$$((\psi_0 \psi_1)^2 - (\psi_1 \psi_0)^2) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

It is straightforward to check that the algebra V_λ^β admits a \mathbb{Z} -grading given as follows,

$$\deg(e(\mathbf{i})) = 0,$$

$$\deg(y_j) = 2,$$

$$\deg(\psi_0) = 0,$$

$$\deg(\psi_b e(\mathbf{i})) = \begin{cases} |i_b \rightarrow i_{b+1}| + |i_b \leftarrow i_{b+1}| & \text{if } i_b \neq i_{b+1}, \\ -2 & \text{if } i_b = i_{b+1}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\beta = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2 \dots \cup \beta_k$ be the union of orbits β_1, \dots, β_k in $(S_\lambda)^n$ for the action of $W(B_n)$. For any $a \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the element

$$e(\beta_a) := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta_a} e(\mathbf{i})$$

is a central idempotent in V_λ^β using Relations (3.1)–(3.3). Moreover, again using (3.1), we have that

$$e(\beta_a) e(\beta_b) = \delta_{a,b} e(\beta_a) \quad \text{and} \quad e(\beta_1) + \dots + e(\beta_k) = 1.$$

Therefore the algebra V_λ^β decomposes as a direct sum of ideals:

$$V_\lambda^\beta = e(\beta_1) V_\lambda^\beta \oplus \dots \oplus e(\beta_k) V_\lambda^\beta.$$

Moreover, for $a \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the subalgebra $e(\beta_a) V_\lambda^\beta$ is isomorphic to the quotient of V_λ^β by the relation $e(\beta_a) = 1$. Multiplying by different idempotents $e(\mathbf{i})$, we see that this relation in V_λ^β is equivalent to the set of relations $e(\mathbf{i}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{i} \notin \beta_a$. A direct inspection of the defining relations (3.1)–(3.11) shows finally that the subalgebra $e(\beta_a) V_\lambda^\beta$ is isomorphic to $V_{\lambda^{\beta_a}}^{\beta_a}$, and we conclude that:

$$V_\lambda^\beta \cong V_{\lambda^{\beta_1}}^{\beta_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\lambda^{\beta_k}}^{\beta_k}. \quad (3.12)$$

A polynomial representation of V_λ^β . There is an action of $W(B_n)$ by algebra automorphisms on a polynomial algebra $K[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ given by:

$$\begin{aligned} r_k Y_l &= Y_{\pi_k(l)} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k < n, \\ r_0 Y_l &= \begin{cases} -Y_l & \text{if } l = 1 \\ Y_l & \text{if } l \neq 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where π_k is the transposition of k and $k+1$ acting on $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

We define the following direct sums of polynomial algebras:

$$\text{Pol}_\beta := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} \text{Pol}_{\mathbf{i}}, \quad \text{where } \text{Pol}_{\mathbf{i}} := K[Y_1, \dots, Y_n] \text{ for each } \mathbf{i} \in \beta,$$

and, for $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$, we denote $e'(\mathbf{i})$ the idempotent of Pol_β corresponding to the summand $\text{Pol}_{\mathbf{i}}$ in the direct sum above (in other words, $e'(\mathbf{i})$ is 1 in the summand $\text{Pol}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and 0 in every other).

Define a map from the set of generators of V_λ^β to $\text{End}_K(\text{Pol}_\beta)$ as follows (where $f \in K[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ and $a \cdot -$ denotes multiplication on the left by a):

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mathbf{i}) &\mapsto e'(\mathbf{i}) \cdot - \\ y_k &\mapsto Y_k \cdot - \\ \psi_k &\mapsto \left(f e'(\mathbf{i}) \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{r_k f - f}{Y_k - Y_{k+1}} e'(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_k = i_{k+1} \\ (r_k f) e'(r_k(\mathbf{i})) & \text{if } i_k \rightarrow i_{k+1} \text{ or } i_k \leftrightarrow i_{k+1} \\ (Y_{k+1} - Y_k)(r_k f) e'(r_k(\mathbf{i})) & \text{if } i_k \leftarrow i_{k+1} \text{ or } i \leftrightarrow i_{k+1} \end{cases} \right) \\ \psi_0 &\mapsto \left(f e'(\mathbf{i}) \mapsto (r_0 f) e'(r_0(\mathbf{i})) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that we can extend this map to an algebra morphism

$$R : V_\lambda^\beta \longrightarrow \text{End}_K(\text{Pol}_\beta)$$

We must check the defining relations of V_λ^β are preserved under R . Relations (3.1) - (3.7) have been verified previously (and are independent of whether or not some $i_k^2 = 1$), see for example [11], Proposition 7.4 or [5], Proposition 2.3. It remains to check Relations (3.8) - (3.11).

Relations (3.8) - (3.10) are immediately seen to be preserved. For Relation (3.11) we define, for any $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$,

$$h(\mathbf{i}) := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i_1 = i_2 \\ |i_2 \rightarrow i_1| & \text{if } i_1 \neq i_2, \end{cases}$$

We first note that $R(\psi_1)$ acts on $\text{Pol}_{\mathbf{i}}$ by

$$R(\psi_1)(f e'(\mathbf{i})) = (Y_2 - Y_1)^{h(\mathbf{i})} (r_1 - \delta_{i_1, i_2}) e'(r_1(\mathbf{i})),$$

and therefore, we have that the action of $R(\psi_1)R(\psi_0)R(\psi_1)R(\psi_0)$ on $f e'(\mathbf{i})$ is (here and below we omit the composition symbol)

$$\begin{aligned} &(Y_2 - Y_1)^{h(r_0 r_1 r_0(\mathbf{i}))} (r_1 - \delta_{i_2^{-1}, i_1^{-1}})(r_0)(Y_2 - Y_1)^{h(r_0(\mathbf{i}))} (r_1 - \delta_{i_1^{-1}, i_2})(r_0)(f) e'(r_1 r_0 r_1 r_0(\mathbf{i})) \\ &= (Y_2 - Y_1)^{h(r_0 r_1 r_0(\mathbf{i}))} (Y_1 + Y_2)^{h(s_0(\mathbf{i}))} A(f) e'(r_1 r_0 r_1 r_0(\mathbf{i})) \end{aligned}$$

where $A = (r_1 - \delta_{i_2^{-1}, i_1^{-1}})(r_0)(r_1 - \delta_{i_1^{-1}, i_2})(r_0)$. Similarly, the action of $R(\psi_0)R(\psi_1)R(\psi_0)R(\psi_1)$ on $f e'(\mathbf{i})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (r_0)(Y_2 - Y_1)^{h(r_0 r_1(\mathbf{i}))} (r_1 - \delta_{i_2^{-1}, i_1})(r_0)(Y_2 - Y_1)^{h(\mathbf{i})} (r_1 - \delta_{i_1, i_2})(f) e'(r_0 r_1 r_0 r_1(\mathbf{i})) \\ &= (Y_1 + Y_2)^{h(r_0 r_1(\mathbf{i}))} (Y_2 - Y_1)^{h(\mathbf{i})} B(f) e'(r_0 r_1 r_0 r_1(\mathbf{i})) \end{aligned}$$

where $B = (r_0)(r_1 - \delta_{i_2^{-1}, i_1})(r_0)(r_1 - \delta_{i_1, i_2})$.

Next, we note that

$$h(r_0 r_1 r_0(\mathbf{i})) = h(\mathbf{i}) \text{ and } h(r_0 r_1(\mathbf{i})) = h(r_0(\mathbf{i})).$$

Finally, to complete the verification of this relation, we check that $A - B = 0$ which is straightforward. Therefore we can extend R to a morphism of algebras. In other words, we have a polynomial representation of V_λ^β .

Bases of V_λ^β . For any $w \in W(B_n)$, fix a reduced expression $w = r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_l}$ in terms of the generators r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} of $W(B_n)$, and set $\psi_w := \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_l}$. Note that ψ_w depends on the chosen reduced expression for w .

Theorem 3.2 (Basis Theorem). *The set*

$$\mathcal{B} := \{y_1^{m_1} \dots y_n^{m_n} \psi_w e(\mathbf{i}) \mid w \in W(B_n), (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n, \mathbf{i} \in \beta\}$$

is a K -basis for V_λ^β .

After a direct inspection of the defining relations, an easy argument using the algebra anti-automorphism of V_λ^β sending each generator to itself shows that we could also put the $y_1^{m_1} \dots y_n^{m_n}$ to the right of ψ_w .

Proof. We adapt some of the arguments used in [11, Proposition 7.5]. Due to the conciseness of the proof there, we find it useful to give more details here.

From the defining relations of V_λ^β , for any product of the generators we can always move the ψ_j 's past the $e(\mathbf{i})$'s and y_k 's. So let us consider a word $\psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_l}$. If $r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_l}$ is a reduced expression, we can use the braid relations (see Section 2) to transform $r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_l}$ into the reduced expression chosen for $w = r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_l} \in W(B_n)$. In the algebra V_λ^β , this results in $\psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_l} = \psi_w + \dots$, where the correcting terms are $K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ -linear combinations of words with strictly less than l letters in $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1}$ multiplied by idempotents $e(\mathbf{i})$. Then we use induction on l to treat these words.

If $r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_l}$ is not reduced, we can use the braid relations to make an r_i^2 appear in the word. In the algebra V_λ^β , this results in $\psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_l} = \psi_{i'_1} \dots \psi_{i'_l}^2 + \dots$, where the correcting terms are as in the preceding case. Using the defining relations for ψ_i^2 , we again find ourselves in a situation where we can use the induction hypothesis on l .

So the set \mathcal{B} is a spanning set for V_λ^β and it remains to show that the elements in \mathcal{B} are linearly independent.

First we note that, for $w \in W(B_n)$, the action $f \mapsto wf$ on $K[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ extends to a field automorphism of $K(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. So by Dedekind's Lemma, the set of maps $\{f \mapsto wf\}_{w \in W(B_n)}$ are linearly independent over $K(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$.

Second, we note that we have, for $f \in K[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ and $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$,

$$R(\psi_w)(f e'(\mathbf{i})) = \left(P_{w, \mathbf{i}} \cdot wf + \sum_{w' < w} Q_{w', w, \mathbf{i}} \cdot w' f \right) e'(w(\mathbf{i})), \quad (3.14)$$

where $<$ denotes the Bruhat order of $W(B_n)$ and $P_{w, \mathbf{i}}, Q_{w', w, \mathbf{i}} \in K(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ with $P_{w, \mathbf{i}} \neq 0$ (this is easily checked by induction on the length of w).

Now, we have a decomposition of V_λ^β as a direct sum of its subspaces $e(\mathbf{j})V_\lambda^\beta e(\mathbf{i})$. From $e(\mathbf{j})\psi_w = \psi_w e(w^{-1}(\mathbf{j}))$, we note that $e(\mathbf{j})V_\lambda^\beta e(\mathbf{i})$ is spanned by the elements $y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_n^{m_n} \psi_w e(\mathbf{i})$ with w such that $w(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}$. So we have to prove that these elements are linearly independent.

We fix $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \beta$ and we assume that we have

$$\sum_{w, \mathbf{m}} c_{w, \mathbf{m}} y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_n^{m_n} \psi_w e(\mathbf{i}) = 0 \quad (\mathbf{m} \text{ denotes } (m_1, \dots, m_n)),$$

for some $c_{w, \mathbf{m}} \in K$, and where the sum is taken over $\mathbf{m} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$ and $w \in W(B_n)$ such that $w(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{j}$. Applying the map R and using (3.14), we obtain for any $f \in K[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$

$$\sum_{w, \mathbf{m}} c_{w, \mathbf{m}} Y_1^{m_1} \cdots Y_n^{m_n} \left(P_{w, \mathbf{i}} \cdot wf + \sum_{w' < w} Q_{w', w, \mathbf{i}} \cdot w'f \right) e'(\mathbf{j}) = 0.$$

Then let $M \subset W(B_n)$ be the set of maximal elements for the Bruhat order such that $c_{w, \mathbf{m}} \neq 0$ for some \mathbf{m} . From the $K(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ -linear independence of $\{f \mapsto wf\}_{w \in W(B_n)}$, we deduce that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}} c_{w, \mathbf{m}} Y_1^{m_1} \cdots Y_n^{m_n} P_{w, \mathbf{i}} = 0 \quad \forall w \in M,$$

and since $P_{w, \mathbf{i}} \neq 0$, we conclude that $c_{w, \mathbf{m}} = 0$ for any \mathbf{m} . This shows that M is empty and in turn that $c_{w, \mathbf{m}} = 0$ for any w and \mathbf{m} . \square

3.2 The generalisation of quiver Hecke algebra for type D

Let $n \geq 2$. The formulas

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 \cdot (i_1, \dots, i_n) &= (i_2^{-1}, i_1^{-1}, i_3, \dots, i_n) \\ s_k \cdot (\dots, i_k, i_{k+1}, \dots) &= (\dots, i_{k+1}, i_k, \dots) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

provide an action of the Weyl group $W(D_n)$ on $(S_\lambda)^n$. Let δ be a finite subset of $(S_\lambda)^n$ stable under this action of $W(D_n)$ or, in other words, let δ be a finite union of $W(D_n)$ -orbits in $(S_\lambda)^n$.

Definition 3.3. The algebra W_λ^δ is the unital K -algebra generated by elements

$$\{\Psi_a\}_{0 \leq a \leq n-1} \cup \{y_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n} \cup \{e(\mathbf{i})\}_{\mathbf{i} \in \delta}$$

which satisfy the following defining relations.

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \delta} e(\mathbf{i}) = 1, \quad e(\mathbf{i})e(\mathbf{j}) = \delta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} e(\mathbf{i}), \quad \forall \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \delta, \quad (3.15)$$

$$y_i y_j = y_j y_i, \quad y_i e(\mathbf{i}) = e(\mathbf{i}) y_i, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{i} \in \delta, \quad (3.16)$$

and, for $a, b, b' \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with $b, b' \neq 0$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and for $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \delta$,

$$\Psi_a e(\mathbf{i}) = e(s_a(\mathbf{i})) \Psi_a, \quad (3.17)$$

$$(\Psi_b y_j - y_{\pi_b(j)} \Psi_b) e(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} -e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } j = b, i_b = i_{b+1}, \\ e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } j = b+1, i_b = i_{b+1}, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

where π_b is the transposition of b and $b+1$ acting on $\{1, \dots, n\}$;

$$\Psi_b \Psi_{b'} = \Psi_{b'} \Psi_b \quad \text{if } |b' - b| > 1, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\Psi_b^2 e(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i_b = i_{b+1}, \\ e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_b \leftrightarrow i_{b+1}, \\ (y_{b+1} - y_b) e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_b \rightarrow i_{b+1}, \\ (y_b - y_{b+1}) e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_b \leftarrow i_{b+1}, \\ (y_{b+1} - y_b)(y_b - y_{b+1}) e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_b \leftrightarrow i_{b+1}, \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

$$(\Psi_b \Psi_{b+1} \Psi_b - \Psi_{b+1} \Psi_b \Psi_{b+1}) e(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} e(\mathbf{i}) & i_b = i_{b+2} \rightarrow i_{b+1}, \\ -e(\mathbf{i}) & i_b = i_{b+2} \leftarrow i_{b+1}, \\ (y_{b+2} - 2y_{b+1} + y_b) e(\mathbf{i}) & i_b = i_{b+2} \leftrightarrow i_{b+1}, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

$$\Psi_0 y_j e(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} (-y_{\pi_1(j)} \Psi_0 + 1) e(\mathbf{i}) & j \in \{1, 2\} \text{ and } i_1^{-1} = i_2, \\ -y_{\pi_1(j)} \Psi_0 e(\mathbf{i}) & j \in \{1, 2\} \text{ and } i_1^{-1} \neq i_2, \\ y_j \Psi_0 e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

where π_1 is the transposition of 1 and 2;

$$\Psi_0 \Psi_b = \Psi_b \Psi_0 \quad \text{if } b = 1 \text{ or } b > 2, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\Psi_0^2 e(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i_1^{-1} = i_2, \\ e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_1^{-1} \leftrightarrow i_2, \\ (y_1 + y_2) e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_1^{-1} \rightarrow i_2, \\ -(y_1 + y_2) e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_1^{-1} \leftarrow i_2, \\ -(y_1 + y_2)^2 e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_1^{-1} \leftrightarrow i_2, \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

$$(\Psi_0 \Psi_2 \Psi_0 - \Psi_2 \Psi_0 \Psi_2) e(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} e(\mathbf{i}) & i_1^{-1} = i_3 \rightarrow i_2, \\ -e(\mathbf{i}) & i_1^{-1} = i_3 \leftarrow i_2, \\ (y_3 - 2y_2 - y_1) e(\mathbf{i}) & i_1^{-1} = i_3 \leftrightarrow i_2, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (3.25)$$

It is straightforward to check that the algebra W_{λ}^{δ} admits a \mathbb{Z} -grading given by

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(e(\mathbf{i})) &= 0, \\ \deg(y_j) &= 2, \\ \deg(\Psi_0 e(\mathbf{i})) &= \begin{cases} |i_1^{-1} \rightarrow i_2| + |i_1^{-1} \leftarrow i_2| & \text{if } i_1^{-1} \neq i_2, \\ -2 & \text{if } i_1^{-1} = i_2, \end{cases} \\ \deg(\Psi_b e(\mathbf{i})) &= \begin{cases} |i_b \rightarrow i_{b+1}| + |i_b \leftarrow i_{b+1}| & \text{if } i_b \neq i_{b+1}, \\ -2 & \text{if } i_b = i_{b+1}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If $\delta = \delta_1 \cup \delta_2 \dots \cup \delta_k$ is the union of orbits $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ in $(S_{\lambda})^n$ for the action of $W(D_n)$ we have, exactly as we obtained (3.12) above, that

$$W_{\lambda}^{\delta} \cong W_{\lambda}^{\delta_1} \oplus \dots \oplus W_{\lambda}^{\delta_k}. \quad (3.26)$$

Remarks 3.4. • Let β be an orbit in $(S_{\lambda})^n$ for the action of $W(B_n)$. Then β is invariant under the action of $W(D_n)$ and decomposes into one or two $W(D_n)$ -orbits. Assume that β is the orbit of an element $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in (S_{\lambda})^n$. Then we have

$$\beta = \{ (i_{\pi(1)}^{\epsilon_1}, \dots, i_{\pi(n)}^{\epsilon_n}) \mid \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \in \{\pm 1\} \},$$

where \mathfrak{S}_n is the symmetric group on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Now set

$$\beta_+ := \{ (i_{\pi(1)}^{\epsilon_1}, \dots, i_{\pi(n)}^{\epsilon_n}) \mid \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \in \{\pm 1\} \text{ with an even number of } -1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_- := \beta \setminus \beta_+.$$

Then β_+ is the orbit of (i_1, \dots, i_n) under the action of $W(D_n)$. We have two possibilities:

– If $i_a^2 \neq 1$ for any $a = 1, \dots, n$, then $\beta = \beta_+ \sqcup \beta_-$ decomposes as two different $W(D_n)$ -orbits of the same size $|\beta|/2$.

– If there is $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i_a^2 = 1$, then $\beta = \beta_+$ is a single $W(D_n)$ -orbit (and β_- is empty).

• We recall that there is a bijection between the orbits of $W(B_n)$ in $(S_\lambda)^n$ and a certain subset of dimension vectors for the quiver Γ_λ of height n [7, Remark 2.5]. More precisely, a dimension vector for Γ_λ is a map ν from S_λ to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with finite support, and the orbits of $W(B_n)$ in $(S_\lambda)^n$ are in bijection with the set

$$\left\{ \nu : S_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \nu(i^{-1}) = \nu(i) \quad \forall i \in S_\lambda, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i \in S_\lambda \\ i^2 \neq 1}} \nu(i) + \sum_{\substack{i \in S_\lambda \\ i^2 = 1}} \nu(i) = n \right\}.$$

A bijection is given by associating to an orbit β of $W(B_n)$ in $(S_\lambda)^n$ the map ν such that, for any $i \in S_\lambda$, the number $\nu(i)$ is equal to the number of occurrences of i and i^{-1} in any element of β . Therefore, the $W(D_n)$ -orbits which are also $W(B_n)$ -orbits correspond to dimension vectors ν (as above) which are non-zero on at least one element i such that $i^2 = 1$ while pairs of different $W(D_n)$ -orbits, the union of which form a single $W(B_n)$ -orbit, correspond to dimension vectors being zero on the set of elements i such that $i^2 = 1$. \triangle

3.3 The algebra W_λ^β and a subalgebra of fixed points of V_λ^β

Let $n \geq 2$ and let β be a finite union of $W(B_n)$ -orbits in $(S_\lambda)^n$. The set β is also a finite union of $W(D_n)$ -orbits in $(S_\lambda)^n$, so that both algebras V_λ^β and W_λ^β are defined. From the definition of the algebra V_λ^β it is immediate that the following map gives an involutive automorphism of the algebra:

$$\rho : \quad \psi_0 \mapsto -\psi_0, \quad \psi_b \mapsto \psi_b, \quad b = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad y_j \mapsto y_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad e(\mathbf{i}) \mapsto e(\mathbf{i}), \quad \mathbf{i} \in \beta.$$

We denote by $(V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho := \{x \in V_\lambda^\beta \mid \rho(x) = x\}$ the subalgebra of V_λ^β consisting of elements fixed by ρ .

A choice of basis for V_λ^β . Let $\iota : W(D_n) \longrightarrow W(B_n)$ denote the standard inclusion map from Subsection 2.1 defined by: $s_0 \mapsto r_0 r_1 r_0$ and $s_k \mapsto r_k$ for $k \neq 0$. The following lemma will allow us to choose reduced expressions compatible with ι .

Lemma 3.5. *Let $w \in W(B_n)$ such that $w \in \iota(W(D_n))$. There is a reduced expression of w in terms of r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} which is also of the form $\iota(s_{i_1}) \dots \iota(s_{i_k})$ for a reduced expression $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ in $W(D_n)$ in terms of s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} .*

Proof. • We start with the fact that any element in the subgroup of $W(B_n)$ generated by r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} (isomorphic to the symmetric group on n letters) has a reduced expression with at most one r_1 .

So let $r_{a_1} \dots r_{a_l} \in W(B_n)$ be a reduced expression with $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Assume that it contains more than one occurrence of r_1 . Then choose two of these occurrences with no other r_1 between them. By induction on n (the subgroup generated by r_2, \dots, r_{n-1} is isomorphic to the symmetric group on $n-1$ letters), we can assume that there is at most one occurrence of r_2 between them. If there is no such r_2 then we can use the braid relations (see Remark 2.2) to put the two r_1 next to each other, which contradicts the fact that we started with a reduced expression. If there is one r_2 then we can use the braid relations to create the subword $r_1 r_2 r_1$ and transform it into $r_2 r_1 r_2$. We thus reduced the number of occurrences of r_1 .

• Now let $u = r_0 r_{a_1} \dots r_{a_l} r_0 \in W(B_n)$ be a reduced expression with $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. We just explained that there is a reduced expression of $r_{a_1} \dots r_{a_l}$ with at most one occurrence of r_1 . In fact here r_1 must appear once otherwise we could use the braid relations to put the two r_0 next to each other and

thus the expression would not be reduced. So we conclude that there is a reduced expression of the form $u = xr_0r_1r_0y$ with x, y only in terms of r_2, \dots, r_{n-1} .

Finally, since $w \in \iota(W(D_n))$ there is an even number of occurrences of r_0 in any of its reduced expressions, and therefore the above discussion implies the existence of a reduced expression for w which is of the form $\iota(s_{i_1}) \dots \iota(s_{i_k})$.

• If $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k} \in W(D_n)$ were not reduced we could remove (by cancellation law) two s_i in this expression and this would give, by application of ι , an expression for w strictly smaller than its reduced expression $\iota(s_{i_1}) \dots \iota(s_{i_k})$, which is impossible. \square

Using the lemma, we now fix for $w \in W(B_n)$ a reduced expression in terms of r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} such that if $w \in \iota(W(D_n))$ then the reduced expression is of the form $\iota(s_{i_1}) \dots \iota(s_{i_k})$ for a reduced expression $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ in $W(D_n)$ (if $w \notin \iota(W(D_n))$ then any reduced expression is allowed).

And for $w \in W(D_n)$, we fix the reduced expression $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ in terms of s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} such that $\iota(s_{i_1}) \dots \iota(s_{i_k})$ is the chosen reduced expression for $\iota(w)$.

Remark 3.6. As an example, note that $r_0r_1r_2r_1r_0$ is a reduced expression that we are not allowed to choose. Using the braid relations, we find that an allowed one is $r_2r_0r_1r_0r_2 = \iota(s_2)\iota(s_0)\iota(s_2)$. Note moreover that $\psi_0\psi_1\psi_2\psi_1\psi_0$ is not equal to $\psi_2\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0\psi_2$.

Besides, note also that $\iota(s_{i_1}) \dots \iota(s_{i_k})$ does not have to be reduced in $W(B_n)$ even if $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ is reduced in $W(D_n)$. For example, $s_0s_2s_0$ is reduced while $\iota(s_0)\iota(s_2)\iota(s_0) = r_0r_1r_0r_2r_0r_1r_0$ is not. \triangle

Once these choices of reduced expressions are made, we define, as in Subsection 3.1, $\psi_w := \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_l} \in V_\lambda^\beta$ where $r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_l}$ is the chosen reduced expression for $w \in W(B_n)$. Similarly, for $w \in W(D_n)$ we set $\Psi_w := \Psi_{j_1} \dots \Psi_{j_k} \in W_\lambda^\beta$ where $s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_k}$ is the chosen reduced expression for w .

Note that $r_0r_1r_0$ is the unique reduced expression for $\iota(s_0)$ and therefore $\psi_{\iota(s_0)} = \psi_0\psi_1\psi_0$, while $\psi_{\iota(s_k)} = \psi_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Theorem 3.7 (Basis Theorem 2). *The set*

$$\mathcal{D} := \{y_1^{m_1} \dots y_n^{m_n} \Psi_w e(\mathbf{i}) \mid w \in W(D_n), (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n, \mathbf{i} \in \beta\}$$

is a K -basis for W_λ^β and W_λ^β is isomorphic to the subalgebra of fixed points $(V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho$ of V_λ^β .

Proof. First, we can reproduce the same argument as in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3.2 to show that the set \mathcal{D} is a spanning set for W_λ^β . So we do not repeat the details.

Then define a map from the set of generators of W_λ^β to V_λ^β :

$$\Psi_k \mapsto \psi_{\iota(s_k)} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1), \quad y_j \mapsto y_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n), \quad e(\mathbf{i}) \mapsto e(\mathbf{i}) \quad (\mathbf{i} \in \beta).$$

We claim that this map extends to an algebra homomorphism:

$$\phi : W_\lambda^\beta \longrightarrow (V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho. \quad (3.27)$$

First, it is obvious that the map indeed takes values in $(V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho$. So it remains to check that the defining relations of W_λ^β are preserved. We postpone these calculations to the end of the proof below and assume for now that we do obtain an algebra homomorphism ϕ .

From the choice of reduced expressions we made above, we have that ϕ maps \mathcal{D} to the set

$$\mathcal{B}' = \{y_1^{m_1} \dots y_n^{m_n} \psi_{\iota(w)} e(\mathbf{i}) \mid w \in W(D_n), (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n, \mathbf{i} \in \beta\}.$$

This set \mathcal{B}' is a subset of the basis \mathcal{B} of V_λ^β appearing in Theorem 3.2. Further it is immediate to see that, for $x \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $\rho(x) = x$ if $x \in \mathcal{B}'$ while $\rho(x) = -x$ if $x \notin \mathcal{B}'$. Since we are in characteristic different from 2 we conclude that \mathcal{B}' is a basis of $(V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho$.

So we now conclude first that \mathcal{D} is a linearly independent set since it is sent to a subset of a basis and is therefore a basis of W_{λ}^{β} . Second, since ϕ maps a basis of W_{λ}^{β} bijectively to a basis of $(V_{\lambda}^{\beta})^{\rho}$, then it is bijective and the two algebras are isomorphic.

It remains to prove that we do obtain an algebra homomorphism ϕ by checking the defining relations (3.15)–(3.25) of W_{λ}^{β} . These are straightforward calculations using the defining relations (3.1)–(3.11) of V_{λ}^{β} . We give some of the details.

First, all relations not involving Ψ_0 are immediately seen to be preserved.

• **Relation (3.17) with $a = 0$.** We have

$$\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0e(\mathbf{i}) = e(r_0r_1r_0(\mathbf{i}))\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0,$$

and so the relation is preserved since $s_0(\mathbf{i}) = r_0r_1r_0(\mathbf{i})$.

• **Relation (3.20).** If $j \notin \{1, 2\}$ we have immediately $\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0y_j = y_j\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0$. Let $j = 2$. Then we have

$$\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0y_2e(\mathbf{i}) = \psi_0\psi_1y_2e(r_0(\mathbf{i}))\psi_0e(\mathbf{i}) = \psi_0(y_1\psi_1 + \delta_{i_1^{-1}, i_2})\psi_0e(\mathbf{i}) = (-y_1\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0 + \delta_{i_1^{-1}, i_2})e(\mathbf{i}).$$

The case $j = 1$ is checked either by a similar calculation or by using the antiautomorphism of V_{λ}^{β} sending each generator to itself.

• **Relation (3.21).** This relation is immediately verified if $b > 2$, while for $b = 1$ it follows from $\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0\psi_1 = \psi_1\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0$.

• **Relation (3.22).** We have, using $\psi_0^2 = 1$ and $\psi_0e(\mathbf{i}) = e(r_0(\mathbf{i}))\psi_0$:

$$(\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0)^2e(\mathbf{i}) = \psi_0\psi_1^2\psi_0e(\mathbf{i}) = \psi_0 \cdot \psi_1^2e(r_0(\mathbf{i})) \cdot \psi_0.$$

We note that $r_0(\mathbf{i})$ starts as (i_1^{-1}, i_2, \dots) and therefore the verification of (3.22) is obtained directly by using (3.6) with $b = 1$ followed by $\psi_0y_1 = -y_1\psi_0$ and $\psi_0^2 = 1$.

• **Relation (3.23).** We have, using $\psi_0\psi_2 = \psi_2\psi_0$, $\psi_0^2 = 1$ and $\psi_0e(\mathbf{i}) = e(r_0(\mathbf{i}))\psi_0$:

$$(\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0\psi_2\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0 - \psi_2\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0\psi_2)e(\mathbf{i}) = \psi_0 \cdot (\psi_1\psi_2\psi_1 - \psi_2\psi_1\psi_2)e(r_0(\mathbf{i})) \cdot \psi_0.$$

We note that $r_0(\mathbf{i})$ starts as $(i_1^{-1}, i_2, i_3, \dots)$ and therefore (3.23) is obtained directly by using (3.7) with $b = 1$ followed by $\psi_0y_1 = -y_1\psi_0$ and $\psi_0^2 = 1$. \square

Remark 3.8. Theorem 3.7 and its proof also shows that W_{λ}^{β} is isomorphic to the subalgebra of V_{λ}^{β} generated by $\psi_0\psi_1\psi_0$ together with all the generators of V_{λ}^{β} but ψ_0 (which coincides therefore with the subalgebra of fixed points $(V_{\lambda}^{\beta})^{\rho}$). An isomorphism is the natural map given in (3.27). \triangle

4 Cyclotomic quotients of $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ and V_{λ}^{β}

Let $n \geq 1$ and let λ and S_{λ} be as in Section 3. We fix a map (we call it a *multiplicity map*)

$$m : S_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \tag{4.1}$$

such that only a finite number of multiplicities $m(i)$ are different from 0.

Definition 4.1. We define the cyclotomic quotient denoted $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$ to be the quotient of the algebra $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ over the relation

$$\prod_{i \in S_{\lambda}} (X_1 - i)^{m(i)} = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Definition 4.2. Let β be a finite union of $W(B_n)$ -orbits in $(S_{\lambda})^n$. We define the cyclotomic quotient denoted by $V_{\lambda, m}^{\beta}$ to be the quotient of V_{λ}^{β} by the relation

$$y_1^{m(i_1)}e(\mathbf{i}) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \beta. \tag{4.3}$$

Let \mathcal{O} be the set of orbits in $(S_\lambda)^n$ under the action of the Weyl group $W(B_n)$. We set:

$$V_\lambda^n := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}} V_\lambda^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad V_{\lambda,m}^n := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}} V_{\lambda,m}^\beta. \quad (4.4)$$

We record here a fundamental lemma (the proof in [1, Lemma 2.1] can be repeated verbatim).

Lemma 4.3. *The elements $y_k \in V_{\lambda,m}^n$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, are nilpotent.*

Remark 4.4. Conjugating Relation (4.3) by ψ_0 , we obtain that $y_1^{m(i_1)} e(r_0(\mathbf{i})) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$. This implies at once that the algebra $V_{\lambda,m}^\beta$ is isomorphic to $V_{\lambda,m'}^\beta$, where the new multiplicity map is given by $m'(i) := \min\{m(i), m(i^{-1})\}$ for all $i \in S_\lambda$. We have also $V_{\lambda,m}^n$ isomorphic to $V_{\lambda,m'}^n$.

Similarly, conjugating Relation (4.2) by g_0 and considering the minimal polynomial annihilating X_1 in $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$, one obtains that $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$ is in fact isomorphic to $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m'}$ with m' as before. Alternatively, this follows immediately from the preceding remark and Theorem 4.6.

As a consequence of this remark, one could restrict the study of cyclotomic quotients to the situation where the multiplicity map m satisfies $m(i^{-1}) = m(i)$ for all $i \in S_\lambda$. We will not do so as it is not really needed for our considerations (see Remark 5.7 though).

We also stress that this phenomenon is particular to the situation $p^2 = 1$. We refer to [7, Proposition 3.2 and Remark 4.1] for similar considerations, with a different conclusion, in the situation $p^2 \neq 1$. \triangle

Remark 4.5. In the same spirit as in the discussion in Section 3 leading to Formula (3.12), it is easy to see that the algebra V_λ^n can also be defined by generators and relations. The generators are as in Definition 3.1 with \mathbf{i} varying now over $(S_\lambda)^n$. The relations are as in Definition 3.1 with \mathbf{i} taken in $(S_\lambda)^n$ and with the first relation in (3.1) removed. The algebra V_λ^n is not unital if S_λ is not a finite set.

With this definition of V_λ^n , the algebra $V_{\lambda,m}^n$ can be seen as the quotient of V_λ^n over the relations (4.3) with \mathbf{i} now varying over $(S_\lambda)^n$. \triangle

Idempotents and blocks of $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$. In the same way as in [7, Section 4.2], we remark that $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$ contains a finite set of non-trivial idempotents. Namely, we consider $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$ as a finite-dimensional representation (by left multiplication) of the subalgebra of $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$ generated by the commuting elements X_1, \dots, X_n . From [7, Proposition 3.1], we have that all the eigenvalues of X_1, \dots, X_n belong to $(S_\lambda)^n$. We can decompose this representation into a direct sum of common generalised eigenspaces for the X_i :

$$H(B_n)_{\lambda,m} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n} M_{\mathbf{i}}$$

where $M_{\mathbf{i}} := \{x \in H(B_n)_{\lambda,m} \mid (X_k - i_k)^N x = 0, \ k = 1, \dots, n, \text{ for some } N > 0\}$. We let

$$\{e_{\mathbf{i}}^H\}_{\mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n}$$

denote the associated set of mutually orthogonal idempotents which, by definition, belong to the commutative subalgebra generated by X_1, \dots, X_n .

For a $W(B_n)$ -orbit β in $(S_\lambda)^n$, we set

$$e_\beta := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} e_{\mathbf{i}}^H.$$

The element e_β is therefore a central idempotent in $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$. Therefore the set $e_\beta H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$ is either $\{0\}$ or an idempotent subalgebra (with unit e_β) which is a union of blocks of $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$ and we have, similarly to (4.4);

$$H(B_n)_{\lambda,m} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}} e_\beta H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}.$$

Theorem 4.6. *The algebras $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$ and $V_{\lambda,m}^n$ are isomorphic. More precisely, the algebras $e_\beta H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$ and $V_{\lambda,m}^\beta$ are isomorphic, for any orbit $\beta \in \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. We recall that we have $p^2 = 1$, and thus in particular we have the relations $g_0^2 = 1$, $g_0 X_1 = X_1^{-1} g_0$ in $\widehat{H}(B_n)$.

To prove the theorem we prove here that $e_\beta H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$ and $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$ are isomorphic, for any orbit $\beta \in \mathcal{O}$. The theorem follows from this by taking direct sums over all orbits $\beta \in \mathcal{O}$. We will use similar constructions and arguments as in [7] which were inspired from [1]. Fortunately, many calculations have been done in [7] (which treated the situation $p^2 \neq 1$) and can be used here as well. However, necessary modifications are needed when $p^2 = 1$. The resulting algebra $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$ is different and one cannot simply take $p^2 = 1$ in [7].

First, we lay out some notation. We let $f \in K[[z]]$ be the formal power series

$$f(z) := z + \frac{z}{1-z}.$$

Then, since the degree 0 coefficient is zero and the degree 1 coefficient is non-zero, f has a composition inverse $g = \sum_i b_i z^i \in K[[z]]$ with $b_0 = 0$ and $b_1 \neq 0$. That is,

$$f(g(z)) = g(f(z)) = z.$$

Next, we label elements of both $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$ and $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$ in a way in which, at first, will appear redundant but which will be needed later. We set

$$y_k^V := y_k \quad \text{and} \quad e^V(\mathbf{i}) := e(\mathbf{i}) \quad \text{in } V_{\lambda, m}^\beta, \quad \text{and} \quad X_k^H := X_k \quad \text{in } H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}.$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, n$, all $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$ and all $s = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Commuting elements. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We define elements of $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$:

$$y_i^H := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} f(1 - i_k^{-1} X_k) e^H(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} (i_k X_k^{-1} - i_k^{-1} X_k) e^H(\mathbf{i}). \quad (4.5)$$

These elements are well-defined since $(1 - i_k^{-1} X_k) e^H(\mathbf{i})$ is nilpotent for any $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$, by definition of the idempotents $e^H(\mathbf{i})$. Moreover, the elements y_k^H commute and they are nilpotent since the formal power series f has no constant term.

Note that by definition of f and g we have then that $X_k = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} i_k (1 - g(y_k^H)) e(\mathbf{i})$ in $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$. Therefore, conversely, we define elements of $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$:

$$X_k^V := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} i_k (1 - g(y_k)) e(\mathbf{i}) \in V_{\lambda, m}^\beta. \quad (4.6)$$

These elements are well-defined since the elements y_k are nilpotent. Moreover, the elements X_k^V commute and they are invertible since g has no constant term.

Notations. • We introduce a symbol \diamond which we will use as an upper index to denote both V and H . Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $i \in S_\lambda$. We set

$$X_k^\diamond(i) := i(1 - g(y_k^\diamond)), \quad (4.7)$$

so that we have $X_k^\diamond e^\diamond(\mathbf{i}) = X_k^\diamond(i_k) e^\diamond(\mathbf{i})$ for any $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$.

• Let $Y^\diamond \in K[[y_1^\diamond, \dots, y_n^\diamond]]$. The element Y^V is a well-defined element of $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$ since y_1^V, \dots, y_n^V commute and are nilpotent by Lemma 4.3, and the element Y^H is a well-defined element of $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$ since y_1^H, \dots, y_n^H commute and are nilpotent by construction. Such an element Y^\diamond is invertible if and only if its 0 degree coefficient is non-zero. In the following, when we write a rational fraction in $y_1^\diamond, \dots, y_n^\diamond$, we mean (often implicitly) that we have checked that it is well-defined.

For example, the element $X_k^\diamond(i)$ of the preceding item is invertible since g has no degree 0 term.

Another example used explicitly later is the following. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $i, j \in S_\lambda$. Then the element $(1 - X_k^\diamond(i) X_{k+1}^\diamond(j)^{-1})$ is invertible whenever $i \neq j$.

Renormalisation elements. We fix a family of invertible power series $Q_k(i, j) \in K[[z, z']]$, where $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $i, j \in S_\lambda$, and we set for $\diamond \in \{V, H\}$:

$$Q_k^\diamond(i, j) := Q_k(i, j)(y_k^\diamond, y_{k+1}^\diamond) \in K[[y_k^\diamond, y_{k+1}^\diamond]] .$$

Recall that the Weyl group $W(B_n)$ acts on a formal power series f in $y_1^\diamond, \dots, y_n^\diamond$ (for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, r_k acts by exchanging y_k^\diamond and y_{k+1}^\diamond and r_0 acts by multiplying y_1^\diamond by -1), and we denote ${}^w f$ the action of $w \in W(B_n)$ on f .

We assume that the following properties are satisfied for any $i, j \in S_\lambda$ and $k = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$Q_k^\diamond(i, j) = i^{-1}(q^{-1}X_k^\diamond(i) - qX_{k+1}^\diamond(j)) \frac{y_{k+1}^\diamond - y_k^\diamond}{g(y_{k+1}^\diamond) - g(y_k^\diamond)} \quad \text{if } i = j, \quad (4.8)$$

$${}^{r_k}Q_k^\diamond(j, i)Q_k^\diamond(i, j) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_k^\diamond(i, j) & \text{if } i \leftrightarrow j \\ \Gamma_k^\diamond(i, j)(y_{k+1}^\diamond - y_k^\diamond)^{-1} & \text{if } i \leftarrow j \\ \Gamma_k^\diamond(i, j)(y_k^\diamond - y_{k+1}^\diamond)^{-1} & \text{if } i \rightarrow j \\ \Gamma_k^\diamond(i, j)(y_{k+1}^\diamond - y_k^\diamond)^{-1}(y_k^\diamond - y_{k+1}^\diamond)^{-1} & \text{if } i \leftrightarrow j \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

and, for $k = 1, \dots, n-2$,

$${}^{r_k}Q_{k+1}^\diamond(i, j) = {}^{r_{k+1}}Q_k^\diamond(i, j) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_1(i, j) = {}^{r_0 r_1 r_0}Q_1(j^{-1}, i^{-1}), \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$\Gamma_k(i, j) = \frac{(qX_k^\diamond(i) - q^{-1}X_{k+1}^\diamond(j))(qX_{k+1}^\diamond(j) - q^{-1}X_k^\diamond(i))}{(X_k^\diamond(i) - X_{k+1}^\diamond(j))(X_{k+1}^\diamond(j) - X_k^\diamond(i))}.$$

By [7, Lemma 7.3], such a family of invertible power series satisfying Conditions (4.8)–(4.10) exists, and can be chosen explicitly.

Algebra homomorphisms. We also set, for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $i, j \in S_\lambda$,

$$P_k^\diamond(i, j) := \begin{cases} q^{-1} & \text{if } i = j, \\ -(q - q^{-1})(1 - X_k^\diamond(i)X_{k+1}^\diamond(j)^{-1})^{-1} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

Now in $e_\beta H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$ we set, where $k = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$\psi_0^H := g_0 e_\beta, \quad \psi_k^H := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} \left(g_k + P_k^H(i_k, i_{k+1}) \right) \left(Q_k^H(i_k, i_{k+1}) \right)^{-1} e^H(\mathbf{i}), \quad (4.12)$$

and conversely in $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$,

$$g_0^V := \psi_0, \quad g_k^V := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} \left(\psi_k Q_k^V(i_k, i_{k+1}) - P_k^V(i_k, i_{k+1}) \right) e(\mathbf{i}). \quad (4.13)$$

Finally, using the definitions we made in (4.5), (4.6) and (4.12)–(4.13), we can introduce the following maps, where $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} V_{\lambda, m}^\beta & \rightarrow & e_\beta H(B_n)_{\lambda, m} \\ \rho : & e(\mathbf{i}) \mapsto e^H(\mathbf{i}) & \text{and} \quad \sigma : \quad \widehat{H}(B_n) \rightarrow V_{\lambda, m}^\beta \\ & y_j \mapsto y_j^H & X_i \mapsto X_i^V \\ & \psi_k \mapsto \psi_k^H & g_k \mapsto g_k^V \end{array} \quad (4.14)$$

Assuming for a moment that these two maps extend to algebra homomorphisms, still denoted by ρ and σ , we will show that ρ has a 2-sided inverse. This inverse is obtained from the fact that σ factors through the natural map $\hat{H}(B_n) \rightarrow e_\beta H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$ which is obtained by composing the natural surjection to the quotient with multiplication by e_β . First, we must verify that the cyclotomic relation (4.2) holds in $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$. We have, using that σ is an algebra morphism and the orthogonality of the idempotents in $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$,

$$\sigma \left(\prod_{j \in S_\lambda} (X_1 - j)^{m(j)} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} \left(\prod_{j \in S_\lambda} (i_1(1 - g(y_1)) - j)^{m(j)} e(\mathbf{i}) \right).$$

For each $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$ take $j = i_1$ in the product above and note that $g(y_1)^{m(i_1)} e(\mathbf{i}) = 0$ since g has no constant term and $(y_1)^{m(i_1)} e(\mathbf{i}) = 0$ by definition in (4.3). So every summand in the above expression has a factor which vanishes. Therefore σ factors through $\hat{H}(B_n) \rightarrow H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$. To show that σ can be further factored through $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m} \rightarrow e_\beta H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$ we must show that $\sigma(e^H(\mathbf{i})) = 0$ whenever $\mathbf{i} \notin \beta$. This follows the same reasoning as in [1, Lemma 3.4] or [7, Lemma 6.9] and we do not repeat the details.

Finally, abusing notation, let us still denote by σ the morphism $e_\beta H(B_n)_{\lambda, m} \rightarrow V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$. We check that $\sigma \circ \rho = \text{Id}_{e_\beta H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}}$ and $\rho \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_{V_{\lambda, m}^\beta}$ by easily verifying these equalities on the generators.

To conclude the proof of the theorem, it remains to show that the maps ρ and σ do extend to algebra homomorphisms. Note that the relations of $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$ not involving the generator ψ_0 are the same as the ones appearing in [7], and the maps ρ and σ restricted to all the generators but ψ_0 and g_0 coincide with the corresponding ones in [7]. So in fact many relations have been shown to be preserved by ρ and σ in [7]. It remains only to consider the relations involving g_0 for σ , and the relations involving ψ_0 for ρ .

σ is an algebra homomorphism. It suffices to verify that the relations (2.2), (2.3), (2.5), (2.8), (2.10) are preserved, where $i = 0$ in (2.2), (2.5), (2.10).

Relation (2.2) with $i = 0$. Recall that $g_0 = p$ and that $p^2 = 1$. We have directly $(g_0^V)^2 = \psi_0^2 = 1$.

Relations (2.5) and (2.10) with $i = 0$. We have in $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$ that ψ_0 commutes with ψ_j and y_j if $j > 1$. Moreover, in Formulas (4.6) and (4.13), the coefficients in front of $e(\mathbf{i})$ and $e(r_0(\mathbf{i}))$ are equal. It follows that $g_0^V = \psi_0$ commutes with X_j^V and g_j^V for $j > 1$.

Relation (2.8). To check that σ preserves this relation we require Lemma 7.2 from [7]. This states that the formal power series g satisfies

$$\frac{1}{(1 - g(z))} = 1 - g(-z).$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^V (X_1^V)^{-1} g_0^V e(\mathbf{i}) &= \psi_0 i_1 (1 - g(y_1))^{-1} \psi_0 e(\mathbf{i}) \\ &= \psi_0 i_1 (1 - g(-y_1)) \psi_0 e(\mathbf{i}) \\ &= i_1 (1 - g(y_1)) e(\mathbf{i}) \\ &= X_1^V e(\mathbf{i}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the defining relations $\psi_0^2 = 1$ and $\psi_0 y_1 = -y_1 \psi_0$.

Relation (2.3). We will check this relation in two steps. First note that, from (3.4), we have in $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$

$$(\psi_1 F - {}^{r_1} F \psi_1) e(\mathbf{i}) = \delta_{i_1, i_2} \frac{F - {}^{r_1} F}{y_2 - y_1} e(\mathbf{i}) \quad (4.15)$$

for any $F \in K[[y_1, \dots, y_n]]$ and $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$. We also have $\psi_0 F = {}^{r_0} F \psi_0$ from (3.8).

In $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$, we set

$$\Phi_1^V := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} \psi_1 Q_1^V(i_1, i_2) e(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} (g_1^V + P_1^V(i_1, i_2)) e(\mathbf{i}) .$$

Step 1. The first step is to show the relation $\psi_0 \Phi_1^V \psi_0 \Phi_1^V = \Phi_1^V \psi_0 \Phi_1^V \psi_0$. We remove the superscript V for brevity.

We take $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$ and set $i := i_1$ and $j := i_2$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_0 \Phi_1 \psi_0 \Phi_1 - \Phi_1 \psi_0 \Phi_1 \psi_0) e(\mathbf{i}) &= (\psi_0 \psi_1 Q_1(j^{-1}, i) \psi_0 \psi_1 Q_1(i, j) - \psi_1 Q_1(j^{-1}, i^{-1}) \psi_0 \psi_1 Q_1(i^{-1}, j) \psi_0) e(\mathbf{i}) \\ &= \psi_0 \psi_1 \psi_0 \cdot {}^{r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i) \psi_1 e(\mathbf{i}) \cdot Q_1(i, j) - \psi_1 \psi_0 \cdot {}^{r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i^{-1}) \psi_1 e(r_0(\mathbf{i})) \cdot \psi_0 {}^{r_0} Q_1(i^{-1}, j) . \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $i = j$, we find using Condition (4.10) that ${}^{r_1 r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i) = {}^{r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i)$. Therefore, using (4.15), we have ${}^{r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i) \psi_1 e(\mathbf{i}) = \psi_1 {}^{r_1 r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i) e(\mathbf{i})$ for every $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$.

A similar reasoning shows that we also have ${}^{r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i^{-1}) \psi_1 e(r_0(\mathbf{i})) = \psi_1 {}^{r_1 r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i^{-1}) e(r_0(\mathbf{i}))$ for every $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$. We conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_0 \Phi_1 \psi_0 \Phi_1 - \Phi_1 \psi_0 \Phi_1 \psi_0) e(\mathbf{i}) &= (\psi_0 \psi_1 \psi_0 \psi_1 {}^{r_1 r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i) Q_1(i, j) - \psi_1 \psi_0 \psi_1 \psi_0 {}^{r_0 r_1 r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i^{-1}) {}^{r_0} Q_1(i^{-1}, j)) e(\mathbf{i}) . \end{aligned}$$

We again use Condition (4.10) to see that the coefficients ${}^{r_1 r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i) Q_1(i, j)$ and ${}^{r_0 r_1 r_0} Q_1(j^{-1}, i^{-1}) {}^{r_0} Q_1(i^{-1}, j)$ are in fact equal, and this concludes step 1.

Step 2. To conclude, we must show that $\psi_0 g_1^V \psi_0 g_1^V = g_1^V \psi_0 g_1^V \psi_0$, which is equivalent to showing

$$\psi_0 (\Phi_1 - P_1(j^{-1}, i)) \psi_0 (\Phi_1 - P_1(i, j)) e(\mathbf{i}) = (\Phi_1 - P_1(j^{-1}, i^{-1})) \psi_0 (\Phi_1 - P_1(i^{-1}, j)) \psi_0 e(\mathbf{i}) . \quad (4.16)$$

From the definition of P_1 in (4.11), a short straightforward verification gives that, for every $i', j' \in S_\lambda$,

$$P_1(i', j') = {}^{r_0 r_1 r_0} P_1(i', j') = P_1(j'^{-1}, i'^{-1}) = {}^{r_0 r_1 r_0} P_1(j'^{-1}, i'^{-1}) . \quad (4.17)$$

This shows in particular that ${}^{r_0} P_1(i', j')$ is invariant under the action of r_1 and thus commutes with ψ_1 for any $i', j' \in S_\lambda$. So expanding the left hand side of (4.16), we find (we omit the idempotent $e(\mathbf{i})$ here):

$$\psi_0 \Phi_1 \psi_0 \Phi_1 - \Phi_1 {}^{r_0} P_1(j^{-1}, i) - \psi_0 \Phi_1 \psi_0 P_1(i, j) + {}^{r_0} P_1(j^{-1}, i) P_1(i, j) ,$$

while the right hand side gives:

$$\Phi_1 \psi_0 \Phi_1 \psi_0 - \Phi_1 {}^{r_0} P_1(i^{-1}, j) - \psi_0 \Phi_1 \psi_0 {}^{r_0 r_1 r_0} P_1(i, j) + {}^{r_0 r_1 r_0} P_1(i, j) {}^{r_0} P_1(i^{-1}, j) .$$

We conclude, using step 1 and the equalities (4.17), that these two expressions are equal.

This concludes the verification of Relation (2.3) and in turn the verification that σ extends to an algebra homomorphism.

ρ is an algebra morphism. It suffices to prove that only the relations involving ψ_0 are preserved so we will now verify that relations (3.3) and (3.5) with $a = 0$, and (3.8)–(3.11) are preserved by ρ .

Relation (3.3) for $a = 0$. Recall that in $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$, since $p^2 = 1$, we have $g_0 P = {}^{r_0} P g_0$ for any $P \in K[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n]$, where r_0 acts on P by inverting X_1 . This means that if $x \in H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$ is such that $Px = 0$ then $g_0 x$ is annihilated by ${}^{r_0} P$. Then from the definition of the idempotents $e^H(\mathbf{i})$ of $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$, this means that we have $g_0 e^H(\mathbf{i}) = e^H(r_0(\mathbf{i})) g_0$, which is the relation required for checking (3.3) .

Relations (3.5) for $a = 0$ and (3.9). In $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$, we have that g_0 commutes with g_b and X_b if $b > 1$. Moreover, in (4.5) and (4.12), the coefficients in front of $e(\mathbf{i})$ and $e(r_0(\mathbf{i}))$ are the same. It follows that

$\psi_0^H = g_0$ commutes with y_b^H and with ψ_b^H if $b > 1$.

Relations (3.8). For relation (3.8) we have, using the explicit form of f ,

$$(\psi_0^H y_1^H + y_1^H \psi_0^H) e^H(\mathbf{i}) = (g_0(i_1 X_1^{-1} - i_1^{-1} X_1) + (i_1^{-1} X_1^{-1} - i_1 X_1) g_0) e^H(\mathbf{i}) = 0.$$

We have used that $g_0 X_1^{\pm 1} = X_1^{\mp 1} g_0$ in $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$.

Relation (3.10) is directly seen to be preserved since $(\psi_0^H)^2 = g_0^2 = 1$.

Relation (3.11). In $e_\beta H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$, we set

$$\Phi_1^H := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} (g_1 + P_1^H(i_1, i_2)) e^H(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} \psi_1^H Q_1^H(i_1, i_2) e^H(\mathbf{i}) .$$

Step 1. The first step is to show the relation $g_0 \Phi_1^H g_0 \Phi_1^H = \Phi_1^H g_0 \Phi_1^H g_0$. For this step, we replace Φ_1^H by its expression in terms of g_1 and P_1 and we use the exact same reasoning as in step 2 of the verification of Relation (2.3), with g_0, g_1 replacing ψ_0, Φ_1 .

Step 2. Then to conclude, we must show that $g_0 \psi_1^H g_0 \psi_1^H = \psi_1^H g_0 \psi_1^H g_0$ which is equivalent to showing

$$\left(g_0 \Phi_1^H Q_1^H(j^{-1}, i)^{-1} g_0 \Phi_1^H Q_1(i, j)^{-1} - \Phi_1^H Q_1^H(j^{-1}, i^{-1})^{-1} g_0 \Phi_1^H Q_1^H(i^{-1}, j)^{-1} g_0 \right) e^H(\mathbf{i}) ,$$

for any $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$, where we set $(i, j) := (i_1, i_2)$. To do this, we use the exact same reasoning as in step 1 of the verification of Relation (2.3), with g_0, Φ_1^H replacing ψ_0, ψ_1 . We do not repeat it, we only point out that at this point we know that we have in $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$

$$(\psi_1^H F - {}^{r_1} F \psi_1^H) e^H(\mathbf{i}) = \delta_{i_1, i_2} \frac{F - {}^{r_1} F}{y_2^H - y_1^H} e^H(\mathbf{i})$$

for any $F \in K[[y_1^H, \dots, y_n^H]]$ and $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$, which is equivalent to

$$(\Phi_1^H F - {}^{r_1} F \Phi_1^H) e^H(\mathbf{i}) = \delta_{i_1, i_2} Q_1^H(i_1, i_2) \frac{F - {}^{r_1} F}{y_2^H - y_1^H} e^H(\mathbf{i}) .$$

This formula replaces Formula (4.15) which was used in the reasoning in step 1 of the verification of Relation (2.3).

This concludes the verification that ρ extends to an algebra homomorphism and in turn the proof of the theorem. \square

5 Cyclotomic quotients of $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ and W_λ^δ

Let $n \geq 2$ and let λ and S_λ be as in Sections 3 and 4. Take a multiplicity map m as in (4.1). We give definitions for type D which are analogous to the ones given at the beginning of Section 4.

Definition 5.1. We define the cyclotomic quotient, denoted $H(D_n)_{\lambda, m}$, to be the quotient of the algebra $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ over the relation

$$\prod_{i \in S_\lambda} (X_1 - i)^{m(i)} = 0 . \quad (5.1)$$

Definition 5.2. Let δ be a finite union of $W(D_n)$ -orbits in $(S_\lambda)^n$. We define the cyclotomic quotient, denoted by $W_{\lambda, m}^\delta$, to be the quotient of W_λ^δ by the relation

$$y_1^{m(i_1)} e(\mathbf{i}) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \delta . \quad (5.2)$$

Let \mathcal{O}' be the set of orbits in $(S_\lambda)^n$ under the action of the Weyl group $W(D_n)$. We set:

$$W_\lambda^n := \bigoplus_{\delta \in \mathcal{O}'} W_\lambda^\delta \quad \text{and} \quad W_{\lambda, m}^n := \bigoplus_{\delta \in \mathcal{O}'} W_{\lambda, m}^\delta . \quad (5.3)$$

Note that, by grouping some summands in the direct sums above (as explained in Remark 3.4), we also have

$$W_{\lambda}^n := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}} W_{\lambda}^{\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad W_{\lambda,m}^n := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}} W_{\lambda,m}^{\beta},$$

where \mathcal{O} is the set of $W(B_n)$ -orbits in $(S_{\lambda})^n$.

Remark 5.3. A remark similar to Remark 4.5 applies here as well about presenting W_{λ}^n directly by generators and relations and seeing $W_{\lambda,m}^n$ directly as a quotient of W_{λ}^n . \triangle

5.1 Cyclotomic quotients $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$ and $W_{\lambda,m}^{\delta}$ as subalgebras of fixed points.

In this subsection, under some condition on the multiplicity map m , we relate the cyclotomic quotients $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$ and $W_{\lambda,m}^n$ to the corresponding cyclotomic quotients $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$ and $V_{\lambda,m}^n$.

We will use the following general statement.

Lemma 5.4. *Let A be a K -algebra equipped with an involutive automorphism θ , and denote by A^{θ} the set of fixed points. Let J be a two-sided ideal of A such that $\theta(J) \subset J$, and denote by J^{θ} the set of fixed points of J . Then we have*

$$A^{\theta}/J^{\theta} \cong (A/J)^{\tilde{\theta}},$$

where $\tilde{\theta}$ is the involutive automorphism of A/J given by $\tilde{\theta}(x+J) = \theta(x)+J$.

Proof. First, we note that the map $\tilde{\theta}$ is well-defined because if $x-y \in J$ then $\theta(x)-\theta(y) = \theta(x-y)$ also belongs to J since J is stable under θ . Then $\tilde{\theta}$ is clearly an involutive automorphism of A/J .

Now consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : A^{\theta} &\longrightarrow A/J \\ x &\mapsto x+J. \end{aligned}$$

The map π is the restriction to A^{θ} of the canonical surjective morphism from A to its quotient A/J .

First we claim that the image of π is the subalgebra of fixed points $(A/J)^{\tilde{\theta}}$. Indeed for one inclusion, if $x \in A^{\theta}$ then $\tilde{\theta}(x+J) = \theta(x)+J = x+J$.

For the reverse inclusion, take any $h+J \in (A/J)^{\tilde{\theta}}$. Then we have $\theta(h)+J = h+J$ which implies $\frac{1}{2}(\theta(h)+h)+J = h+J$ (the characteristic of K is different from 2). So we have that $\frac{1}{2}(h+\theta(h)) \in A^{\theta}$ and its image under π is $h+J$.

Finally, the kernel of π is the intersection of J with A^{θ} . That is, we have $\ker(\pi) = J^{\theta}$. \square

Cyclotomic quotients $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$ as subalgebras of fixed points of $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$. Recall the involutive automorphism η of $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ defined by:

$$\eta : \quad g_0 \mapsto -g_0, \quad g_i \mapsto g_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad X^x \mapsto X^x. \quad (5.4)$$

Let $J \subset \widehat{H}(B_n)$ be the two-sided ideal generated by the element

$$P(X_1) = \prod_{i \in S_{\lambda}} (X_1 - i)^{m(i)} \quad (5.5)$$

so that $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m} = \widehat{H}(B_n)/J$ by definition. Clearly we have that $\eta(J) \subset J$ since the element (5.5) is fixed by η .

Note that, slightly abusing notation, we use the same names for elements of the quotient $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$ as for elements of $\widehat{H}(B_n)$. With this notation, the involutive automorphism $\tilde{\eta}$ of $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$ appearing in the conclusion of Lemma 5.4 is simply given by the same formulas:

$$\tilde{\eta} : \quad g_0 \mapsto -g_0, \quad g_i \mapsto g_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad X^x \mapsto X^x. \quad (5.6)$$

Proposition 5.5. *Assume that m satisfies $m(i^{-1}) = m(i)$ for any $i \in S_\lambda$. Then the algebra $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$ is isomorphic to $(H(B_n)_{\lambda,m})^{\tilde{\eta}}$, with $\tilde{\eta}$ given in (5.6).*

Proof. First, we have by Lemma 5.4 that $(H(B_n)_{\lambda,m})^{\tilde{\eta}}$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{H}(B_n)^\eta / J^\eta$.

Besides, we can identify $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ with the subalgebra of fixed points $\widehat{H}(B_n)^\eta$ (see the end of Section 2). Under this identification, the elements X^x in $\widehat{H}(D_n)$ are sent to elements X^x in $\widehat{H}(B_n)$. Thus from Definition 5.1, we have that $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$ is the quotient of $\widehat{H}(B_n)^\eta$ by the ideal generated by the element $P(X_1)$ in (5.5). Denote by J' this ideal of $\widehat{H}(B_n)^\eta$. We must then prove that $J' = J^\eta$.

For the inclusion $J' \subset J^\eta$, an element of J' is a linear combination of elements of the form $j = xP(X_1)y$ with $x, y \in \widehat{H}(B_n)^\eta$. Such an element j lies obviously in J and moreover $\eta(j) = j$ since $\eta(P(X_1)) = P(X_1)$ and $x, y \in \widehat{H}(B_n)^\eta$.

For the reverse inclusion, let \mathcal{B} be the basis in (2.11) of $\widehat{H}(B_n)$ consisting of elements $X^x g_w$, with $x \in L$ and $w \in W(B_n)$. The set \mathcal{B} splits into two disjoint subsets

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^+ \cup \mathcal{B}^-, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{B}^\pm = \{b \in \mathcal{B} \mid \eta(b) = \pm b\}.$$

The subset \mathcal{B}^+ is formed by the elements $X^x g_w$ with w in the subgroup $W(D_n)$ of $W(B_n)$.

Now let $j \in J^\eta$ and write $j = \sum_{b,b' \in \mathcal{B}} c_{b,b'} bP(X_1)b'$ for some coefficients $c_{b,b'} \in K$. The condition $\eta(j) = j$ implies that

$$2 \left(\sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B}^+ \\ b' \in \mathcal{B}^-}} c_{b,b'} bP(X_1)b' + \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B}^- \\ b' \in \mathcal{B}^+}} c_{b,b'} bP(X_1)b' \right) = 0,$$

and since the characteristic of K is different from 2, we obtain

$$j = \sum_{b,b' \in \mathcal{B}^+} c_{b,b'} bP(X_1)b' + \sum_{b,b' \in \mathcal{B}^-} c_{b,b'} bP(X_1)b'.$$

The first sum is already in J' since $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}^+ \subset \widehat{H}(B_n)^\eta$. So for $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}^-$ it remains to show that $bP(X_1)b' \in J'$. To do this we write

$$bP(X_1)b' = bg_0^2 P(X_1)b' = bg_0 P(X_1^{-1})g_0 b'.$$

We note that bg_0 and $g_0 b'$ are in $\widehat{H}(B_n)^\eta$ and, using the assumption on the multiplicity map m ,

$$P(X_1^{-1}) = \prod_{i \in S_\lambda} (X_1^{-1} - i)^{m(i)} = \prod_{i \in S_\lambda} (-iX_1^{-1})^{m(i)} (X_1 - i^{-1})^{m(i)} = \prod_{i \in S_\lambda} (-iX_1^{-1})^{m(i)} \cdot P(X_1).$$

This shows that $P(X_1^{-1}) \in J'$ since it is of the form $xP(X_1)$ with $x \in \widehat{H}(B_n)^\eta$. Therefore we have $bP(X_1)b' \in J'$ and this concludes the proof. \square

Cyclotomic quotients $W_{\lambda,m}^\beta$ as subalgebras of fixed points of $V_{\lambda,m}^\beta$. Let β be a $W(B_n)$ -orbit in $(S_\lambda)^n$, so that all algebras $V_\lambda^\beta, V_{\lambda,m}^\beta, W_\lambda^\beta, W_{\lambda,m}^\beta$ are defined.

Recall the involutive automorphism ρ of V_λ^β defined by:

$$\rho : \quad \psi_0 \mapsto -\psi_0, \quad \psi_b \mapsto \psi_b, \quad b = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad y_j \mapsto y_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad e(\mathbf{i}) \mapsto e(\mathbf{i}), \quad \mathbf{i} \in \beta. \quad (5.7)$$

Let $J \subset V_\lambda^\beta$ be the two-sided ideal generated by the elements

$$P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1) = y_1^{m(i_1)} e(\mathbf{i}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \beta \quad (5.8)$$

so that $V_{\lambda,m}^\beta = V_\lambda^\beta / J$ by definition. We clearly have that $\rho(J) \subset J$ since every element in (5.8) is fixed by ρ .

Note that, slightly abusing notation, we use the same names for elements of the quotient $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$ as for elements of V_λ^β . With this notation, the involutive automorphism $\tilde{\rho}$ of $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$ appearing in the conclusion of Lemma 5.4 is simply given by the same formulas:

$$\tilde{\rho} : \quad \psi_0 \mapsto -\psi_0, \quad \psi_b \mapsto \psi_b, \quad b = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad y_j \mapsto y_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad e(\mathbf{i}) \mapsto e(\mathbf{i}), \quad \mathbf{i} \in \beta. \quad (5.9)$$

Proposition 5.6. *Assume that m satisfies $m(i^{-1}) = m(i)$ for any $i \in S_\lambda$. Then the algebra $W_{\lambda, m}^\beta$ is isomorphic to $(V_{\lambda, m}^\beta)^{\tilde{\rho}}$, with $\tilde{\rho}$ given in (5.9).*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 5.5. First, we have by Lemma 5.4 that $(V_{\lambda, m}^\beta)^{\tilde{\rho}}$ is isomorphic to $(V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho / J^\rho$.

Besides, by Theorem 3.7, we can identify W_λ^β with the subalgebra of fixed points $(V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho$. Under this identification, the element y_1 in W_λ^β is sent to the element y_1 in V_λ^β . Thus from Definition 5.2, we have that $W_{\lambda, m}^\beta$ is the quotient of $(V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho$ by the ideal generated by the elements $P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1)$ in (5.8). Denote by J' this ideal of $(V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho$. We must then prove that $J' = J^\rho$.

For the inclusion $J' \subset J^\rho$, an element of J' is a linear combination of elements of the form $j = xP_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1)y$ with $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$ and $x, y \in (V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho$. Such an element j obviously lies in J and moreover $\rho(j) = j$ since $P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1)$ is invariant par ρ and $x, y \in (V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho$.

For the reverse inclusion, let \mathcal{B} be the basis of V_λ^β in Theorem 3.2, namely consisting of elements $y_1^{m_1} \dots y_n^{m_n} \psi_w e(\mathbf{i})$, where $w \in W(B_n)$, $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$ and $\mathbf{i} \in \beta$. The set \mathcal{B} splits into two disjoint subsets

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^+ \cup \mathcal{B}^-, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{B}^\pm = \{b \in \mathcal{B} \mid \rho(b) = \pm b\}.$$

The subset \mathcal{B}^+ is formed by the basis elements where w is in the subgroup $W(D_n)$ of $W(B_n)$.

Now let $j \in J^\rho$. Write $j = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} \sum_{b, b' \in \mathcal{B}} c_{\mathbf{i}, b, b'} b P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1) b'$ for some coefficients $c_{\mathbf{i}, b, b'} \in K$. The condition $\rho(j) = j$ implies that

$$2 \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} \left(\sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B}^+ \\ b' \in \mathcal{B}^-}} c_{\mathbf{i}, b, b'} b P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1) b' + \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B}^- \\ b' \in \mathcal{B}^+}} c_{\mathbf{i}, b, b'} b P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1) b' \right) = 0,$$

and since the characteristic of K is different from 2, we obtain

$$j = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \beta} \left(\sum_{b, b' \in \mathcal{B}^+} c_{\mathbf{i}, b, b'} b P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1) b' + \sum_{b, b' \in \mathcal{B}^-} c_{\mathbf{i}, b, b'} b P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1) b' \right).$$

The first sum in the parenthesis is already in J' since $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}^+ \subset (V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho$. So for $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}^-$ it remains to show that $b P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1) b' \in J'$. To do this we write

$$b P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1) b' = b y_1^{m(i_1)} e(\mathbf{i}) b' = b \psi_0^2 y_1^{m(i_1)} e(\mathbf{i}) b' = b \psi_0 (-y_1)^{m(i_1)} e(r_0(\mathbf{i})) \psi_0 b'.$$

We note that $b \psi_0$ and $\psi_0 b'$ are in $(V_\lambda^\beta)^\rho$ and, using the assumption on the multiplicity map m ,

$$(-y_1)^{m(i_1)} e(r_0(\mathbf{i})) = (-1)^{m(i_1)} y_1^{m(i_1^{-1})} e(r_0(\mathbf{i})) = (-1)^{m(i_1)} P_{r_0(\mathbf{i})}(y_1).$$

This shows that $(-y_1)^{m(i_1)} e(r_0(\mathbf{i})) \in J'$. Therefore we have $b P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1) b' \in J'$ and this concludes the proof. \square

Remark 5.7. It was noted in Remark 4.4 that any cyclotomic quotient $H(B_n)_{\lambda, m}$ or $V_{\lambda, m}^\beta$ is in fact isomorphic to a cyclotomic quotient with the multiplicity map satisfying $m(i^{-1}) = m(i)$. This does not have to hold for the cyclotomic quotients $H(D_n)_{\lambda, m}$ or $W_{\lambda, m}^\beta$, and this explains the presence of the assumption in Propositions 5.5 and 5.6. \triangle

Idempotents and blocks of $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$. Following the same discussion as in the beginning of Section 4 for $H(B_n)_{\lambda,m}$, we consider $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$ as a finite-dimensional representation (by left multiplication) of the subalgebra generated by the commuting elements X_1, \dots, X_n . From [7, Proposition 3.1], we have that all the eigenvalues of X_1, \dots, X_n belong to $(S_\lambda)^n$, so we can decompose this representation into a direct sum of common generalised eigenspaces for the X_i as follows

$$H(D_n)_{\lambda,m} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n} M_{\mathbf{i}}$$

where $M_{\mathbf{i}} := \{x \in H(D_n)_{\lambda,m} \mid (X_k - i_k)^N x = 0, \ k = 1, \dots, n, \text{ for some } N > 0\}$. We let

$$\{e_{\mathbf{i}}^H\}_{\mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n}$$

denote the associated set of mutually orthogonal idempotents which, by definition, belong to the commutative subalgebra generated by X_1, \dots, X_n . We consider the action of $W(D_n)$ on elements $\mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n$ given in Section 3.2. Let δ denote an orbit in $(S_\lambda)^n$ with respect to this action and write

$$e_\delta := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \delta} e^H(\mathbf{i}).$$

The element e_δ is therefore a central idempotent in $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$. Therefore the set $e_\delta H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$ either $\{0\}$ or an idempotent subalgebra (with unit e_δ) which is a union of blocks of $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$ and we have, similarly to (5.3),

$$H(D_n)_{\lambda,m} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \mathcal{O}'} e_\delta H(D_n)_{\lambda,m},$$

\mathcal{O}' is the set of $W(D_n)$ -orbits in $(S_\lambda)^n$.

Note that in the theorem below we do not need the assumption on the multiplicity map present in Propositions 5.5 and 5.6.

Theorem 5.8. *The algebras $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$ and $W_{\lambda,m}^n$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. We claim that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(B_n)_{\lambda,m} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} & H(B_n)_{\lambda,m} \\ \sim \uparrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ V_{\lambda,m}^n & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}} & V_{\lambda,m}^n \end{array}$$

The vertical maps are the isomorphisms from Theorem 4.6 and the horizontal maps are the involutive automorphisms considered just above. The commutativity of the diagram follows directly from the explicit description of $\tilde{\eta}$ in (5.6) and $\tilde{\rho}$ in (5.9), together with the fact that the isomorphisms in Theorem 4.6 send g_0 to ψ_0 (and vice-versa) while neither g_0 nor ψ_0 appear in the images of the other generators; see the formulas in (4.14).

From the commutative diagram we immediately deduce the algebra isomorphism

$$(H(B_n)_{\lambda,m})^{\tilde{\eta}} \cong (V_{\lambda,m}^n)^{\tilde{\rho}}.$$

Now assume that the multiplicity map satisfies $m(i^{-1}) = m(i)$ for any $i \in S_\lambda$. Then, by Proposition 5.5 the left-hand side is isomorphic to $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$ and, by Proposition 5.6, taking direct sums over $\beta \in \mathcal{O}$, the right-hand side is isomorphic to $W_{\lambda,m}^n$ thus proving the result.

Now consider the general situation with no assumption on m . We define a new multiplicity map \tilde{m} by $\tilde{m}(i) = \max\{m(i), m(i^{-1})\}$ for all $i \in S_\lambda$. Then this multiplicity map satisfies $\tilde{m}(i^{-1}) = \tilde{m}(i)$ for all $i \in S_\lambda$, and the first part of the proof shows that

$$H(D_n)_{\lambda, \tilde{m}} \cong W_{\lambda, \tilde{m}}^n. \quad (5.10)$$

Then in $H(D_n)_{\lambda, \tilde{m}}$, denote I_H the ideal generated by the element

$$P(X_1) = \prod_{i \in S_\lambda} (X_1 - i)^{m(i)},$$

and in $W_{\lambda, \tilde{m}}^n$, denote I_W the ideal generated by the elements

$$P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1) = y_1^{m(i_1)} e(\mathbf{i}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n.$$

Since $\tilde{m}(i) \geq m(i)$ for all $i \in S_\lambda$, we have from the definitions that

$$H(D_n)_{\lambda, m} = H(D_n)_{\lambda, \tilde{m}} / I_H \quad \text{and} \quad W_{\lambda, m}^n = W_{\lambda, \tilde{m}}^n / I_W.$$

So it remains to show that I_H is sent to I_W by the isomorphism in (5.10). Denoting this isomorphism by Θ , we recall from the proof of Theorem 4.6 (namely the formulas in (4.14)) that we have:

$$\Theta(X_1) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n} i_1(1 - g(y_1))e(\mathbf{i}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta^{-1}(y_1) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n} f(1 - i_1^{-1}X_1)e^H(\mathbf{i}),$$

where f is the power series $z + \frac{z}{1-z}$ and g is its composition inverse. Both f and g have a constant term equal to 0.

For the inclusion $\Theta(I_H) \subset I_W$, we have, using that $e(\mathbf{i})$ are orthogonal idempotents,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(P(X_1)) &= \prod_{i \in S_\lambda} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n} (i_1 - i_1 g(y_1) - i) e(\mathbf{i}) \right)^{m(i)} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n} \prod_{i \in S_\lambda} (i_1 - i_1 g(y_1) - i)^{m(i)} e(\mathbf{i}). \end{aligned}$$

For each $\mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n$, pick the factor corresponding to $i = i_1$ in the product. Since g has no constant term, this factor is proportional to $y_1^{m(i_1)} e(\mathbf{i})$ and therefore in I_W . We conclude that $\Theta(P(X_1)) \in I_W$.

For the inclusion $\Theta^{-1}(I_W) \subset I_H$, let $\mathbf{i} \in (S_\lambda)^n$. We have, using that $e^H(\mathbf{j})$ are orthogonal idempotents,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^{-1}(P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1)) &= \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in (S_\lambda)^n} f(1 - j_1^{-1}X_1)e^H(\mathbf{j}) \right)^{m(i_1)} e^H(\mathbf{i}) \\ &= f(1 - i_1^{-1}X_1)^{m(i_1)} e^H(\mathbf{i}). \end{aligned}$$

Since f has no constant term, this is proportional to $(X_1 - i_1)^{m(i_1)} e^H(\mathbf{i})$. Moreover, for any $i \neq i_1$, by construction of the idempotent $e^H(\mathbf{i})$, the element $(X_1 - i)e^H(\mathbf{i})$ is invertible in $K[X_1]e^H(\mathbf{i})$. In other words, there exists a polynomial $R(X_1)$ in X_1 such that $R(X_1)(X_1 - i)e^H(\mathbf{i}) = e^H(\mathbf{i})$. For convenience, we denote here $R(X_1)$ by $(X_1 - i)^{-1}$. With this notation, we have:

$$(X_1 - i_1)^{m(i_1)} e^H(\mathbf{i}) = \prod_{\substack{i \in S_\lambda \\ i \neq i_1}} (X_1 - i)^{-m(i)} \cdot P(X_1) e^H(\mathbf{i}).$$

Thus we see that $(X_1 - i_1)^{m(i_1)} e^H(\mathbf{i})$ is proportional in $H(D_n)_{\lambda, \tilde{m}}$ to the element $P(X_1)$. We conclude that $(X_1 - i_1)^{m(i_1)} e^H(\mathbf{i})$ and in turn $\Theta^{-1}(P_{\mathbf{i}}(y_1))$ is in the ideal I_H .

We conclude that $\Theta(I_H) = I_W$ and the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

In fact, as in Theorem 4.6, a more precise result is true describing each idempotent subalgebra $e_\delta H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$.

Corollary 5.9. *The algebras $e_\delta H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$ and $W_{\lambda,m}^\delta$ are isomorphic for any orbit $\delta \in \mathcal{O}'$.*

Proof. Let $\delta \in \mathcal{O}'$. The central idempotent in $W_{\lambda,m}^n$ projecting onto $W_{\lambda,m}^\delta$ in the direct sum (5.3) is $\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \delta} e(\mathbf{i})$. It is immediate to see (one has to look at the maps in (4.14)) that under the isomorphism of Theorem 5.8, this element is sent to e_δ in $H(D_n)_{\lambda,m}$. The corollary then follows directly. \square

References

- [1] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov–Lauda algebras*, Invent. math. 178(3) (2009), 451–484. arxiv 0808.2032
- [2] R. Dipper and A. Mathas, *Morita equivalences of Ariki–Koike algebras*. Math. Zeit. 240 (2002), 579–610. arxiv math/0004014
- [3] N. Enomoto, M. Kashiwara, *Symmetric crystals and affine Hecke algebras of type B*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, (2006) no. 8, 131–136. arxiv math/0608.079
- [4] M. Kashiwara and V. Miemietz, *Crystals and affine Hecke algebras of type D*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **83** (2007), no. 7, 135–139. MR2361426
- [5] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups. I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347. MR2525917 (2010i:17023)
- [6] L. Poulain d’Andecy and S. Rostam, *Morita equivalences for cyclotomic Hecke algebras of type B and D*, preprint. arXiv:1903.01580.
- [7] L. Poulain d’Andecy and R. Walker, *Affine Hecke algebras and generalisations of quiver Hecke algebras for type B*, to appear in Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, preprint. arxiv 1712.05592
- [8] A. Ram and J. Ramagge, *Affine Hecke algebras, cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Clifford theory* (English summary) A tribute to C. S. Seshadri (Chennai, 2002), 428–466, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.
- [9] R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, preprint arXiv:0812.5023v1 [math.RT].
- [10] P. Shan, M. Varagnolo, and E. Vasserot, *Canonical bases and affine Hecke algebras of type D*, Adv. Math. **227** (2011), no. 1, 267–291. MR2782195 (2012a:20007)
- [11] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Canonical bases and affine Hecke algebras of type B*, Invent. math. 185(3) (2011), 593–693. arxiv 0911.5209
- [12] R. Walker, *SVV algebras*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **94** (2018), no. 1, 7–12.

L. P.d’A.: *Université de Reims Champagne Ardenne, CNRS, LMR FRE 2011, 51097, Reims, France*
email: loic.poulain-dandecy@univ-reims.fr

R. W.: *Université Paris Diderot-Paris VII, Bâtiment Sophie Germain, 75205 Paris Cedex 13 France*
email: ruari.walker@imj-prg.fr